

Alexis S. McKenna, Esq., SBN: 197120 Elana R. Jacobs, Esq., SBN: 303178 WINER, McKENNA & BURRITT, LLP 2 1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 600 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 3 Tel (510) 433-1000 Fax (510) 433-1001 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff

PATRICIA NEWELL

JUN 02 2017 CLERK OR THE SUPERIOR COURT Mica Bake ERICA BAKER, Deputy

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

PATRICIA NEWELL, an individual;

Plaintiff.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

KAISER PERMANENTE INTERNATIONAL, a corporation, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, a corporation; ALBERT TORRENCE, an individual; and DOES 1-25, inclusive,

Defendants.

RG 17862626 Case No.:

# COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

(Unlimited Jurisdiction)

- 1. Sexual Harassment (Gov't Code, §§ 12900, et seq.);
- 2. Failure to Take Reasonable Steps to Prevent and/or Correct Harassment and/or Retaliation (Gov't Code, §§ 12940 (j), (k));
- 3. Retaliation;
- 4. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress:
- 5. Assault;
- 6. Battery.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED; PUNITIVE **DAMAGES SOUGHT** 

24 /// 25 /// 26 111 27 /// 28

///

Complaint for Damages

Plaintiff PATRICIA NEWELL (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Plaintiff") for her complaint against defendants KAISER PERMANENTE INTERNATIONAL and KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS (hereinafter sometimes referred to collectively as "KAISER"); ALBERT TORRENCE (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "TORRENCE"); and DOES 1-25, inclusive (hereinafter sometimes collectively referred to as "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Venue is proper because the injuries and wrongful acts occurred in Alameda County.
- 2. Subject matter in this action is properly heard in this Court, as the action incorporates an amount in controversy as set forth in the complaint which exceeds \$25,000.00.
- 3. At all times mentioned herein, California Government Code sections 12900, et seq., were in full force and effect, and were binding upon Defendants, and each of them.
- 4. At all times material to this complaint, defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 were employers within the meaning of California Government Code section 12926(d) and 12940(j)(4)(A) and, as such, are barred from harassing employees on the basis of sex as set forth in Government Code section 12900, et seq., and are further required to take necessary steps to ensure an harassment-free working environment; and, further to not retaliate against employees complaining of harassment in the workplace.
- On or about September 7, 2016, and within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed verified charges of harassment with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") which was dual-filed with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"). On or about September 7, 2016, Plaintiff received her "Right to Sue" Notices from the DFEH. The Complaint and Right to Sue letter hereto are attached as Exhibit A. Plaintiff filed verified charges of harassment with the DFEH on or about March 17, 2017. Plaintiff received her "Right to Sue" Notices from the DFEH on or about March 17, 2017. Plaintiff amended her Complaint on or around June 1, 2017 and received her amended "Right to Sue" Notice on or around June 1, 2017. The Amended Complaint and Right to Sue letter hereto are attached as Exhibit B. Plaintiff now timely files this action.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a female adult natural person who is and was at all times mentioned herein, a resident of California.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendant KAISER is a California nonprofit organization which was at all times relevant to this Complaint, regularly doing business in Oakland, California. KAISER was at all times material to this Complaint the employer of the Plaintiff and of defendants TORRENCE and/or DOES 1-25.
- 8. Defendant TORRENCE is an adult natural person who Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges, is a resident of the state of California, and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges, that defendant TORRENCE was, at all times relevant to this Complaint, a supervising employee and/or managing agent of KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, acting in the course and scope of his employment with defendants KAISER and/or DOES 1-25, with supervisory authority over Plaintiff.
- 9. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of DOES 1-25, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that each of the defendants designated herein as DOE is legally responsible in some manner (as the agent, partner and/or employee of the co-defendant) for the events and happenings herein referred to and in doing the actions mentioned below was acting individually and as an agent of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of such defendants when they are ascertained.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that at all times relevant herein, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, supervisor, servant, and/or joint venturer of each of the remaining Defendants, and in doing the things hereafter alleged, each Defendant was acting within the course, scope and authority of such agency, employment, and/or joint venture, and with the consent and permission of each of the other Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that all actions of each Defendant alleged in the causes of action

in which this paragraph is incorporated by reference were ratified and approved by the officers and/or managing agents of every other Defendant.

- 11. At all times mentioned herein, the defendants KAISER and/or DOES 1-25 were negligent and/or reckless in that they knew or should have known about TORRENCE's acts of and propensity to commit acts of harassment and retaliation and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action.
- In doing the acts complained of herein, defendant TORRENCE acted both individually, and as an agent of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, and as such, defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 are liable for TORRENCE's acts of unlawful harassment. Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 are also strictly hable for TORRENCE's acts of unlawful harassment as alleged herein because TORRENCE was at all times relevant to this complaint a supervisor and/or managing agent for defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25. Defendant TORRENCE is also personally liable for his acts of unlawful harassment pursuant to Government Code section 12940(j)(3).

