1	Eria I. Datinoff (SDN 166204)	FILED	
2	Eric J. Ratinoff (SBN 166204) Marla C. Strain (SBN 132142)	Superior Court Of California	
	KERSHAW, CUTTER & RATINOFF, LLP 401 Watt Avenue	Sacramento 07/23/2013	
3	Sacramento, California 95864 Telephone: (916) 448-9800	jingra	
4	Facsimile: (916) 669-4499	By, Deputy	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Case Number:	
6	KATHLEEN MCINTYRE & NOAH WOODALL	34-2013-00148495	
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIPORNIA		
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
10			
11	KATHLEEN MCINTYRE and NOAH	CASENO.	
12	WOODALL,	~G	
13	Plaintiffs,		
14	v. (7)	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
15	KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITAL,	[Medical Malpractice/Wrongful Death]	
16	California Corporation, KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN (NC.,		
	PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, KAISER PERMANENTE INSUBANCE		
17	COMPANY; AMIR RAFN, M.D., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	BarEar	
18		by rax	
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	KATHLEEN MCINTYRE and NOAH WOODALL, complain of Defendants, and each of		
22	them, and allege as follows:		
23	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
24			
25			
26	otherwise, of the Defendants, DOES 1 through DOES 50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs,		
27	who therefore sue such Defendants by such fictitious names, and Plaintiffs will amend this		
28	complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.		
		-1-	
	Complain	t For Damages	

1 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the Defendants, DOES 1 2 through 50, inclusive, is responsible under law in some manner, negligently, in warranty, strictly, 3 or otherwise, for the events and happenings herein referred to and proximately thereby caused 4 injuries and damages to Plaintiffs as herein alleged. 5

**KATHLEEN** 

- 2. Plaintiffs, KATHLEEN MCINTYRE and NOAH WOODALL, are the surviving 6 mother and father of their daughter, MICHELLE WOODALL, deceased. 7 8 MCINTYRE and NOAH WOODALL are the sole heirs of decedent, MICHELLE WOODALL. 9 Now, and at all times herein mentioned, Plaintiffs were and are citizens of and residents within 10 the State of California.
  - 11 3. Decedent, MICHELLE WOODALL, died on August 17, 2012, at the age of 25. 12 MICHELLE WOODALL'S date of birth was January 21, 1987. 13
  - Plaintiffs, KATHLEEN MONTYRE and NOAH WOODALL, are entitled to 4. 14 bring an action for the wrongful death of MICHELLE WOODALL pursuant to Code of Civil 15 16 Procedure section 377.60 as the surviving parents and heirs at law of MICHELLE WOODALL, 17 who died August 17, 2012
  - 18 5. Decidents, and each of them, are now, and at all times herein mentioned were, 19 citizens of and residents within the State of California, or doing business in the State of 20California, or public entities in the State of California, and the amount in controversy exceeds the 21 minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court. 22
  - 23 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendant 24 KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITAL, a California Corporation, KAISER FOUNDATION 25 HEALTH PLAN, INC., PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, KAISER PERMANENTE 26 INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES 1-25, inclusive, are now, and at all times mentioned 27 herein were, California business entities, corporations, associations, partnerships or other type of 28

business entities, operating as a medical center, hospital, health plan, or other healthcare facility, and Plaintiffs will ask leave to insert the correct designation when same has been ascertained.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that Defendants AMIR RAFII, M.D.; and DOES 26 through 50, inclusive, are now, and at all times herein mentioned were physicians, surgeons, pharmacists, nurses, physician assistants, aids, technicians, attendants, students, or other paramedical personnel, midwives, or other heatthcare professionals licensed to practice, and practicing in the State of California.

