1 2 3 4	R. PARKER WHITE State Bar No. 95579 POSWALL, WHITE & CUTLER 1001 G Street, Suite 301 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 449-1300 Facsimile: (916) 449-1320	Sacramer 06/20/20 mpurcell	142	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR.	B <u>y</u> Caso Hur	Case Mumber: Deputy	
6	THOE HERICON, UK.	34-201	12-00126357	
7				
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	IN AND FOR THE CO	UNTY OF SACRAMENTO	Department Assignments	
10			Case Management 44 Law and Motion 53	
11	PAUL HERRON, JR.,	CASE NO.	Minors Compromise 45	
12	Plaintiff,	OMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES (Personal Injury - Medical		
13	v.	Malpractice; Product	Liability)	
14	KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS a	,		
15	California corporation; PERMANENTE) MEDICAL GROUP, a California)			
16	corporation; KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC., a California			
17	corporation; SMITH & NERHEW, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1			
18	through 60, inclusive.			
19	Defendants.			
20				
21	Plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., complains of defendants, KAISER FOUNDATION			
22	HOSPITALS, a California corporation; PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, a California			
23	corporation; KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC., a California corporation;			
24	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 60, inclusive,			
25	and each of them, for an amount in excess of \$25,000.00 and in excess of the			
26	minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, and alleges as follows:			
27	<i>III</i>			
28	<i>III</i>			

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES (Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice; Product Liability)

'

28 | ///

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 1. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of the defendants and DOES 1 through 60, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues such defendants by such fictitious names, and plaintiff will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the defendants, DOES 1 through 60, inclusive, is responsible under law in some manner, negligently, in warranty, strictly, or otherwise, for the events and happenings herein referred to and proximately thereby caused injuries and damages to plaintiff as herein alleged.
- 2. Plaintiff is now, and at all times herein mentioned was, a citizen of and resident within the State of California, and the defendants, and each of them, are now, and at all times herein mentioned were citizens of and residents within the State of California, and the amount in controversy exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants were the agents, employees, principals or employers of each of the remaining defendants and were at all times relevant, acting within the course and scope of said relationships and each defendants have authorized, ratified and approved the acts of each of the remaining defendants.
- That defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a California corporation; PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, a California corporation; KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, and each of them, are now, and at all times herein mentioned were, corporations, associations, partnerships or other types of business entity, doing business as a hospital and clinic in the State of California, and plaintiff will ask leave to insert the correct designation when the same has been ascertained.

28 ///

- 5. That the defendants DOES 21 through 40, and each of them, at all times herein mentioned, were registered nurses, physicians and surgeons practicing in the State of California, and employed by defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a California corporation; PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, a California corporation; KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, and each of them.
- 6. Defendants, SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 41 through 60, and each of them, are now, and at all times herein mentioned were, corporations, associations, partnerships or other types of business entities, doing business as developers, manufacturers, and distributors of medical supplies, in the State of California, and plaintiff will ask leave to insert the correct designation when the same has been ascertained.

Plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., complains of defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a California corporation, PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, a California corporation; KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 40, inclusive, and each of them, and for a First Cause of Action alleges as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Medical Malpractice)

Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein all paragraphs as stated in paragraphs 1 through 6 as if fully set forth herein.

8. On or about July 10, 2009, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., was treated by the defendants DOES 26 through 50, and each of them, at the hospitals and clinics of defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a California corporation; PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, a California corporation; KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 25, and each of them.

- 9. On or about October 1, 2011, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., first had cause to know that during the times herein mentioned, the defendants, and each of them, negligently cared for, diagnosed, and treated him and failed to exercise the standard of care and skill ordinarily and reasonably required of physicians, surgeons, hospitals; nurses, etc., which proximately caused the hereinafter described injuries and damages to plaintiff.
- 10. On or about July 10, 2009, and thereafter, defendants and each of them, inclusive, impermissibly delayed, failed or were negligent in providing proper care resulting in severe injury to plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR.'s, body. Defendants were further negligent in their failure to warn and inform plaintiff of risks incident to their treatment of plaintiff resulting in plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- 11. As a proximate result of the said negligence and carelessness of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., was hurt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining injury to his body and shock and injury to his nervous system and person, all of which said injuries have caused and continue to cause plaintiff great mental, physical and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff is informed and believes and therefore alleges that said injuries will result in some permanent disability to plaintiff all to his general damage in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- As a further proximate result of the negligence of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., was required to and did employ, physicians and surgeons to examine, treat and care for him and did incur, and will in the future incur, medical and incidental expenses. The exact amount of such expense is unknown to plaintiff at this time, and plaintiff shall seek leave to amend this pleading when the same has been ascertained by him.
- 13. As a further proximate result of the said negligence of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., was prevented from attending to his usual occupation, all to plaintiff's further damage in an amount unknown at this time, and

15. The defendants, SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 41 through 60, and each of them, as the manufacturer, retailer, designer, wholesaler, and distributor of the products in question described as BIRMINGHAM HIP Resurfacing System (BHR) and are strictly liable to the plaintiff under the strict liability theory imposed by the Courts of the State of California for manufacturing, designing,

26

27

28

modifying, and placing on the market and in the flow of commerce a defective product knowing that said product would be used by the public and particularly by the plaintiff without inspection, that said product was not fit for its intended purpose and that the benefits of the design did not outweigh the risks inherent in the design. All of these defects proximately contributed to the injuries and damages sustained by the plaintiff as alleged herein.

- 16. As a proximate result of the said negligence and care essness of the defendants, and each of them, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR. was burt and injured in his health, strength and activity, sustaining injury to his body and shock and injury to his nervous system and person, all of which said injuries have caused and continue to cause plaintiff great mental, physical and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff is informed and believes and therefore alleges that said injuries will result in some permanent disability to the plaintiff, all to his general damage in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- 17. As a further proximate result of the negligence of defendants, and each of them, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., was required to and did employ, physicians and surgeons to examine treat and care for him and did incur, and will in the future incur, medical and incidental expenses. The exact amount of such expense is unknown to plaintiff at this time, and plaintiff shall seek leave to amend this pleading when the same has been ascertained by him.
- each of them, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., was prevented from attending to his usual occupation, all to plaintiff's further damage in an amount unknown at this time, and plaintiff will ask leave to amend his complaint to show the exact amount when determined. Further, plaintiff is entitled to prejudgment interest on said amount when determined from the date of the accident of the present complaint.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff PAUL HERRON, JR., prays for judgment against defendants SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 41 through

60, inclusive, and each of them, for: For general damages in a sum in excess of the minimum 1. jurisdictional limits of this Court; 2. For medical and incidental expenses according to proof; 3. For loss of earnings according to proof; 4. For all costs of suit incurred herein; and For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and 5. proper. Dated: June 18, 2012 WHITE & CUTLER