#### **FACTS ALLEGED**

- 13. Plaintiff began working for defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, on or around March 31, 2008 in the information Technology (IT) department.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant TORRENCE was a supervisor for KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 at all relevant times to this Complaint.
- TORRENCE previously worked for KAISER in its Walnut Creek, California location, and was transferred to an Oakland, California location in or around 2009. Plaintiff is informed and believes and herein alleges that TORRENCE's title changed to Consultant Specialist in or around late 2013, but that he continued to be on the same level of a supervisor.
- 16. Beginning in or about 2009, defendant TORRENCE began subjecting Plaintiff to frequent, ongoing, unwelcomed conduct of a sexual nature, including, but not limited to the following:
  - a. Defendant TORRENCE frequently approached Plaintiff at her cubicle and said, "Hey, Baby, you look fine" or words to that effect:

- b. TORRENCE made sexual motions and sexual noises like "ohhhhh" while he looked at Plaintiff lustfully;
- c. TORRENCE would lick his lips while looking at Plaintiff;
- d. He would ask Plaintiff if she wanted to play a game of "hide the sausage;"
- e. TORRENCE's conduct escalated in or around 2015. Plaintiff's cubicle was located in a small room which was adjacent to TORRENCE's office; TORRENCE started entering Plaintiff's room, closing the door and rubbing himself on Plaintiff;
- f. He would come up from behind Plaintiff or from her side and rub her shoulders;
- g. Plaintiff would tell TORRENCE to stop and she tried to open the door so people could see what was going on;
- h. While TORRENCE inappropriately touched Plaintiff, he made sexual noises and said, "oh baby, you looking fine, I'm a free man now, where you been all my life?" or words to that effect:
- i. TORRENCE inappropriately placed his leg on or against Plaintiff's leg many times.
- 17. In or around July 2015, Plaintiff asked the Executive Director of Accounts Payable, Richard Huegel, if she could work in his area so she did not have to work close to TORRENCE; she told Huegel that TORRENCE was a "dirty old man" and that she had to get away from him, or words to that effect, but Plaintiff was afraid of reporting TORRENCE.
- Plaintiff moved to a different floor of the same building in or around July 2015.

  Plaintiff still had to go to her old floor where TORRENCE worked to pick up equipment. When she did, TORRENCE would continue to make inappropriate comments and gestures to her, including telling her she looked mighty fine, where has she been all his life, and why doesn't Plaintiff come to visit TORRENCE, or words to that effect.
- 19. Plaintiff tried to avoid going to her old workspace, but once or twice a week she saw TORRENCE, and he continued to make inappropriate comments during those occasions.
- 20. TORRENCE told Plaintiff he liked her butt and asked her if she was part African American because she had a "big fine booty" or words to that effect.
  - 21. When Plaintiff told TORRENCE to stop, or became upset, he became aggressive

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

22.

Plaintiff received a performance review for 2015 in or around January or February 2016. Plaintiff was told by Everette Burks, Operations Manager for End User Services, that she was not at her correct workstation. Plaintiff learned that TORRENCE had been calling Plaintiff's lead, Lester Higashi and/or Everette Burks, and asking where Plaintiff was. Burks asked Higashi where Plaintiff was as well. Plaintiff is informed and believes that TORRENCE told Burks that it is his building he is supervising and wanted to know what is going on. Plaintiff is informed and believes that believes Burks relied on TORRENCE's input for her review, and as a result of this, Plaintiff did not receive a positive performance review. As a result of this review, Plaintiff was ordered to move her work station to 1800 Harrison Street

- Burks started giving Plaintiff more work and assigning her projects without first 23. notifying her supervisor, Higashi.
- 24. When Plaintiff moved to 800 Harrison Street, TORRENCE would come by her work area and continued with his inappropriate comments and sexual noises.
- 25. On or around March 28, 2016, TORRENCE approached Plaintiff at her cubicle and started rubbing his leg on Plaintiff's leg and gyrating his hips on her. While he did this, he said, "you know you want this" or words to that effect. Then, TORRENCE stuck his groin in Plaintiff's face and continued to gyrate his hips. Plaintiff turned around so her back was to him. He then touched her lower back on or around her buttocks and said "ohhh I like to red", or words to that effect, referring to her underwear which must have been showing at the top of her pants. Plaintiff pushed him away. Plaintiff went to Higashi's office, crying, and told him she was leaving, and left the office. She called the Employee Assistance Program and showed up to meet with someone but left after an hour when no one assisted her.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes that while Plaintiff was out of the office, Higashi reported TORRENCE's sexual harassment of Plaintiff to Burks.
- 27. Plaintiff filed a complaint with Human Resources representative Scott Titley in or around early April 2016. She was so upset she requested a leave of absence due to stress.
  - 28. Plaintiff also reported to KAISER's HR hotline.

