11/22/2019 4:24 PM 19CV50362

1 2 3 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 4 FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH 5 HALEY THOMPSON. 6 Case No. Plaintiff. 7 **COMPLAINT** ٧. (Medical Negligence) 8 KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a CLAIM NOT SUBJECT TO California corporation; and NORTHWEST MANDATORY ARBITRATION PERMANENTE, P.C., an Oregon 10 CLAIM FOR \$8,000,000 corporation, doing business as NW ORS 21.160(1)(d) PERMANENTE PHYSICIANS & 11 SURGEONS P.C., 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 Comes now plaintiff and for claim for relief against the above-named defendants, 16 complains and alleges as follows: 17 1. 18 At all material times herein, plaintiff Haley Thompson was a patient of 19 defendants, receiving medical treatment from defendants. 20 21 2. 22 At all material times herein, Kaiser Foundation Hospitals was and is a corporation 23 organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and engaged in the 24 operation and maintenance of certain hospitals and clinics within the County of 25 26 Multnomah, in the State of Oregon. 27 28 Page 1 – COMPLAINT

TIM JONES PC
ATTORNEY AT LAW
707 SW Washington Street, Suite 600 – Portland, OR 97205
Phone (503) 374-1414 – Fax (971) 925-9034

///

///

Page 2 – COMPLAINT

At all material times herein, Northwest Permanente, P.C., doing business as NW Permanente Physicians & Surgeons P.C., was and is a professional corporation of physicians and surgeons, duly licensed by the State of Oregon and employed by Kaiser Foundation Hospitals to provide medical and surgical care to their members, and is a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oregon and is registered to do business within Multnomah County. Defendants will hereinafter be referred to as "Kaiser."

4.

At all times mentioned, Kaiser employed or otherwise engaged as agents, physicians and other medical personnel to provide medical care to patients at its hospitals and clinics. At all times mentioned, Kaiser employees and agents were acting within the course and scope of their employment and/or agency.

5.

On June 19, 2017 plain tiff met with Yvonne Yang, DDS due to ongoing left jaw pain and swelling. Plain tiff's diagnosis was Temporomandibular Joint Disorder, Myaglia and Bruxism.

6.

On July 24, 2017, Yvonne Yang, DDS added an additional diagnosis of Sialoadenitis, noting tenderness in the gland and swelling.

On October 10, 2017, plaintiff met with Rebecca Lindsay, MD due to worsening of left facial swelling. Dr. Lindsay provided a diagnosis of left TMJ disorder and soft tissue swelling. An MRI was ordered.

8.

On October 18, 2017, MRI imaging results were worrisome for primary osseous malignancy, to be excluded over other etiologies such as chronic osteomyelitis.

Recommendation for further evaluation by CT to assess degree of bone involvement and planning for obtaining tissue diagnosis. The imaging reported stated "This is a red dot case."

9.

On October 19, 2017, a CT scan of plaintiff's facial bones revealed heterogeneous patchy sclerosis with marked periosteal reaction involving left mandibular condyle, extending to the left mandible angle and posterior alveolar ridge. No discrete lytic or blastic lesion is seen. Surrounding soft tissue edema and enhancement was better seen on MRI. It was concluded these findings may represent inflammatory process such as chronic myositis and chronic osteomyelitis versus infiltrating neoplasm.

10.

On October 26, 2017, plaintiff consulted with Sunshine Dwojak, MD, who diagnosed a facial mass and neoplasm jaw. Dr. Dwojak advised plaintiff that she had a tumor of the left mandible which was concerning for possible osteosarcoma versus

Page 3 – COMPLAINT

1	other bone tumor, such as lymphoma or Ewing's versus chronic infection. A biopsy was
2	ordered.
3	11.
5	On October 30, 2017, Dr. Dwojak performed a mandible biopsy of lesion (left) on
6	plaintiff's jaw. Dr. Dwojak's pre-and-post diagnosis was facial mass, neoplasm jaw. A 2
7	cm incision was made in the mucosa overlying the ascending ramus of plaintiff's
8	mandible. An osteotome was used to remove a thin fragment of the bone. This bone
9 10	fragment was forwarded on to pathologist Lester Thompson, MD.
11	12.
12	On November 6, 2017, Dr. Thompson diagnosed a fibro-osseous lesion with
13 14	features suggestive of desmoid fibroma.
15	
16	On November 29, 2017, Dr. Dwojak advised plaintiff she had a desmoid fibroma
17	of her left mandible, which was a rare, benign tumor, and required complete excision,
18	specifically a segmental mandibulectomy. Surgery was scheduled for January 9, 2018.
19 20	14.
21	After CT scans of both of plaintiff's legs in preparation for surgery, it was
22	determined that plaintiff was not a good candidate for fibular reconstruction due to her
23	unusual anatomy. An iliac bone flap would therefore be required.
24	15.
25	Plaintiff had an elevated white blood count on October 23, 2017 and again on
26 27	December 22, 2017.
28	Page 4 – COMPLAINT

///

///

 $Page \ 5-COMPLAINT$

On January 9, 2018, plaintiff underwent a tracheostomy, removal of a segment of her left mandible, including removal of three teeth, followed by reconstruction of left mandible with vascularized iliac crest free flap, locking screws inserted, and mesh construction of left hip donor site.

