Bruce G. Fagel, State Bar No. 103674 Law Offices of Bruce G. Fagel & Associates 100 North Crescent Drive, Suite 360 3 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Tel:(310) 281-8700 Fax: (310) 281-5656 4 E-Mail: BruceFagel@fagellaw.com

JUL 31 2018

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

RITA PRADO, MILTON PRADO,

KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., a

FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a Corporation, d/b/a KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITAL -

SOUTHERN CALLFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL

California Corporation, WAISER

OAKLAND/RICHMOND; THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, (NC., a Corporation;

GROUP, a partnership, and DOES 1

through 250 inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs.

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

vs.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

Law Offices 26 Bruce G. Fagel

27 & Associates

28

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFOR

Case N. RG 18914975

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

1. Negligence

2. Loss of Consortium

Plaintiffs, through counsel, allege in their Complaint for Damages for Medical Malpractice as follows:

The true names, identities or capacities, whether individual, associate, corporate or otherwise of Defendants DOES 1 through 250, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs who, therefore, sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. When the true names, identities or capacities of such fictitiously-designated Defendants are ascertained, Plaintiffs will ask leave of Court to amend the Complaint to insert said true names, identities and capacities,

- 2. Plaintiffs are informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants sued herein as a DOE is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, thereby legally causing the injuries and damages to the Plaintiffs as herein alleged.
- 3. All of the facts, acts, events and circumstances herein mentioned and described occurred in the County of ADAMEDA, State of California, and all Defendants are residents of the County of ALAMEDA, State of California, doing business in said County, State of California.
- 4. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, were, and now are, physicians and surgeons, holding themselves out as duly licensed to practice their profession under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California and were, and now are, engaged in the practice of their profession in the State of California.
- 5. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants DOES 51 through 100, inclusive, were, and now are, registered nurses, licensed vocational nurses, practical nurses, physician assistants, aids, technicians, attendants, students or other paramedical personnel, holding themselves out as duly able to practice their profession under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California and were, and now are, engaged in the practice of their profession in the State of California and acting as agents, employees and servants of some or all of the other Defendants within the course and scope of said agency or employment.
- 6. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC., KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS d/b/a KAISER FOUNDATION

Law Offices
of 26
Bruce G. Fagel
& 27
Associates

- through 200, inclusive, were at all times herein mentioned duly organized California corporations or hospitals existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California; that said Defendant corporations, hospitals and the remaining Defendants, and each of them, owned, operated, managed and controlled a general hospital facility within the County of Alameda, State of California, held out to the public at large and to the Plaintiffs herein, as properly equipped, fully accredited, competently staffed by qualified and prudent personnel and operating in compliance with the standard of due care maintained in other properly equipped, efficiently operated and administered, accredited hospitals in said community commonly known and doing business as KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITAL -OAKLAND/RICHMOND MEDICAL CENTER.
- 8. At all times herein mentioned Defendants DOES 201 through 250 were doing business as a district hospital, a hospital operated by a government entity open to the public, or a medical facility operated by a government entity open to the public rendering medical, surgical, hospital, diagnostic, nursing and other care to the general public for compensation.
- 9. Defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS and DOES 151 through 250, and each of them, at all times herein mentioned were institutions

Law Offices

Law Offices
of 26
Bruce G. Fagel

Bruce G. Fagel
& 27
Associates

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

22

23

28

25 Law Offices 26 Bruce G. Fagel 27

Associates

or controlled institutions, duly accredited by the Joint Commission on Hospital Accreditation, and assumed and held themselves out to the public as in compliance with the minimum standards required by said Joint Commission for such accreditation.

10. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and upon such information and belief allege that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants employees, joint-venturers, and copartners of their said co-petendants; and, as such, were acting within the course and scope of such agency, service, partnership, venture, and employment at all times herein mentioned; that each and every Defendant, as aforesaid, when acting as a principal, was negligent in the selection and hiring of each and every other Defendant, as its agent, servant, employee, joint-venturer and partner. Further, each and every Defendant ratified the conduct of the other Defendants.

I.

PLAINTIFF RITA PRADO ALLEGES FOR A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGDIGENCE AGAINST DEFENDANTS AND EACH OF THEM, AS FOLLOWS:

- Plaintiff RITA PRADO repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference as to said Defendants and each of them.
- 12. At all times herein mentioned, and prior thereto, the Plaintiff was in the exclusive control of the Defendants, and each of them, and that at no time prior to the events, conduct, activities, care and treatment herein complained of did the Defendants herein, or any of them, obtain knowledgeable, informed consent for said care, treatment or conduct; that prior to the initiation of or performance of said care,

treatment, procedure or conduct no opportunity was afforded the Plaintiff or any authorized agent of the Plaintiff to exercise voluntary, knowledgeable and informed consent to said care, treatment, procedure or conduct.

