MAY 1 6 2014 R. Mc Elyea

Wesley B. Westphal (CA Bar #150237) Westphal Law Group 1881 Commercenter E., Ste., 210 San Bernardino, California 92408 Telephone: (909) 890-0465

MAY

10

11

12

14

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

Attorney for Plaintiff: PHYLLIS HARRIS and WILMANEL ACTION

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE CENTRAL JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PHYLLIS HARRIS, WILLIE HARRIS JR.

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON; DR. DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI; KAISER PERMANENTE; KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP; DOES 1 TO 100 Defendants,

CASE NO.

RIC 1405104

COMPLAINT FOR PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE; NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS; LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE -MEDICAL MALPRACTICE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS BY

### PLAINTIFF PHYLLIS HARRIS

Plaintiff Alleges:

1. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sues such defendants by such fictitious Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the fictitiously named defendants herein is in some manner legally responsible for the events and happenings described herein and caused damages to plaintiffs as herein alleged.

- Plaintiffs are further informed and believe and thereon allege that at all relevant times herein, each of the defendants was and is the agent, servant and employee of each of the other defendants herein and that all of the things alleged to have been done by defendants were done in the capacity of and as agent of 11 the other defendants.
  - 3. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, is a corporation, doing business in the County of Riverside, State of California and with a principal business addresses of 23300 Iris Avenue, Moreno Valley, California.

12

16

17

20

21

25

- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believes that DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON is an individual, who works at the Kaiser 19 | Foundation Hospital located at 27300 Iris Avenue, Moreno Valley, California.
  - 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that KAISER PERMANENTE, is a business entity, form unknown. doing business in the County of Riverside, State of California and with a principal business addresses of 27300 Iris Avenue, Moreno Valley, California.
  - Plaintiffs are informed and believe that SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, is a business entity, form unknown, doing business in the County of Riverside, State of

California and with a principal business addresses of 27300 Iris Avenue, Moreno Valley, California.

- 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI is an individual, who works at the Kaiser Foundation Hospital located at 27300 Iris Avenue, Moreno Valley, California.
- 8. The injuries upon which this action is based on occurred as a result of the actions of defendants and each of them which took place at KAISER PERMANENTE, located at 27300 Iris Avenue, Moreno Valley, CA 92555.

6

10

18

19

20

21

- 9. At all times herein mentioned, the defendants, DR. 11 BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI, and does 1 through 20, inclusive, and each of them, were, and now are, physicians, surgeons, or other allied health personnel holding themselves out to be duly licensed by the State of California to practice medicine, surgery, and related medical and/or surgical services in the State of California and to possess that degree of skill, ability and expertise, knowledge, qualifications and learning of similar practitioners in said community.
- 10. At all times mentioned herein, the defendants, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, and does 41 through 50, inclusive, and each of them were corporations, co-jointventurers, partnerships, associations, or other business entities 24 | organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and authorized to and doing business in the County of Riverside, State of California. Said defendants were the alter ego of each of the remaining defendants, and among other things, said Defendants consisted of, owned, were involved with and or

operated a medical facility open to members of the general public. Said defendants were the masters and employers of defendants, DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI and does 1 to 20, and 51 to 100, inclusive, who were at all times herein mentioned, acting within the course and scope of there employment, agency and or joint venture.

11. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants, Does 51 to 100, Inclusive, and each of them, were paramedics, aides, attendants, technicians, nursing or medical personnel, acting as agents, employees, or servants of some or all of the Defendants, 11 | within the course and scope of said agency and employment.