17.

Post-surgery this section of plaintiff's left mandible was sent to Dr. Thompson for examination.

18.

In a report dated January 15, 2018, Dr. Thompson offered the opinion the material in the resection was significantly different from the previous biopsy, which only showed fibrous connective tissue and some isolated odontogenic elements. He recommended defendants obtain a consultation from a bone pathologist with expertise in jaw lesions to make certain further management was appropriate.

19.

Ms. Thompson's medical records and pathology were sent to Massachusetts

General, Department of Musculoskeletal Imaging, for further evaluation. Dr. Ivan

Chebib, MD concluded the findings were most consistent with reactive changes to
osteomyelitis. Specifically, the morphologic features, in correlation with radiology, were
consistent with acute chronic osteomyelitis.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

2728

Page 6 – COMPLAINT

On February 8, 2018, Dr. Dwojak advised plaintiff that the final pathology differed from the initial biopsy and showed not desmoid fibroma but rather chronic osteomyelitis. Dr. Dwojak informed plaintiff her decision to resect plaintiff's jaw was based upon the biopsy results received from Dr. Thompson. Dr. Dwojak also informed Ms. Thompson management for osteomyelitis is intravenous antibiotics with the possibility of debridement of the mandible. She also informed Ms. Thompson that a complete excision, specifically a segmental mandibulectomy, was reserved for cases which are unresponsive to treatment known as refractory cases.

21.

At all times mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, acting through their employees and agents, were negligent in one or more of the following particulars:

- In failing to diagnose osteomy elitis;
- 2) In diagnosing desmoid fibroma of the left mandible.
- 3) In failing to consult an oral pathologist prior to performing surgery;
- 4) In failing to treat plaintiff with intravenous antibiotics and debridement of her jaw if necessary.
- 5) In failing to obtain adequate pathology samples from plaintiff's jaw prior to surgery;
- 6) In performing a segmental mandibulectomy, vascularized iliac crest (DCIA) free flap and mesh reconstruction of her left hip, and tracheostomy on January 9, 2018;

- In failing to obtain and test additional pathology intraoperatively on January 9,
 2018;
- In reassuring Haley Thompson in 2017 and 2018 her jaw condition was a desmoid fibroma of the left mandible and not osteomyelitis;
- 9) In injuring the lateral cutaneous nerve of her left leg.

22.

During the course of plaintiff's treatment, plaintiff did not and in the exercise of reasonable care should not have discovered that she had a claim for professional malpractice until within two years of the filing of this action

23.

As a result of the negligence of defendants and each of them, plaintiff was subjected to unnecessary surgeries, including a segmental mandibulectomy, a tracheostomy, a reconstruction of her left mandible with vascularized iliac crest (DCIA) free flap and mesh reconstruction of her left hip, resulting in loss of her temporomandibular joint, pseudoarthrosis, jaw arthritis, malocclusion, a shifting of her teeth, facial numbness, left leg numbness, injury to the lateral cutaneous nerve of her left leg, permanent damage to the nerves, muscles, bony structure and soft tissue of her face, hip and legs with the loss of motor function, facial asymmetry, loss of strength, loss of sensation, gait disturbance, scarring, and has caused to suffer noneconomic damages in the form of past and future pain and suffering, as well as, loss of enjoyment of life and inability to engage in activities apart from employment. To date, Haley

Page 7 – COMPLAINT

1

Thompson's noneconomic damages are reasonable in an amount to be determined by a jury at trial but not to exceed \$7.5 million.

24.

As a result of the negligence of defendants, and each of them, Haley Thompson has suffered permanent injury to her face, jaw, hip and/or leg that will require future surgeries. To date she has suffered economic damage in the form of past and future medical care and expenses, lost wages and impaired earning earnings in a reasonable amount to be determined by a jury at trial but not expected to exceed \$500,000.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment against the defendants, and each of them, in a reasonable amount to be determined by a gury at trial but not expected to exceed as follows:

- 1) For noneconomic damages in the amount of \$7.5 million;
- 2) For economic damages in the amount of \$500,000;
- 3) For such other, further and additional relief as the court deems just and equitable Dated this 22nd day of November, 2019.

TIM JONES PC

Tim Jones, OSB No. 890654 707 SW Washington Street, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97205

Telephone: 503-374-1414

Fax: 971-925-9034

Email: tim@timjonespc.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

Page 8 – COMPLAINT