(<u>.</u>)

David S. Lin, No. 156110 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID S. LIN Attorney at Law 80 S. Lake Ave., Suite 512 Pasadena, CA 91101 626.792.9688 Telephone: 626.792.9116 Facsimile:

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

MAR 13 2013

JOHN A. CLAHRE, CLÉHK

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORN

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT

DOROTHY M. HO, an individual

Attorney for Plaintiff DOROTHY M. HO

Plaintiff,

DR. DOREEN MURRAY, an individual, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a California Corporation; and Does 1-10 inclusive.

Defendant

BC502883 CASE NO.

INTIFF HO'S COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFENDANT DR. DOREEN MURRAY AND KAISER PERMANENTE FOR MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

ANTHE DOROTHY M. HO HEREBY ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff DOROTHY M. HO (hereinafter as "Plaintiff HO") is, and was, at all times, an

individual residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

2. Defendant DR. DOREEN MURRAY (hereinafter as "MURRAY") is, and, was individual doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California and a suffee Angeles Medical Center located at 4867 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 9002 has operated Foundation Hospitals.

3. Defendant KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS (hereinafter as "KAISER") is, and, was at all times, a corporation doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. 8888

PLAINTIFF HO'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 4. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants as sued in this Complaint as DOES 1-10, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by these fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that, each of the fictitiously named Defendants is in some actionable manner legally responsible for the acts alleged herein.
- Defendant MURRAY at the Los Angeles Medical Center for a hysteroscopy following abnormal findings on Plaintiff's prior radiology exam and postmenopausal bleeding. During the operation, as was later discovered, Defendant MURRAY apparently, in attempts to remove a polyp during the course of the medical surgery, noted a protrusion of tan colored tissue, which Defendant MURRAY then attempted to remove from Plaintiff's cervix. As Defendant MURRAY continued to pull on the tissue, it continued to elongate and when the tissue was sufficiently removed from the vaginal area, it was discovered that the tissue was not of gynecologic origin. Upon further consultation and confirmation with other medical staff, it was determined that Defendant had perforated Plaintiff's uterus and instead caused a small bowel injury to Plaintiff's bowel due to a tear in her bowels caused by said surgery.
- 6. As a result of the uterine perforation and tear of the small bowel caused by Defendant MURRAY, a bowel resection and anastomosis was then immediately performed upon Plaintiff HO during which a large portion, i.e. approximately 40 cm, of Plaintiff's small bowel had to be removed.
- 7. After the operation, Defendant MURRAY informed Plaintiff HO that despite the complication during the procedure, i.e. perforation and small bowel tear, that Plaintiff would make a full recovery and would suffer no further, significant effects from the medical complications from the procedure. Defendant MURRAY also informed Plaintiff HO, at such time, claims and statements made that the operation performed had been completed successfully. Plaintiff HO, however, was hospitalized from this surgery for approximately 9 days, from November 29, 2011 until December 8, 2011 while recovering from this surgery performed. During this time, Plaintiff HO suffered from vomiting, abdominal cramping, bloating, and pain, which she was advised were normal complaints arising from such surgery.

- 8. Thereafter, Plaintiff HO was discharged from the Los Angeles Medical Center on or about December 8, 2011.
- 9. Afterwards, Plaintiff HO went back for multiple medical follow up examinations with other medical care professionals over the subsequent weeks and months thereafter, and was told the recuperation or rehabilitation process arising from said surgery would likely take months for a full recovery.
- 10. Plaintiff HO, however, continued to suffer from physical pain and suffering such as abdominal pain and discomfort and was compelled to take a leave of absence from her work and position as a pharmacist at Kaiser given her medical issues from the surgery, i.e. inability to remain standing for an extended period of time and inability to lift objects of significant weight.
- Defendant MURRAY and after further consultation with various additional medical professionals, that Plaintiff came to realize and discovered that she had more severe, physical complications from said surgery which was later determined to be resultant injury caused by the subject medical procedure performed by Defendant MURRAY. In inability to eat much, inability to each greasy foods, inability to undertake hikes, travel abroad. Etc. As a direct consequence, Plaintiff had already begun to develop major depressive and anxiety disorders for which Plaintiff HO was prescribed and was taking medications such as Xanax, Cymbalta, and Ativan. These continuing physical complications, mental and/or emotional disorders further caused Plaintiff HO to suffer severe depression, inability to concentrate, loss of interest, heightened anxiety regarding her physical health, and a fear of leaving her home residence.
- Plaintiff HO, at no time prior to the medical surgery performed by Defendant MURRAY, had suffered from such physical, emotional, and mental harms and injuries suffered, which injuries are all believed to be arising from and due to the life-threatening medical complications suffered and caused by the surgery performed by Defendant MURRAY, which effects and their impact was not determined or discovered by Plaintiff HO to have been caused by the surgery performed until in or about the late March 2012 time period.
- 13. As a direct consequence of Defendant MURRAY's medical negligence in the carrying out of and/or performance of said medical surgery, which Plaintiff HO did not realize was creating far-reaching

