| 1 2 3 4 | JOSHUA M. HEINLEIN (SBN 239236) joshua.heinlein@dinsmore.com DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 840 San Diego, CA 92101 Ph: (619) 356-3518 Fx: (619) 615-2082 |
|------------------|--|
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERT BEHRENDT, M.D. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | ROBERT BEHRENDT, M.D., an individual, |
| 12 | Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF |
| 13 | INCOME SECURITY ACT OF 1974 |
| 14 | KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH ("ERISA") |
| 15 | PLAN INC a California corporation |
| 16 | in its capacity as Plan Administrator and Fiduciary of the Permanente Physicians Retirement Plan for Hawaii Permanente Medical Group, |
| 17 | Permanente Medical Group, |
| 18 | PERMANENTE PHYSICIANS RETIREMENT PLAN FOR HAWAII |
| 19 | PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, |
| 20 | Defendants. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| H | |

COMPLAINT

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP SAN DIEGO

Plaintiff ROBERT BEHRENDT, M.D. ("Dr. Behrendt") hereby alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), and in particular, ERISA §§ 502(e)(1) and (f), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1132(e)(1) and (f). Those provisions give the district court jurisdiction to hear civil actions brought for breach of fiduciary duty under ERISA. In addition, this action may be brought before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

VENUE

2. Venue is proper under ERISA § 502(e)(2), 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2), in that the benefit plan that is the subject of the claims asserted herein is administered in the Northern District of California and/or the breaches of fiduciary duty described below occurred within this district and/or one or more defendants may be found within the territorial limits of this district.

PARTIES

- 3. Dr. Behrendt is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual residing in the State of Hawaii. Dr. Behrendt is, and at all times relevant was, a "Participant" and "Beneficiary" within the meaning of ERISA §3(7), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(7), in the Permanente Physicians Retirement Plan for Hawaii Permanente Medical Group, Inc.
- 4. Defendant Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc. ("Kaiser") is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 1 Kaiser Plaza, Oakland, California 94612. Kaiser is the "Plan Administrator" pursuant to ERISA § 3(16), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16), and is a "Fiduciary" pursuant to ERISA § 3(21), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21).
- 5. Defendant Permanente Physicians Retirement Plan for Hawaii Permanente Medical Group, Inc. (the "Plan") is an ERISA-regulated employee-

benefits plan administered within the territorial limits of the Northern District of California.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Dr. Behrendt was employed as a physician with Kaiser from December 16, 1985 to December 31, 2010 and at all times hereto, was a Participant and Beneficiary of the Plan.
- 7. At the time Dr. Behrendt left employment with Kaiser he was 55 years old and had earned eligibility for Early Retirement Income. If he elected to do so, Dr. Behrendt could receive Early Retirement Income as early as in 2015.
- 8. After leaving employment as a physician with Kaiser in December 2010, Dr. Behrendt continued to practice medicine, developing a robust practice, and providing him with a lucrative income
- 9. In early 2012 Dr. Behrendt contacted the Kaiser Permanente Retirement Center ("KPRC") regarding his retirement benefits in order to begin planning his retirement.
- 10. Upon information and belief, KPRC is the means by which Defendants provide information about the retirement benefits provided under the Plan to Plan participants.
- 11. Or Behrendt logged into the KPRC website made available to him by Kaiser several times during 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015 to confirm the benefits he would be entitled to.
- 12. Between January 27, 2012 and August 28, 2012, Dr. Behrendt ran numerous online estimates of his benefits under the Plan. The estimates stated that Dr. Behrendt would receive a lump sum payment between \$2,282,025.12 and \$2,816,260.10 or a single-life annuity of \$14,099.63 if he began to receive benefits on November 30, 2015. The estimates also stated that Dr. Behrendt would receive a lump-sum payment of \$3,216,547.67 or a single-life annuity of \$22,299.07 if he began to receive benefits November 20, 2020.

