1	STEDLIEN I USOZ ESO SDN 180005
1	STEPHEN J. USOZ, ESQ. SBN 189095 HOLMES & USOZ LLP
2	333 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 610 San José, California 95113
3	Telephone: (408) 292-7600 Email: Steve@HULawyers.com
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5	RICHARD BRANNAN AND WENDY BRANNAN
6	
7	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
10	RICHARD BRANNAN AND WENDY) Case No.
11	) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES Plaintiffs,
12	vs. Financial Elder Abuse; vs. 2. Unfair Business Practices;
13	3. Negligence;
14	FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, an unknown ) Distress; and
15	business entity; FREHIWOT Z. TESEMA, an ) individual; ELISSA J. ESMALI, an ) individual; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, )  5. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress / Punitive Damages
16	Defendants.
17	Defendants.
18	
19	Plaintitis RICHARD BRANNAN ("RICHARD") and WENDY BRANNAN ("WENDY")
20	allege as follows:
21	INTRODUCTION
22	Kaiser Permanente ("Kaiser") DBA Kaiser Foundation Hospitals has failed to supervise its
23	employees and contractors having contact with its patient base. Kaiser's physician(s) and nurses,
24	acting in concert, took money and other assets from Misako Pexton ("Victim"), who is a patient of
25	Kaiser at all times herein relevant, through fraudulent and deceptive practices that include:
26	(1) asking this Victim for money; and (2) having these Victim drugged near comatose, and
27	having Victim while hospitalized at Kaiser execute financial documents, including durable power
28	of attorney for financial matters ("DPA"). With the DPA(s) in hand, the plan was for the participants
	1
	Complaint for Damages

25

26

27

28

19.

wife HELEN concocted a scheme to take financial advantage of Victim, who was Victim, eventually

asking Victim for money, receiving money from Victim, and then obtaining a durable power of

Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Dr. NGUYEN and his

KAISER, and had Victim sign a Statutory Form Power of Attorney ("DPA) that was effective

PLAINTIFF RICHARD

Complaint for Damages

27

have known that HELEN and the children of Dr. NGUYEN and HELEN were visiting Dr.

NGUYEN's patients, including Victim in the hospital and outside of the hospital.

Complaint for Damages

Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the above alleged visitations

43.

shock and physical symptoms of panic when Dr. Nguyen and TESEMA and ESMAILI walked out of the room with the paperwork, saying nothing.

- 51. Plaintiff WENDY notified nursing personal including Nancy McMahon Assistant Nurse manager of what happen immediately after she had stopped yelling. Starting at 10:00 AM on the hour, every hour PLAINTIFF WENDYrequested that Kaiser do a mental evaluation of Mimi.
- 52. Plaintiff WENDY witnessed Nancy McMahon attempt, in front of her, for hours attempt to get any KAISER administrator on the phone regarding what had occurred to Victim. Plaintiff WENDY was personally present and is aware the McMhon was unsuccessful for hours.
- 53. Plaintiff RICHARD during this time became comatose and shutdown, being unable to function.
- 54. Plaintiff WENDY, still awaiting KAISER administration to respond, observed Dr. Nguyen approach the nursing station at approximately 12;15 to 12:20 on 14<sup>th</sup>, and show the nurses the signed power of attorney putting him in charge of Victim.
- 55. Dr. Nguen approached victim's room at 12:30 PM with Plaintiffs present in the room. Plaintiff WENDY was told by Dr. Nguyen " Wendy lets talk ".
- 56. Plaintiffs were distraught, RICHARD thinking that he had lost his spouse, and WENDY believing there was no help from KAISER.
- 57. Plaintiff Wendy yelled at Dr. Nguyen and demanded that he leave the room. Nursing supervisor Nancy McMahon ordered Dr Nguyen out of the room in the presence of Plaintiffs.
- At the time Kaiser still had not contact the nursing supervisor in her request for assistance. Plaintiffs observed that the supervisor was upset at the lack of KAISER response along with the floor nurses also being upset.
- 59. Plaintiff WENDY was advised at approximately 2 PM by a nurse that KAISER management had finally responded and would be coming to meet with Plaintiffs.
- 60. Plaintiff WENDY had a 3 PM with management and was told that "they" were shocked and sorry and that should not have happened in their hospital" and that "they needed an hour and half to look into" what I was saying and would like to get back to me at "5:00 PM".

Victim so tehy continued to sit by her hospital room door.

- 61. Plaintiffs were fearful with physical manifestations that Dr Nguyen would again enter Victim's room based on the lack of action by KAISER.
- 62. Plaintiff WENDY observed glances from KAISER administrative persons that appeared doubtful, incredulous, and disbelieve during the time she related the incident at 3 PM. Present at the meeting were Meena Pai MD / APIC for risk, Med legal and Quality, Richard Contreras Area Compliance and Privacy Officer, Nancy McMahon Assistant Nurse manager 4th floor. Plaintiff WENDY believes and thereon alleges that possibly Marshall Wright Nurse Manager 4th floor and Sonia Cruz, Director Clinical Adult services were also present
- 63. Plaintiff WENDY telephoned the police regarding the incident at approximately 4 PM. as she became aware that the police had not been notified. An investigation was conducted by San Jose Police. A police video of the interview of Plaintiff WENDY exists. Plaintiff was present when Officer Haidar advised Richard Contreras KAISER employee that KAISER was responsible for taking care of their patients.
- 64. Plaintiff WENDY telephoned KAISER corporate headquarters to try and get help after the document signing incident in Victim's room. She was not able to speak with anyone who helped when she telephone.
- 65. Plaintiff WENDY met on February 15th, 10:00 PM, with Adam Gress Assistant nurse manager, the top management person in the Kaiser hospital at that hour. He said he did not know of the whole situation although Plaintiff was assured by Kaiser administration at the 3 PM meeting the previous day even that they "was going to alert all management and Dr Nguyen was barred from the 4th floor". This was distressing and cause an emotional upset to Plaintiff WENDY.
- 66. Plaintiff WENDY then was asked to <u>again relive the incident and tell</u> Gress what had occurred the previous day. She spent over thirty minutes reliving the incident and telling what happened, saying at the end that she was shocked that he did not know. Gress then informed her that he knew about the situation, but wanted to hear it from Plaintiff. Another nurse / Kaiser employee was in the room with Adam Gress when this occured.

Defendants' conduct has caused and continues to cause Plaintiffs substantial

76.

- 4. For attorneys fees, unilaterally to the Plaintiffs;
- 5. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

Date:

HOLMES & USOZ LLP

Stephen J. Usoz, Attorney for Plaintiffs