Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 04/07/2020 03:55 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by R. Clifton, Deputy Clerk 20STCV13634

## Assigned for all purposes to: Spring Street Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Kristin Escalante

## PLD-PI-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Jordan R. Magazine, Esq. SBN: 308677	FOR COURT USE ONLY
McNulty Law Firm	
827 Moraga Drive	
Los Angeles, CA 90049 TELEPHONE NO:310-417-2707 FAX NO. (Optional): 310-472-7014	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): jordan@mcnultylaw.com	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Beverly Taki	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, 90012	
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse	
PLAINTIFF: Beverly Taki	
DEFENDANT: Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc.; Kaiser Foundation	$\diamond_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C})$
Hospitals; Southern California Permanente Medical Group; Jonathan M. Wong, M.D.	
X DOES 1 TO 100	
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	
AMENDED (Number): Type (check all that apply):	
MOTOR VEHICLE X OTHER (specify):Negligence	
Property Damage Wrongful Death	
X         Personal Injury         Other Damages (specify):	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:
Amount demanded des not exceed \$10,000	20STCV13634
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000	
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
1. Plaintiff (name or names): Beverly Taki	
alleges causes of action against <b>defendant</b> (name or names): Kaiser Foundation Health	
Hospitals, Southern California Pemanente Medical Group and Jonathan M. Wong, M.D. 2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of page	. and DOES 1-100 ges:7
3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult	
a. except plaintiff (name)	
<ul> <li>(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California</li> <li>(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):</li> </ul>	
(3) a public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard	lian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other ( <i>specify</i> ): (5) other ( <i>specify</i> ):	
b. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity <i>(describe):</i>	
<ul> <li>(4) a minor an adult</li> <li>(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard</li> </ul>	tian ad litem has been appointed
<ul> <li>(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard</li> <li>(b) other (specify):</li> </ul>	aran au meni nas been appulliteu
(5) other ( <i>specify</i> ):	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Atta	chment 3.

;	SHORT TITLE: Beverly Taki vs. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., et al.	CASE NUMBER:
4.	Plaintiff (name): is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):	
5.	(1) a business organization, form unknown (1) X a bu	dant <i>(name):</i> Southern California Pemanente Isiness organization, form unknown orporation
	(3) an unincorporated entity <i>(describe):</i> (3) an u	inincorporated entity (describe):
		iblic entity (describe):
	(5) other (specify): (5) other	er (specify)
	b. X except defendant (name): Kaiser Foundation Hospitals d. except defend	lant <i>(name):</i>
		isiness organization, form unknown
		proration
	(3) an unincorporated entity <i>(describe):</i>	inincorporated entity (describe):
	(4) a public entity <i>(describe):</i> (4) a pu	ublic entity (describe):
	(5) other (specify): (5) othe	er (specify):
	Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained	in Attachment 5.
6.	The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.	
	a. X Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): <u>1-25</u> wern named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.	e the agents or employees of other
	<ul> <li>b. X Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): <u>26-100</u> are plaintiff.</li> </ul>	persons whose capacities are unknown to
7.	Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (name	s):
8.	This court is the proper court because	
	a at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.	
	<ul> <li>b the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated a</li> <li>c. X injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional a</li> <li>d other (<i>specify</i>):</li> </ul>	•
9.	Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, <b>and</b>	

- a. \_\_\_\_ has complied with applicable claims statutes, or
  b. \_\_\_\_ is excused from complying because (*specify*):

	PLD-PI-001
SHORT TITLE: Beverly Taki vs. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., et al.	CASE NUMBER:
<ul> <li>10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each causes of action attached): <ul> <li>a. Motor Vehicle</li> <li>b. X General Negligence /Medical Negligence</li> <li>c. Intentional Tort</li> <li>d. Products Liability</li> <li>e. Premises Liability</li> <li>f. Other (specify):</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ch complaint must have one or more
<ul> <li>11. Plaintiff has suffered</li> <li>a. X wage loss</li> <li>b. loss of use of property</li> <li>c. X hospital and medical expenses</li> <li>d. X general damage</li> <li>e. X property damage</li> <li>f. X loss of earning capacity</li> <li>g. other damage (specify):</li> </ul>	MC
<ul> <li>12. The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deca.</li> <li>a. listed in Attachment 12.</li> <li>b. as follows:</li> </ul>	ceased are
13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.	
<ul> <li>14. Plaintiff prays or judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable;</li> <li>a. (1)  compensatory damages</li> <li>(2)  punitive damages</li> <li>The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must of (1)  according to proof</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>(2) in the amount of: \$</li> <li>15 The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (s)</li> </ul>	specify paragraph numbers):

Date: April 6, 2020

Jordan R. Magazine, Esq.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

Jordan R. Magazins, ag. (SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF CATTORNEY) 