9 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that defendants KAISER 8. 10 FOUNDATION HOSPITAL, a California Corporation, KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH 11 PLAN, INC., PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, KAISER PERMANENTE INSURANCE 12 COMPANY; AMIR RAFII, M.D., and DOES through 50, inclusive and each of them, at all 13 times herein mentioned were physicians, surgeons, pharmacists, nurses, physician assistants, aids, 14 technicians, attendants, students, or other paramedical personnel, midwives, or other healthcare 15 16 professionals licensed and practicing in the State of California and/or business entities which 17 employed and/or contracted physicians, surgeons, physician assistants, aids, technicians, 18 attendants, students, or other paramedical personnel, midwives, or other healthcare professionals 19 licensed and practicing in the State of California. 20

Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was the agent, employee, principal or employer of each of the 23 remaining defendants and was at all times acting within the course and scope of said relationships 24 and each defendant has authorized, ratified and approved the acts of each of the remaining 25 defendants.

26 27

28

21

22

10. Plaintiff NOAH WOODALL is not a Kaiser member and is not a signatory to the Kaiser health plan pursuant to which decedent, MICHELLE WOODALL, was treated.

-3-

1Ŧ. During the times herein mentioned, the defendants, and each of them, negligently cared for, monitored, and treated decedent, MICHELLE WOODALL, and failed to exercise the standard of care and skill ordinarily and reasonably required of medical doctors and other medical practitioners by negligently, carelessly, and unskillfully providing MICHELLE WOODALL with medical care, including without limitation, failing to properly diagnose, treat, examine, operate upon, medicate, attend, follow up and otherwise handle and control the treament of MICHELLE WOODALL, which proximately caused the death of MICHELLE WOODALL on August 17, 2012, and the hereinafter described injuries and damages to Plainliffs.

10

ł

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12. Plaintiffs further allege that defendants, and each of them, breached their duty to 11 assure the competence of their employees and independent contractors, and/or failed to exercise 12 ordinary care under the circumstances herein alleged, to evaluate and to assure the quality of their 13 staff, employees and independent contractors and breached their duty of selecting, reviewing and 14 periodically evaluating their competency. This breach of the duty of careful selection, review, 15 16 and periodic evaluation of the competency of their staff, employees and independent contractors 17 created an unreasonable risk of harm to patients receiving care and treatment at the hands of the 18 Defendants, and each of them, including the decedent.

As a direct and proximate cause of the acts and omissions of each Defendant, 13. 20 Plaintiffs have been deprived, and will continue to be deprived for the remainder of their lives, of their daughter's love, comfort, support, society, care, assistance, affection, and guidance all to 22 23 Plaintiffs' general damage in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of the Court, together 24 with prejudgment interest thereon from the date of Plaintiffs' first CCP §998 Offer to 25 Compromise.

26

19

21

- 27
- 28

14. As a further proximate result of the said negligence of the defendants, and of each of them, Plaintiffs were required to and did incur funeral and burial expenses and medical bills in

-4-

1	an amount unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, but Plaintiffs shall seek leave to amend this	
2	pleading when the same has been ascertained, together with prejudgment interest thereon from	
3	the date of Plaintiffs' first CCP §998 Offer to Compromise.	
4	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against the Defendants, and each of them for:	
6	1. General damages in a sum in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of	
7	the Court;	
8	2. All medical and incidental expenses according to proof;	
9	3. All funeral, burial and other expenses according to proof,	
10	4. All prejudgment interest on general and special damages from the date of	
11 12	Plaintiffs' CCP §998 Offer to Compromise;	
12	5. All costs of suit; and	
1,4	6. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.	
15	Dated: July j 2013 KERSHAW, CUTTER & RATINOFF, LLP	
16		
17	By:	
18 19	MARLA STRAIN Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
20	KATHLEEN MCINTYRE and NOAH WOODALL	
21		
22	$O^{-}$	
23		
24		
25 26	·	
26 27		
28		
	-5-	
	Complaint for Damages	

; | | 

.

٠

٠

## RECEIVED

.

## 13 JUL 23 AMII: 12

GDSSC COURTHOUSE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SACRAMENTC

.