medical issues and problems not fully understood and realized until much later, Plaintiff HO has suffered continuing serious and debilitating medical limitations to her person, resulting in serious bodily harm and injury to her person, both physically and emotionally, not discovered and confirmed until several months later after the surgery performed.

14. Plaintiff HO now seeks proper recompense in the form of monetary damages in an amount not less than \$250,000.00, in a total amount to be proven at time of trial of this matter.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(FOR MEDICAL MALPRACTICE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 15. Plaintiff HO repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 4 of the GENERAL ALLEGATIONS above, and incorporates them by reference as it fully set forth herein.
- 16. As previously stated, on November 29, 2011, Plaintiff HO underwent a medical operation performed by Defendant MURRAY for a hysteroscopy following abnormal findings on Plaintiff's prior radiology exam and postmenopausal bleeding. During the operation, as was later discovered, Defendant MURRAY apparently, in attempts to remove a polyp during the course of the medical surgery, noted a protrusion of tan colored tissue, which Defendant MURRAY then attempted to remove from Plaintiff's cervix. As Defendant MURRAY continued to pull on the tissue, it continued to elongate and when the tissue was sufficiently removed from the vaginal area, it was discovered that the tissue was not of gynecologic origin. Upon further consultation and confirmation with other medical staff, it was determined that Defendant had perforated Plaintiff's uterus and instead caused a small bowel injury to Plaintiff's bowel due to a tear in her bowels caused by said surgery.
- The surgery with the level of skill, knowledge, and care that other reasonably careful surgeons would use in similar circumstances when confronted with a tissue of unknown origin attached to and protruding from an abnormal growth.
- 18. As a result of the uterine perforation and tear of the small bowel caused by Defendant MURRAY, a bowel resection and anastomosis was then immediately performed upon Plaintiff HO during which a large portion, i.e. approximately 40 cm, of Plaintiff's small bowel had to be removed.

- 19. After the operation, Defendant MURRAY informed Plaintiff HO that despite the complication during the procedure, i.e. perforation and small bowel tear, that Plaintiff would make a full recovery and would suffer no further, significant effects from the medical complications from the procedure.
- 20. Defendant MURRAY failed in Defendant's position as a trusted surgeon, i.e. gynecologist, to adequately and fully educate and inform the patient of her true medical condition and as to the serious medical issues and/or surgical complications which had arisen at the time of the performance of the medical procedure carried out on Plaintiff HO by Defendant MURRAY at such time. Defendant MURRAY further failed to properly advise Plaintiff HO of the very serious medical issues and medical problems which the resectioning and removal of a significant portion of her bowel would cause and create, as well as potentially life-threatening limitations on her day to day physical activities, health, and well-being.
- 21. As a direct consequence of Defendant MURICAY's medical malpractice arising from the negligent performance of the medical procedure carried out on or about November 29, 2011, Plaintiff HO has incurred serious medical injuries, bodily harm, physical and mental distress and significant medical expenses for additional medical care and treatment. Furthermore, due to Defendant's failure to properly operate on Plaintiff, Plaintiff HO has continually been suffering from worsened health and medical conditions, which could have been prevented had Defendant MURRAY properly performed Defendant's medical duties adequately and non-negligently in the first instance.
- 22. In specific, in or about late March 2012, and after further consultation with various medical professionals. Plaintiff came to realize and soon discovered that she had and continued to suffer from more severe, physical complications from said surgery and began to develop continuing physical complications which impacted her day to day life activities, i.e. inability to eat much, inability to each greasy foods, inability to undertake hikes, travel abroad, and which caused her continuing depressive and anxiety related disorders for which Plaintiff HO was prescribed and was taking medications such as Xanax, Cymbalta, and Ativan. These continuing physical complications, mental and/or emotional disorders did not cease as was originally believed would be the case but continued to persist and further caused Plaintiff HO to suffer more major and severe depression, causing her further to suffer from

inability to concentrate, loss of interest, heightened anxiety regarding her future physical health and welfare, and even a fear of leaving her home residence to undertake daily life activities.

