1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

SHAWN Mo 7240 Blue Sp Citrus Heigh Telephone:	
In Pro Per	

SEP 23 2016

Jake Chatters
Executive Office &

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PLACER

CASE NO:

SHAWN McCRAY,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

vs.

KAISER PERMANENTE GROUP, JAMES LEE, MD, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, (Unlimited Civil Case)

Defendants.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

14

Defendant Kaiser Permanente Medical Group is a group of physicians, surgeons and 1. doctors who provide health care services. Defendant James Lee, MD is a physician who is a member and agent of the Kaiser Permanente Medical Group. Defendants Kaiser Permanente Medical Group, James Lee, MD and Does 1 20 provide medical care to persons in Placer County, California, and elsewhere. One of the locations at which said defendants provide medical care is 1600 Eureka Road, in Roseville, California.

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

That the true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or 2. otherwise, of defendants, DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the defendants designated herein as a "Doe" is responsible in some manner, either intentionally, negligently, in strict liability, for breach of warranty, or otherwise, for the events and happenings herein referred to and caused injury and damages proximately thereby to plaintiff, as herein alleged. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to insert the true names and capacities of the

Complaint for Damages for Medical Malpractice

fictitiously named defendants when ascertained.

- 3. At all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was the agent and employee of each of the remaining defendants and was acting at all times within the purpose and scope of said agency and employment, and acting in concert.
- 4. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff Shawn McCray was a person to whom defendants agreed to, and did provide medical care.
- 5. Plaintiff Shawn McCray is a workman who earned his living doing construction work, generally in the plastering trade. In October 2013, plaintiff was injured on a jobsites when scaffolding collapsed and he was crushed. After the injury, he went to Roseville, California and sought medical care with James Lee, MD, as an agent of the defendants.
- 6. In October 2013, James Lee, MD examined plaintiff and essentially informed him that he was not injured and should return to work. He did so and continued to work even though he was in pain.
- 7. The pain from the injuries did not resolve. In or about July 2015, plaintiff visited other doctors who are part of the Kaiser Permanente Medical Group. Said physicians did testing that should have been done in 2013, had Dr. Lee provided care within the standard of care of like physicians in the Roseville area. After that testing, plaintiff learned that he had suffered serious injuries in the October 2013 fall and needed to undergo significant treatment, including surgery.
- 8. The care provided by defendants in or about October 2013 was negligent. At that time, defendants, and each of them, so negligently failed to exercise the proper degree of knowledge and skill in examining, providing informed consent, diagnosing, treating, training staff, selecting and administering procedures, implementing standards for treatment, administering health care, and caring for plaintiff that defendants did not diagnose his injuries. As a proximate result, plaintiff's injuries were made much worse because he continued to work, and said work caused his injuries to become much worse.
- 9. As a further proximate result of the said negligence of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was prevented from attending to plaintiff's usual occupation, and plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that he will thereby be prevented from attending to his usual

occupation for a period in the future in an amount to be determined and according to proof.

- 10. As a proximate result of said negligence of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was hurt and injured in plaintiff's health, strength and activity, sustaining injury to plaintiff, and shock and injury to plaintiff's nervous system and person, all of which said injuries have caused and continue to cause plaintiff severe emotional distress and nervous pain and suffering, in an amount to be determined and according to proof at trial.
- 11. As a further proximate result of the said negligence of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was required to and did employ physicians and surgeons to examine, treat and care for him and plaintiff did and will incur medical and incidental expenses in an amount to be determined and according to proof.
- 12. On or about June 28, 2016, plaintiff gave defendants notice of intent to sue. This suit is within 90 days of said notice.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Shawn McCray prays for

- 1. General damages according to proof
- 2. Lost income and benefits according to proof; and
- 3. Medical injuries damages according to proof.

DATED: September 20, 2016

SHAWN MCRAY