PLD-PI-001 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death

ORT TITLE: Beverly Taki vs. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., et al.	CASE NUMBER:
First CAUSE OF ACTION—General	Negligence Page 4
ATTACHMENT TO 🔀 Complaint 🗔 Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Beverly Taki	
alleges that defendant (name): Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc.; Kais California Permanente Medical Group; Jonathan M. Wong, M.D.	er Foundation Hospitals; Southern
X         Does         1         to         100	All
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following a negligently caused the damage to plaintiff	cts o omissions to act, defendant
on <i>(date)</i> : September 1, 2014 to December 23, 2019 at <i>(place)</i> : 20940 Burbank Avenue, Woodland Hills, CA 91367	
(description of reasons for liability):	
In September of 2014, Plaintiff began to receive regular medical care and treatment for a var impotence, nocturia, retention and abdominal pain from Defendant JONATHAN M. WONG Defendants, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, KAISER F FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC. (collectively referred hereinafter as KAISER).	, M.D., a Kaiser physician and agent or employee of
Pursuant to the doctrines of agency and respondent superior, KAISER and DOES 1-25 are li employees, nurses, doctors and other medical care providers that occurred during the diagno DOES 26-100 are the medical providers who's many end of the medical care is a superior of the medical care is a superior.	sis, care and treatment that they rendered to Plaintiff.
Defendants WONG, KAISER and DOES 100, undertook the care and treatment of the Pla continuing thereafter. Defendants had a duty to exercise due care toward the Plaintiff by mal Plaintiff's continued, repeated and reoccurring urinary issues for over 5 years, Defendants re root cause of her issues. At no point did Defendants ever order a CT scan which would have undiagnosed, massive neoplasm (Attached as Exhibit "A" is a photo of the removed mass). of various urinary medication that would temporarily relieve Plaintiff's symptoms and mask	king sure that they correctly diagnosed her. Despite peatedly failed to properly assess Plaintiff to determine th revealed that the cause of Plaintiff's urinary issues was ar Rather, KAISER and WONG prescribed Plaintiff a litany
At the date and time atorestated and thereafter, the Defendants and each one of them, failed negligently, carelessly, vecklessly, wantonly, and unlawfully treated, provided care, monitor among other things, they failed to adequately and properly diagnose and treat Plaintiff, causi Defendants knew or should have known, that their failure to exercise due care in the perform Plaint if would cause her injuries to her person, mental anguish, and pain and suffering. Def Plaintiff, Breach of the Defendants' duties, owing to Plaintiff reasonable care and caution, ca physical injuries. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure to exercise reasonal unlawfulness of Defendants, and each one of them, and the resulting incident, as aforestated physical injuries to her health, strength and activity and great mental pain and suffering and	ing, examination, and other professional services in that ing Plaintiff to suffer major physical injuries to her health, nance of recklessly and negligently misdiagnosing the endants breached their duty of care by misdiagnosing the used her to suffer from severe emotional distress due to h ble care and caution, carelessness, wantonness, and , Plaintiff has sustained disabling, serious and permanent
On December 3, 2019, Plaintiff wrote to Defendant WONG concerned about the fact that he intolerable over the past 3 months, day and night. She was also experiencing fluid retention that she might have a tumor. The following day, Plaintiff was examined by Defendant WON with an overly distended bladder. Plaintiff's urinary issues foreseeably persisted and on Dece abdominal bloating to complete the ultrasound. After performing the ultrasound, KAISER n tenderness on her abdomen. KAISER also negligently misdiagnosed Plaintiff with urinary replaced and was given assurances by KAISER that she was medically stable and that it would business.	and abnormal bloating and wrote that she was concerned IG who order an ultrasound and negligently assessed her ember 11, 2019, she returned to KAISER with continued egligently assessed Plaintiff as having no mass or etention. Plaintiff was prescribed Flomax, had a catheter

ATTACHMENT (Number):

GN-1

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

The following day, on December 12, 2019, while in flight St. Louis, Plaintiff's catheter stopped working and she experienced urinary retention, abdominal discomfort and bloating. After landing in St. Louis, Plaintiff experienced acute abdominal pain and was having difficulty breathing. Plaintiff went directly from the airport to St. Luke's Hospital Emergency Department where a CT scan was ordered and revealed a "large 25 x 21 cm mass" within her pelvis "consistent with benign or malignant ovarian neoplasm." The mass was pressing on Plaintiff's diaphragm causing her breathing difficulties. It was also causing her continued abdominal pain, discomfort and urinary issues. Plaintiff underwent surgery on December 23, 2019 to remove the mass, was hospitalized and has been left with abdominal scarring.

regioned in the second se

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

Page 5 of 7

(Add pages as required)

## EXHIBIT "A"