12

14

18

25

- 12. Defendants, DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI and does 1 through 20, and each of them, at all times herein mentioned, held themselves out to the public at large and to plaintiff, in particular, as fully qualified physicians and surgeons, duly licensed to practice their profession in the State of California, and exercising prudent, reasonable judgment and care in their treatment of patients and in the selection, employment and control of qualified, trained, experienced nurses, nurse practitioners, nursing personnel, orderlies, assistants, aides, and employees under their supervision, control, direction, responsibility and authority while performing services and caring for patients including, but 24 Inot limited to, plaintiff, PHYLLIS HARRIS.
  - 13. Defendant, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, and defendant does 41 through 50, at all times herein mentioned, owned, operated, managed, controlled and administered a general

medical facility in the Central District of this court and held itself out to the public at large, and to plaintiff in particular, as a properly equipped, fully accredited, competently staffed medical facility with qualified and prudent personnel, and operating in compliance with the standard of care maintained in other properly and efficiently operated and administered, accredited medical facilities in the Southern California medical community and offering full, competent, and efficient medical, surgical, laboratory, x-ray, paramedical and other services to the general public and to plaintiff herein; and said defendant, 11 KAISER PERMANENTE, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN | CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, and each of the defendants, 13 | administered, governed, controlled, managed and directed all the 14 Inecessary functions, activities and operation of said medical facility including its wursing care, training of interns, residents and house staff, and the activities of physicians and surgeons and all employees acting within said medical facility.

14. At all times mentioned herein, plaintiff PHYLLIS HARRIS was under the exclusive control of the defendants and each of them and at no time prior to the events, conduct, activity, care and treatment as herein complained of did the defendants, or one or more of them, obtain plaintiff PHYLLIS HARRIS's knowledgeable, informed consent for said care, treatment, or conduct; that prior 24 | to the initiation of the performance of said care, procedures, or conduct, neither the Plaintiff, nor any authorized agent of the Plaintiff exercised voluntary, knowledgeable, and/or informed consent for said care, treatment or conduct.

28

10

16

17

18

15. On or about May 19, 2013, plaintiff PHYLLIS HARRIS, consulted Defendants DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, each of them, for the purpose of obtaining medical care, diagnosis, and treatment. At said time and place, Plaintiff employed said Defendants, and each of them, to care for and treat her and to do all things necessary in the diagnosis, care and treatment of her person.

9

17

19

23

26

- 16. At said time and place, the Defendants, DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI, KAISER PERMANENTE, 11 KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE 12 MEDICAL GROUP, and each of them, and ertook said employment and undertook and agreed to care for and treat Plaintiff, and to do all things necessary and proper in connection therewith, and said Defendants, and each of them thereafter entered into such employment individually and by and through their employees and agents. Defendants, and each of them continued to render medical care and treatment continuously thereafter.
- 17. On May 19, 2013, Defendants, DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, and each of them, individually and by and through their employees and agents, negligently treated Phyllis Harris and negligently 24 | administered medication and drugs to PHYLLIS HARRIS. Such actions took place at 27300 Iris Avenue, Moreno Valley, California.
  - 18. As a result of the negligently administered treatment, drugs and medication Phyllis Harris suffered terrible chest and

head pain and a drop in her blood pressure. She thought she was going to die. To date, as a result of the negligently administered treatment, medication and drugs, Phyllis Harris still experiences shortness of breath, chest pain, and requires an inhaler to help her breathe.

6

12

13

15

16

18

22

23

- 19. As a result of such negligently performed care, Phyllis Harris has suffered pain, discomfort and emotional distress. She has also expended large sums of money in order to correct the situation and will have to pay more in the future. She has undergone medical treatment to treat her injuries and is 11 | presently undergoing treatment and will have future treatment to correct the situation as well.
  - 20. The above injuries were suffered as a direct, proximate and legal result of the newligence of the defendants, and each of them.
  - 21. The care and treatment Plaintiff PHYLLIS HARRIS received from defendants, and each of them, negligently failed to conform to the standard of care both with respect to the care and treatment rendered to plaintiff and with respect to providing to plaintiff information about the risks and hazards, or other harmful consequences, that might follow from the treatment, care or diagnosis.
  - 22. At all times herein mentioned, the defendants, DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, and each of the defendants, individually and by and through their employees and agents, negligently and carelessly failed to properly ensure the character, quality,

ability and competence of individuals, including the remaining defendants, and each of them, treating PHYLLIS HARRIS for her health and as a proximate result thereof, plaintiff was caused to, and did, suffer the aforementioned damages and injuries proximately thereby, as herein alleged.