- Three years prior to April 30, 2018, the date of Plaintiff's diagnosis with Stage IV Cervical Cancer, Plaintiff RITA PRADO, age 30, employed Defendants, and each of them, to diagnose and treat her medical conditions and to do all things necessary for her care, including, but not limited to surgery, pap smears and other medical and gynecological treatment.
- While the Plaintiff was under the sole and exclusive care and control of the Defendants, and each of them as aforesaid, Defendants, and each of them, negligently, carelessly and unskillfully selected various hospitals and physicians and other health care providers, and negligently examined, treated, cared for, diagnosed, operated upon, attended, handled and controlled the Plaintiff herein, thereby proximately causing injuries and damages to the Plaintiff, including but not limited to, negligently performing and examining a pap smear test in 2015 at Kaiser Richmond Medical Center, which was read and reported as histologically normal.
- 15. Plaintiff did not discover both the injury and the negligent cause of her injury until approximately April 30, 2018 when she was first diagnosed with Stage IV Cervical Cancer, and some time thereafter, when the pap smear test of 2015 was pathologically re-read and reported as being histologically abnormal at Kaiser Oakland Medical Center.
- Defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS failed and neglected to adequately select a competent medical staff and to periodically

25

26

Law Offices

Bruce G. Fagel

Associates

Law Offices of 26

Bruce G. Fagel & 27
Associates

review the competency of its medical staff, and failed to adequately monitor its staff such that the Plaintiff was caused to, and did suffer injuries and damages as herein alleged.

- activity, sustaining severe shock, and injury to the body, all of which said injuries have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great physical, emotional, and nervous pain and suffering, and which said injuries Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, will result in loss of earnings, permanent disability, loss of enjoyment of life, and impairment of earning capacity all to Plaintiff's damage in a sum in excess of the jurisdiction of the Municipal Court.
- 18. As a further legal result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, and the resulting injuries to the Plaintiff, said Plaintiff was compelled to, and did, incur expenses for medical and surgical attention, hospitalization, nursing, medication and incidentals for said Plaintiff in an amount unknown to Plaintiff at present.
- 19. As a further legal result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, and of the resulting injuries, Plaintiff will be obliged to incur expenses for medical care and hospitalization for an indefinite period in the future and to pay for these expenses in the treatment and relief of injuries for medical and surgical attention, hospitalization, nursing, medication, and incidentals for said Plaintiff in an amount unknown to Plaintiff at present.
- 20. As a further legal result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff will suffer a decreased earnings and earning capacity in the future and future earnings to Plaintiff's

	1	further damage in a sum unknown at present.
	2	II.
	3	PLAINTIFF MILTON PRADO ALLEGES FOR A SEPARATE AND DISTINCT CAUSE
	4	OF ACTION FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM AGAINST DEFENDANTS AND EACH OF
	5	THEM AS FOLLOWS:
Law Offices of Bruce G. Fagel & Associates	6	21. Plaintiff MILTON PRADO repeats and repleads each and every
	7	allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same
	8	herein by reference as to said Defendants and each of them.
	9	22. At all times herein mentioned, RITA PRADO and MILTON PRADO
	.10	were married and are husband and wife.
	. 11	23. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid conduct of
	12	Defendants, and each of them and the resultant injuries to his wife RITA
	13	PRADO, Plaintiff MILTON PRADO has suffered and is reasonably certain to
	14	suffer in the future the loss of consortium, love, companionship,
	15	services, comfort, affection, society, solace, moral support,
	16	enjoyment of sexual relations and physical assistance in the operation
	17	and maintenance of the home, causing damages and special damages in a
	18	sum in excess of the jurisdiction of the Municipal Court.
	19	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for damages against the Defendants,
	20	and each of them, as follows:
	21	FOR THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE FOR PLAINTIFF RITA PRADO:
	22	1. General damages, according to proof;
	23	 Past and future medical expenses, according to proof;
	24	3. For loss of future earning and earning capacity,
	25	according to proof;
	26	4. Costs of suit incurred herein, and
	27	5. For such other and further relief as to the Court appears
	28	just and proper.

1 // 2 3 FOR THE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM FOR PLAINTIFF 4 MILTON PRADO: 1. General damages, according to proof; 2. Special damages, according to proof; 6 7 3. Costs of suit incurred herein, and; For such other and further relief as to the court appears 8 4. 9 just and proper. 10 11 DATED: July 31, 2018 Law Offices of Bruce G. Fagel & 12 Associates 13 14 ttorneys for Plaintiffs 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 Bruce G. Fagel 27 28

Law Offices

Associates