- 23. Plaintiff HO, at no time prior to the medical surgery performed by Defendant MURRAY, had suffered from such physical, emotional, and mental harms and injuries now being suffered, which injuries are all believed to have arisen and be caused as a direct consequence of the medical complications suffered and caused by the surgery performed by Defendant MURRAY.
- 24. As a direct consequence of Defendant MURRAY's medical negligence in the carrying out of and/or performance of said medical surgery, which Plaintiff HO did not realize was creating far-reaching medical issues and problems then not fully understood and realized until months later, Plaintiff HO has suffered and continued to suffer numerous serious and severely traumatic events, and resultant serious bodily harm and injury to her person, both physically and emotionally as caused by the medical surgery performed by Defendant MURRAY, which severity of the medical consequences and potential medical negligence and harm caused was not recognized and realized by Plaintiff HO until in or about the late March 2012 time period.
- 25. As such, Plaintiff HO now seeks proper monetary compensation for all of her pain and suffering arising from the bodily harm caused to her, both physically and emotionally, for the increasing loss in her medical health and condition, and other resultant losses arising from this botched and deficiently, and negligently performed medical procedure, as well as her medical expenses incurred due to Defendant MURRAY's medical malpractice in the surgery performed.
- 26. All such monetary damages and additional damages are in an amount to be determined at trial, but at a minimum, not less than \$250,000.00 plus interest. Such damages, including interest on such amounts will be proven at time of Trial of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff HO prays for relief by judgment against Defendant MURRAY, as follows:

- For a Judicial Decree awarding to Plaintiff all of her monetary damages in an amount not less than \$250,000.00 plus interest accrued herein;
- 2. For costs of suit; and

	1	For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
	2	
	3	Dated: March 13, 2013
	4	LAW OFFICES OF DAVID S. LIN
	5	_
	6	By
	7	David S. Lin, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff DOROTHY M. HO
	8	DOKOTITI MI. VIO
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18 19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
D IJ	24	
ا ا ا	25	
,,)	26	
*50 v.)	27	
) [] -	28	
u W		-7- PLAINTIFF HO'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
		PLAINTIFF BO S CONFLAINT FOR DAILBOOD

	. •	CM-010
٢	ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): David S. Lin, SBN 156110	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ŀ	David S. Lin, SBN 156110	
	LAW OFFICES OF DAVID S. LIN 80 S. Lake Ave., Suite 512	
•	Pasadena, CA 91101	FILED
	TELEPHONE NO.: (626) 792-9688 FAX NO.: (626) 792-9116	
▶	ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff DOROTHY M. HO	LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS Angeles	MAD 4.2 21113
)	STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012	MAR 1-3 2013
	CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90012	JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK
	BRANCH NAME: Central District	Nen
	CASE NAME:	BY AMBEDILINES DEDITY
,	Ho v. Murray, et. al.	
	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
	✓ Unlimited	B 6502883
	(Amount (Amount	JUDGE:
	demanded demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant exceeds \$25,000 \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT: O
	exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 1.50)	age 2)
	Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:	27
	Contract Provi	istonally Complex Civil Litigation
	Auto (22) Breach of contract/warranty (06) (Cal.	Rules of Sourt, rules 3.400–3.403)
	Uninsured motorist (46) Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Aritifrást/Trade regulation (03)
	Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
	Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28)
	Asbestos (04) Product liability (24) Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
	Product liability (24) Real Property Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the
	Other PI/PD/WD (23)	above listed provisionally complex case
	Non-PI/PDA/D (Other) Tort Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
	Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Other real property (26)	rcement of Judgment
	Civil rights (08) Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
	Detailiation (13)	ellaneous Civil Complaint
	Fraud (16)	RICO (27)
	Intellectual property (19)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
	Accest for fortune (OE)	ellaneous Civil Petition
	Odie: Horizon David (13)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
	Employment Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
	Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39)	
	2 This case is so complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules	of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
	factors requiring exceptional judicial management:	
	a Large number of separately represented parties d. Large number of	
	D. C. CKIONEN O PRESENT PRESENT OF THE PRESENT OF T	related actions pending in one or more courts
		states, or countries, or in a federal court
	c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postju	adgment judicial supervision
	3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. 🗸 monetary b. 🔲 nonmonetary; deck	aratory or injunctive relief cpunitive
	4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1	
	5 This case is is not a class action suit.	
\mathbb{C}	6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may	use form CM-015.)
Ú.	Date: March 13, 2013	A
*.	David S. Lin	FURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
•	NOTICE	
١	Blaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (6	except small claims cases or cases filed
Q,	under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of	of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
٠ <u>.</u> ,		
	arm of the Colifernia Dules of Colife World World Miles and Armed Africa Colifernia Dules of Colife World Miles	ast serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
	other parties to the action or proceeding	
Ç.,	Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet to the cover she	will be used for statistical purposes offly. Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its must appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Asbestos (04) **Asbestos Property Damage** Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medicai Maipractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PDWD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PURD/WD Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business TorVUnfair Business Practice (67)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08)

