	PIAID (852-16-19) OLD 10-D D-11-001	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS H. SWOPE, APC	CE FOR COURT USE ONLY	
DOUGLAS H. SWOPE, ESQ. (SBN #137494)	13 JAN 25 AM 10: 27	
110 West C Street, Suite 2000	12 244 52 NI 10. 51	
San Diego, California 92101	CLEAK-SUPERIOR LOURT	
TELEPHONE NO: (619) 231-8575 FAX NO. (Optional): (619) 231-6825	SAN DIEGO COUNTY. CA	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): dhswope@yahoo.com		
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, LEAHLISA MAGERS and AARON MAGER	•	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO STREET ADDRESS: 250 East Main Street		
MAILING ADDRESS: 250 East Main Street		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: El Cajon, California 92020		
BRANCH NAME: East County Division		
PLAINTIFF: LEAHLISA MAGERS and AARON MAGERS	WJAN 25'13 an 10:18	
	100	
DEFENDANT: KAISER HEALTH PLAN ASSET MANAGEMENT,	\$\langle(\mathcal{G})	
INC., et al.		
DOES 1 TO 20 Inclusive		
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death		
AMENDED (Number):		
Type (check all that apply):	•	
MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify): Property Damage Wrongful Death		
Property Damage Wrongful Death Personal Injury Other Damages (specify):		
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:	
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE		
Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	:	
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000 ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	37-2013-00031798-CU-PO-CTL	
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint	,	
from limited to unlimited		
from unlimited to limited	المجارية لهما والشامية المناها المناه المناها المناه	
1. Plaintiff (name or names): LEAHLISA MAGERS and AARON MAGERS		
alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names):		
KAISER HEALTH PLAN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., and DOES	TO 20, Inclusive.	
2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pa	ges: 5	
Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult		
a. except plaintiff (name):		
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	•	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe):		
(4) a minor an adult		
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian	dian ad litem has been appointed	
(b) other (specify):		
(5) other (specify):		
b. except plaintiff (name):		
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California		
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) a public entity (describe):		
(4) a minor an adult		
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed		
(b) other (specify):		
(5) other (specify):		
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Atta	chment 3	

Г	SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:	
	MAGERS v. KAISER HEALTH PLAN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC.		
4	. Plaintiff (name):		
•	is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):		
5	and has complied with the fictitious business name laws. Each defendant named above is a natural person		
		ndant (name): nusiness organization, form unknown	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	orporation	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	unincorporated entity (describe):	
	(4) a public entity (describe):	oublic entity (describe)	
	(5) cther (specify): (5) ctr	er (specify):	
		ndant (name):	
	/-/	ousiness organization, form unknown	
		orporation unincorporated entity (describe):	
	(b) an annicorporated criticy (socionize).	annous portation of the state o	
	(4) a public entity (describe):	public entity (describe):	
	(5) other (specify): (5) other	er (specify):	
		in Attachment E	
	Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained	d in Attachment 5.	
6			
	a. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1-20 we named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employmen	ere the agents or employees of other	
	A	persons whose capacities are unknown to	
7	Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (name	es):	
8	. This court is the proper court because		
	a. at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.	and distants in its luvisdistings area	
	 b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated c. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional 		
	 c.		
	Defendant's medical office building is located in this court's ju	risdictional area.	
ç	Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and		
	a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or		
	b. is excused from complying because (specify):		

SHO	RT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
MA	AGERS v. KAISER HEALTH PLAN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC.	
10.	The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (e causes of action attached): a.	ach complaint must have one or more
11.	Plaintiff has suffered a.	
12.	The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the d a. listed in Attachment 12. b. as follows:	eceased are
13.	The relief sought in this compaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.	
14.	Plaintiff prays or judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable a. (1) compensatory damages (2) punitive damages The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you muse (1) according to proof (2) in the amount of: \$	
15.	The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows	(specify paragraph numbers):
	UGLAS H. SWOPE, ESQ.	t. Tip
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGN	NATURE OF FLAINNES OR ATTORNEY)

	PLD-PI-001(
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
MAGERS v. KAISER HEALTH PLAN ASSET MANAGEMEN	T
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION—Pre	mises Liability Page 4
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
Prem.L-1. Plaintiff (name): LEALISA MAGERS alleges the acts of defendants were the legal (proximate) cause on (date): February 9, 2011 plaintiff was	se of damages to plaintiff. s injured on the following premises in the following
fashion (description of premises and circumstances of injury):	
Plaintiff slipped, tripped and/or fell at defendant's personal injuries. Plaintiff was descending stairs a fell due to the lack of a handrail, rise and run discrewarning signs. Defendant is per se negligent for vi 2001, 2007 and 2010) for failing to have a handrail	t defendant's place of business and trip and epancies, appropriate lighting and/or iolating the California Building Code (1998,
Prem.L-2. Count One—Negligence The defendants who neglicon operated the described premises were (names): KAISER HEALTH PLAN ASSET MANAGEMENT OF THE PLAN ASSET M	
Prem.L-3. Count Two—Willful Failure to Warn [Civil Code sec or maliciously failed to guard or warn against a dange (names):	ction 846] The defendant owners who willfully erous condition, use, structure, or activity were
Plaintiff, a recreational user, was an invited g	uest a paying guest.
Prem.L-4. Count Three Dangerous Condition of Public Pro on which a dangerous condition existed were (names	perty The defendants who owned public property
a. Does to actual dangerous condition in sufficient time prior to to to actual dangerous condition was created by employees of	
Prem.L-5. a. Allegations about Other Defendants The defendant other defendants and acted within the scope of the action of the a	
✓ Does <u>1</u> to <u>20</u>	
b. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other re	

•	
	PLD-PI-001(2)
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
MAGERS v. KAISER	
SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION—General	Negligence Page 5
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint	
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)	
GN-1. Plaintiff (name): LEAHLISA MAGERS AND AARON MAGE	RS
alleges that defendant (name): KAISER HEALTH PLAN ASSET	MANAGEMENT, INC.
\checkmark Does $\underline{1}$ to $\underline{20}$	
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following negligently caused the damage to plaintiff on (date): February 9, 2011	cis or omissions to act, defendant
at (place): 3875 Avocado Boulevard, La Mesa, California 919	41

(description of reasons for liability):

At said time and place, defendants, and each of them, negligently, carelessly, recklessly and unlawfully maintained the premises and tailed to take reasonable steps to maintain, prevent, warn or to correct a dangerous condition on the premises (lack of handrail on stairs) to make it safe for plaintiff and for other persons coming onto the premises.

At the aforementioned date, time and place, plaintiff LEAHLISA MAGERS came upon said premises. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness, recklessness, and unlawfulness of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff LEAHLISA MAGERS was caused to trip and fall while on said premises.

On February 9, 2011, and at all times mentioned in this complaint, plaintiffs, LEAHLISA MAGERS and AARON MAGERS, were husband and wife.

Before suffering these injuries, plaintiff LEAHLISA MAGERS was able to and did perform all the duties of a wife and did perform all these duties, including assisting in maintaining the home, and providing love, companionship, affection, society, sexual relations, moral support, and solace to plaintiff AARON MAGERS.

Due to the nature of the injuries sustained by plaintiff LEAHLISA MAGERS and the severe physical, emotional and psychological strains they cause her, she is no longer able to provide plaintiff AARON MAGERS with love, companionship, affection, society, moral support, and solace. Because of these injuries, plaintiff LEAHLISA MAGERS will be unable to perform these duties in the future. Plaintiff AARON MAGERS is therefore deprived and will be permanently deprived of his spouse's consortium, all to his damage, in a total amount to be established by proof at trial.