- 13. Upon information and belief, in September 2012, Defendants retained Mercer as the new third party administrator ("TPA") for the Plan. Upon information and belief, Hewitt was the TPA for the Plan prior to September 2012.
- 14. Following the transition to Mercer, Dr. Behrendt ran several more online estimates of his benefits, all of which confirmed the benefits amounts similar to those estimates run between January and August 2012.
- 15. In 2013, Dr. Behrendt requested and was sent a paper estimate of his benefits on June 11, 2013. The 2013 paper estimate reflected benefits amounts similar to those benefits amounts Kaiser provided him during 2012.
- 16. In 2014, Dr. Behrendt requested and was sent a paper estimate of his benefits on September 10, 2014. The 2014 paper estimate reflected benefits amounts similar to those benefits amounts Raiser provided him during 2012 and 2013.
- 17. In 2015, Dr. Behrendt ran online estimates of his benefits on May 8, 2015 and August 22, 2015. Both estimates reflected benefits amounts similar to those benefits amounts Kaiser provided him during 2012, 2013, and 2014.
- 18. In reliance on Defendants' representations as to the amount of Dr. Behrendt's benefits, Dr. Behrendt retired from the practice of medicine in 2012, allowed his emergency medicine board certification to expire, allowed his medical licenses to expire, allowed his DEA licenses to expire, and allowed other professional licenses and certificates to expire. Additionally, he agreed to pay his son's school tuition, and otherwise planned the remainder of his life assuming the representations Kaiser made as to his benefits amount over a four-year period were accurate.
- 19. On September 3, 2015, two months prior to Dr. Behrendt's anticipated benefits receipt date, Dr. Behrendt requested and was sent a retirement kit.
- 20. During the course of processing his retirement kit, Defendants for the first time notified Dr. Behrendt the representations made regarding Dr. Behrendt's

benefits during 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 were incorrect, and that Dr. Behrendt's benefit entitlement was a lump-sum amount of \$1,562,333.84 or a single-life annuity amount of \$8,919.08. This amount was a massive reduction of the amount Dr. Behrendt had been repeatedly promised he would receive.

- 21. Defendants admitted their misrepresentations during 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 and attributed them to a computer programming error.
- 22. Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Dr. Behrendt when they provided incorrect information to Dr. Behrendt upon which Dr. Behrendt relied, resulting in pecuniary loss to Dr. Behrendt. Dr. Behrendt's retirement plan was based upon consistent information, repeatedly provided by Defendants during 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015. Dr. Behrendt was diligent in confirming the information provided by Defendants was correct, making numerous inquiries over a four-year period, and Defendants repeatedly provided written confirmation of the erroneous benefit amounts.
- 23. In exploring potential retirement, including ending a thirty-year career in medicine, Dr. Behrendt took his financial situation into consideration, consulted with a financial professional, and took every possible step to ensure his benefits would be sufficient to sustain an adequate standard of living for Dr. Behrendt through the remainder of his life.
- Defendants provided to him in writing consistently over a four-year period, and executed his retirement plan accordingly.
- 25. Dr. Behrendt has been unable to reestablish his medical practice because in anticipation of retirement he allowed his medical licensure and all applicable certifications to expire.
- 26. Dr. Behrendt sustained financial damages due to Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duty. In anticipation of retirement, Dr. Behrendt allowed his medical license to expire, allowed several other professional certifications to expire, quit his

jobs, promised to pay for his son's graduate school, and made numerous other financial commitments all in anticipation of receiving the amount Kaiser represented he would receive during 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Fiduciary Duty Against All Defendants)

- 27. Dr. Behrendt incorporates by reference the above allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. ERISA § 404(a)(1)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(A), requires fiduciaries to discharge their duties solely in the interests of employee benefit plan participants and beneficiaries and for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the plan.
- 29. ERISA § 404(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B), requires fiduciaries to discharge their duties with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims.
- 30. ERISA § 502(a)(3), 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(3) empowers this Court to grant appropriate equitable relief to redress any violations of ERISA or to enforce any provisions of ERISA, including imposing a surcharge.
- 31. In committing the acts and omissions herein alleged, Defendants breached their fiduciary duties in violation of ERISA §§ 404(a)(1)(A) and (B), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1104(a)(1)(A) and (B) by providing inaccurate information to Dr. Behrendt, which he relied upon, and by failing to prudently select and retain service providers to act prudently on Kaiser's behalf.
- 32. As a result of Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duty, Dr. Behrendt has been harmed, suffered pecuniary loss, and Defendants have been permitted to retain assets and generate earnings on those assets and to which assets and earnings Defendants are not entitled.

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP SAN DIEGO