Intellectual Property (19)

Legal Malpractice

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

Professional Negligence (25)

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Salle Plaintiff (not fraud or regigence) Negligent Breach of Contract Warranty Other Breach of Contract Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landford/tenant, or foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise report as Commercial or Residential) **Judicial Review** Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) **Enforcement of Judgment** Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

-CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

- Employment

Fraud (16)

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review of Health Officer Order

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Notice of Appeal-Labor

(E)

 \odot

f(x)

_		ı
<	1	•
-	5	•
4		•
7	_	•
	ם)
-	-	
C	\mathbf{Y}	•
_	_	

								\neg	
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER	В	\mathbf{C}	5	n	2	8	a	3
Ho v. Murray, et. al.		Ų	•	•	Ų	_	0	O	J

	CIVIL (CERTIF	_ CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LO ICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE L	CATION _OCATION)
This	form is required purs	euant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los A	ngeles Superior Court.
Item I. JURY Item II Step the lef Step Step	Check the types of he TRIAL? YES CLAS Select the correct dist 1: After first completing the margin below, and, to 2: Check one Superior 3: In Column C, circle by exception to the court exception to the court and the court exception where the cause of the court expection where cause of a logical property of the court of the court exception where the cause of the court except of the court except of the court of the court except of the court of the court except	earing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: SS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 3 Interior and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", ski Interior graph of the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet in the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected for Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action in the county of the court location choice that applies to the type of action in the County Courthouse Courthouse Location (see Column Color location of property or permitted in the County Courthouse, Central District. State Case "Yes TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 3 The E	☐ HOURS/ ☑ DAYS IP to Item III, Pg. 4): neading for your case in id e of this case. you have checked.
Step	4: Fill in the information	on requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration	1.
E	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check onty one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Aut	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
ort	Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.
ry/Property Death Tort	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
al Injury/ ngful De	Medical Malpractice (45)	A7240 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
erty Tort	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
/Prop	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
onal Injury/Property Vrongful Death Tort	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Vron	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 1 of 4

Damage	-
Property Damage	(Cont'd.)
lojury/	th Tort
Non-Personal	nful Dea
Non-P	Meanated

=
_
•
<u> </u>
_
•
•
_
-
-
-
_
4 : 1
_

Contract

Real Property

1 O Z Keview Unlawful Detainer

	CASE NUMBER
SHORT TITLE:	
Ho v. Murray, et. al.	

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	□ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff ☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortique Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property	A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure A6032 Quiet Title A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition re Arbitration	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Ho v. Murray, et. al.	

Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
2		☐ A6151 Whit - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.
Ş.	Writ of Mandate	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.
Ke.	· (02)	A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
Judicia	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2.
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1,2.,8.
	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
io	Claims involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	i., 2., 8.
Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
-	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
		☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
	Enforcement	☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
of Judgment	of Judgment	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
g g	(20)	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
of Judgment		☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
9		A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
•	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscenaneous Civii Complaints		A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
Complaints	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
Ē	(Not Specified Above)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
ပိ	(42)	☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
_	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2,8.
Wiscellaneous Civil Fernious		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
E (3)		☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
[~~ = (x)		☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
∑ ″ ′	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2.
9	(Not Specified Above)	☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.
ဥ္က ်	(43)	☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.
a W a		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.

(<u>.</u>)

SMORT TITLE: Ho v. Murray, et. al.				CASE NUMBER
tem III. Statement of Location other circumstance indicated	n: Enter the in Item II., S	address of the a	accident, party's 1, as the proper i	residence or place of business, performance, reason for filing in the court location you selected
REASON: CHECK THE NO WHICH APPLIED 1. 2. 23. 24. 25.	ES IN THIS CA	SE	ADDRESS:	et Blvd.
CITY: Los Angeles	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE: 90027		\bigcirc
true and correct and that the ab	ove-entitled i	matter is properly	filed for assignme	laws of the State of California that the foregoing is int to the Stanley Moskcourthouse in the v. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0
Dated: March 13, 2013			AR C	(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Symmons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum o Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.