6

16

17

- 23. As a proximate result of the said conduct of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was injured in her body and in her health, strength, and activities, and sustained injury to her mental health and shock and injury to her nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause, plaintiff great 11 | mental, emotional, physical and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that some or all of said injuries will be of a permanent nature and will result in some permanent disability to plaintiff, all to her general damages in a sum in excess of the jurisdiction of this court.
- 24. As a further proximate result of the misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was required to, and did, employ physicians, surgeons, hospitals and other medical care providers to examine, treat, and care for her, and did incur, and 21 | will in the future incur, medical and other related expenses 22 | including but not limited to medications, in connection herewith, the exact amount of which costs, fees and expenses are unknown to 24 plaintiff at this time, but will be shown according to the proof at the time of trial.
  - 25. As a further proximate result of the misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff was prevented from attending to her usual occupation and has thereby suffered a loss

of income and loss of earning opportunity; and she is informed and believes and thereon alleges that by reason of said injuries as herein alleged, she has suffered and will continue in the future to suffer, a loss of earning capacity, the exact amount of which shall be shown, at the time of trial, during the course of plaintiff's evidence.

26. Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty to Plaintiff to do, among other things: provide medical care and treatment to her; obtain informed consent; protect her records and documents, exercise due care in screening, hiring, supervision, retaining employees, agents and representatives acting on said Defendants' behalf; meeting acceptable standards in continuing medical education; meeting accepted standards for patient medical | treatment; providing proper medical care, treatment and services to Plaintiff, all within the applicable standards of care in the community.

13

16

17

27. Defendants, and each of them, breached their respective duties of care and were negligent by, among other things, failing to properly treat Phyllis Harris and to properly administer 20 | medication and drugs to Phyllis Harris. Defendants and each of them also were guilty of failing to obtain informed consent, spoliation of records and documents, failing to properly and adequately screen, hire, supervise, manage and retain employees, agents, and representatives acting on defendants' behalf, failing to meet accepted standards in continuing medical education, failing to meet accepted standards for patient medical care and treatment, and failing to provide proper medical services within the applicable standard of care in the community.

In compliance with California Code of Civil Procedure section 364, on April 8, 2014, Plaintiff served on the defendants, a Notice of Intent to File Suit.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS BY PLAINTIFF WILLIE HARRIS JR. AND AGAINST DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU AMANDA ARYAI, KAISER PERMANENTE,

KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS,

### SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP,

AND DOES 1 TO 100.

- 30. Plaintiff WILLEE HARRIS JR. hereby incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 29, inclusive, of this Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Willis Harris Jr., was at the time of the incident, and still is, Phyllis Harris' husband. Willie Harris Jr. was at Phyllis Harris side when the negligent treatment, medication and drugs were administered to Phyllis Harris and watched in horror as his wife suffered through the pain and a near death experience. The conduct of defendants, and each of them, was a 24 | substantial factor in causing Willie Harris Jr. emotional distress including anguish, fright, horror, nervousness, grief, anxiety, worry and shock such that an ordinary, reasonable person would be unable to cope with it.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
BY PLAINTIFF WILLIE HARRIS JR. AND AGAINST
DR. BETTY GRANT-ANDERSON, DR. AREZOU
AMANDA ARYAI, KAISER PERMANENTE,

# KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP; AND DOES 1 TO 100.

- 32. Plaintiff WILLIE HARRIS JR. hereby incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 29, inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Willis Harris Jr., was at the time of the incident, and still is, Phyllis Harris' husband. Willie Harris Jr. was at Phyllis Harris side when the negligent medication was administered and watched in horror as his wife suffered through the pain and a near death experience. By reason of the negligence of defendants, and each of them, Willie Harris Jr. has been deprived of the care, comfort, protection, society, support, services, and consortium of Phyllis Harris.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray judgment against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- (1) For non-economic damages within this court's jurisdiction;
- (2) For costs of hospital and medical expenses according to proof;
- (3) For loss of earnings and earning capacity according to proof;
  - (4) For costs of suit herein incurred; and

