FILED 1 14 JUN 20 PM 4: 29 2 DE LEGICA COURT I RELEGIONNO MARICOURT Y 3 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 4 FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH 5 Case No.: 6 LORRI TITUS, 7 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT** 8 v. (ORS 659A.112) 9 (Not Subject to Mandatory Arbitration) KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN 10 of the NORTHWEST d/b/a KAISER PRAYER: \$143,000.00 PERMANENTE, 11 Defendant. 12 13 Plaintiff alleges: 14 15 At all material times Lorri Titus was employed by Defendant Kaiser Foundation Health 16 Plan of the Northwest d'b/a Kaiser Permanente ("Kaiser"). 17 18 2. 19 Kaiser was aware of, and previously accommodated, Ms. Titus' chronic back and leg 20 pain, pancreatitis, anxiety, ADHD, IBS, and hearing loss. 21 3. 22 These conditions qualify Ms. Titus as a disabled person pursuant to ORS 659A.104. 23 24 4. 25 In July 2013, Kaiser required that Ms. Titus attend a training conference at the Northwest Event 26 Center. 1 - COMPLAINT Page

	10
1	12.
2	Due to her anxiety, Ms. Titus began to panic and felt the need to flee.
3	13.
4	She began backing slowly out of her parking spot, moving forward and backward
5	numerous times, and ultimately was able to exit the parking lot.
6	
7	14.
8	Ms. Titus attended the conference the following day and again parked in the same
9	disabled parking spot.
10	15.
11	At that time she was told by her Kaiser supervisor that "you are just parking there looking
12	for a fight."
13	for a right.
14	$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$
15	On July 17, 2013, Ms. Titus' manager, Ladonna Sullivan, placed Ms. Titus on
16	administrative leave pending an investigation of the incident.
17	17.
18	Ms. Titus provided Defendant Kaiser with information regarding her actions and her need
19	
20	for accommodation.
21	18.
22	Kaiser rejected the request for accommodation, and on August 3, 2013, terminated Ms.
23	Titus' employment.
24	19.
25	The Bureau of Labor and Industries mailed its "right to sue" letter on March 26, 2014.
26	$^{\prime\prime}$
Page	3 - COMPLAINT
\sim	

1	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2	20.
3	ORS 659A.112 prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability.
4	21.
5	It is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to fail to reasonably accommodate
6	
7	the disability of qualified individuals, is considered disability discrimination.
8	22.
9	Kaiser failed to make reasonable accommodations for Ms. Titus disabilities by terminating
10	her due to this incident.
11	23.
12	As a direct result of Defendant Kaiser's violation of ORS 659A.112, Plaintiff suffered the
13	following damages:
14	
15	1. Economic damages in the form of past wage and benefit loss in the amount of
16	\$18,000.00. This wage and benefit loss is continuing.
17	2. Compensatory damages in the amount of \$125,000.00.
18	3. Pursuant to ORS 659A.885, Plaintiff is entitled to recover her reasonable
19	attorneys fees.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	//
25	//
26	//
Page	4 - COMPLAINT

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:
2	1. Past wage and benefit loss in the amount of \$18,000.00.
3	2. Future wage and benefit loss in an amount to be proven at trial.
4	3. An order requiring Kaiser to reinstate Ms. Titus.
5	4. Compensatory damages of \$125,000.00
6	5. Reasonable attorney fees and costs.
7	
8	DATED this ZO day of June, 2014.
9	DATED this day of June, 2014.
10 11	
	Respectfully Submitted:
12	HOLLANDER, LEBENBAUM & GANNICOTT
13	
14	~ V9 h_
15	Philip M. Lebenbaum, OSB #860621 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
Page	5 - COMPLAINT