For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper. Wesley B. Westphal, Attorney for Plaintiffs 

	<u></u>	<u>CM-010</u>
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar n		FOR COURT USE ONLY
WESLEY B. WESTPHAL (BAR NUME	ER 150237)	
WESTPHAL LAW GROUP		
1881 Commercenter East, Suit	e 210	
TOOL COMMICTORISE Labor, Sales		
Con Bornardino Colifornia	92408	
San Bernardino, California	•	
TELEPHONE NO.: (909) 890-0465	·	·
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PHYLLIS HARRIS,	WILLIE HARRIS JR.	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF $R \Pi V$	ERSIDE .	
STREET ADDRESS: 4050 MAIN STREET	•	
MAILING ADDRESS: SAME		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: RIVERSIDE, CA 925	01-3704	
BRANCH NAME: RIVERSIDE BRANCH		
CASE NAME: HARRIS V. KAISER		
	•	
CIVIL CASE COVED SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CAS LUMER 1 A A R 1 A A
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		CATCHED 1405104
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendan	t JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
	ow must be completed (see instructions	on nage 2)
Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).		
1. Check one box below for the case type that	best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)		Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
, ,	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
	. \$(5)	` '
X Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)		
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)		
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
	·	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specimed above) (40)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
	less under mule 2 400 of the California Bula	s of Court If the case is complex, mark the
2. This case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the		
factors requiring exceptional judicial management: a.		
a. Large number of separately repres	·	·
<ul> <li>b Extensive motion practice raising</li> </ul>	<del></del>	ith related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming	to resolve in other countie	es, states, or countries, or in a federal court
	·	stjudgment judicial supervision
		• —
<ol><li>Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.</li></ol>	LA monetary b. L nonmonetary; de	eclaratory or injunctive relief c punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): TH	REE .	
	, i	
	ss action suit.	1/1/1//
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You/m	gly/ysg/form/CM-015.)
	I I of	
Date: MAY 16, 2014	ED 150227	
WESLEY B. WESTPHAL (BAR NUMB)	ER 1502375 / // (SIG	NATURE/OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		
NOTICE " /		
Plaintiff must file this gover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed		
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result		
, ay *ancuons.		
A last this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.		
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all		
Le other parties to the action or proceeding.		
<ul> <li>Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.</li> </ul>		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N. C. Marian and M. C.	Page 1 of 2

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 4050 Main Street - 2nd Floor Riverside, CA 92501 www.riverside.courts.ca.gov

## NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT ASSIGNMENT FOR CASE MANAGEMENT PURPOSES AND CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (CRC 3.722)

HARRIS VS. GRANT-ANDERSON

**CASE NO. RIC1405104** 

This case is assigned to the Honorable Judge John D. Molloy in Department 10 for case management purposes. The Case Management Conference is scheduled for 11/14/14 at 8:30 in Department 10.

Case is Assigned to Department 11 for Law and Motion Purposes.

The plaintiff/cross-complainant shall serve a copy of this notice on all defendants/cross-defendants who are named or added to the complaint and file proof of service.

Any disqualification pursuant to CCP section 170.6 shall be filed in accordance with that section.

Requests for accommodations can be made by submitting Judicial Council form MC-410 no fewer than five court days before the hearing. See California Rules of Court, rule 1.100.

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I am currently employed by the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, and that I am not a party to this action or proceeding. In my capacity, I am familiar with the practices and procedures used in connection with the mailing of correspondence. Such correspondence is deposited in the outgoing mail of the Superior Court. Outgoing mail is delivered to and mailed by the United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, the same day in the ordinary course of business. I certify that I served a copy of the foregoing NOTICE on this date, by depositing said copy as stated above.

Court Executive Officer/Clerk

Date: 05/16/14

RICQUEL L MCELYEA, Deputy Clerk