floor. Burks then told Plaintiff she had to return to her old location. Plaintiff is informed and

believes that Burks began to write up Plaintiff unfairly and informally placed her on a Performance

Improvement Plan (PIP).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 39. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Shirley McWilliams, the Operational Manager of IT, told Higashi that he was too close to Plaintiff. On one occasion, McWilliams went to Plaintiff's office and TORRENCE appeared. Plaintiff was upset and left.
  - 40. Plaintiff has not yet heard from HR about her appeal.
- 41. Plaintiff believes that her colleagues know about her complaint and has felt hostility from them. Plaintiff continues to be forced to work near TORRENCE. Additionally, Plaintiff has had problems with her log-in information, including her password changing and problems with the IT software, which Plaintiff believes are intentional acts in retaliation for her complaint.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (By Plaintiff Against All Defendants, and Each of Them, for Sexual Harassment in Violation of Government Code Section 12900, et seq.)

- 42. The allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41, inclusive, are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed charges with the DFEH, in full compliance with these sections, received right-to-sue letters, and commenced this action in a timely manner.
- 44. At all times mentioned herein, Government Code sections 12900, et seq. were in full force and effect, and were binding on Defendants. These sections require Defendants to refrain from discriminating against or harassing any employee on the basis of sex, and to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and harassment.
- 45. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, as described more fully above, constitute a pattern and continuous course of severe and pervasive unwanted harassment of Plaintiff on the basis of sex in violation of Government Code sections 12940(j)(1).
- 46. The unlawful and unwanted harassment of Plaintiff by Defendants, and each of them, created an oppressive, hostile, intimidating and/or offensive work environment for Plaintiff, and interfered with her emotional well-being and ability to perform her duties. The unlawful harassment was sufficiently severe and/or pervasive as to materially alter the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment, and to create an abusive working environment.

- 47. A reasonable person in Plaintiff's circumstances would have considered the work environment hostile and/or abusive.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, special damages including, but not limited to, losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, employment benefits, earning capacity, opportunities for employment advancement and work experience, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, general damages including but not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 50. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.
- 51. Defendants, and each of them, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 knew of the probable injurious consequences of defendant TORRENCE's continued employment, including unlawful harassment, but deliberately failed to avoid these consequences by deliberately choosing to continue his employment and by deliberately failing to restrain him, despite ample notice, from engaging in unlawful, discriminatory harassment. Such conduct was also authorized and/or ratified by an owner, officer, director or managing agent of defendant KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, including, but not limited to Shirley McWilliams, Everette Burks, and/or Karol Burnett-Quick. As a result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional harassment and/or retaliation against Plaintiff, she seeks an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof.
- 52. As a direct cause of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff had to hire the services of an attorney. Plaintiff incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorneys' fees, and is

entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Government Code section 12965(b). Plaintiff is presently unaware of the precise amount of these expenses and fees and prays leave of court to amend this complaint when the amounts are more fully known.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

(By Plaintiff Against Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, and Each of Them, for Failure to Take Steps to Prevent and/or Correct Harassment, Discrimination, and Retaliation, in Violation of Government Code Sections 12940(i) & (k))

- 53. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 52, inclusive, are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed charges with the DFEH, in full compliance with these sections, received right-to-sue letters, and commenced this action in a timely manner.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges, that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 knew, or reasonably should have known, of defendant TORRENCE's propensity for engaging in unlawful, harassing, and/or discriminatory conduct in the workplace and that he should not have been employed with KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25. Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 should have restrained its employees from engaging in unlawful, discriminatory, retaliatory, and/or harassing conduct and should have provided training and instruction to its employees on the laws pertaining to harassment, discrimination, and retaliation.
- At all times herein mentioned, Government Code sections 12940(j) and (k) were in full force and effect and were binding on Defendants. These sections require defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent harassment from occurring, and to take immediate steps to investigate and correct such harassment when it occurred. As alleged above, KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 violated these subsections by failing to take reasonable steps necessary to prevent harassment from occurring, and/or failed to take appropriate steps to investigate and correct the harassment once it occurred.

11. 

- 57. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 failed to provide adequate training to its owners, directors, supervisors, managers, and/or other employees.
- 58. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, special damages including, but not limited to, losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, employment benefits, earning capacity, opportunities for employment advancement and work experience, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, general damages including but not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 60. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.
- 61. Defendants, and each of them, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 knew of the probable injurious consequences of defendant TORRENCE's continued employment, including unlawful harassment, but deliberately failed to avoid these consequences by deliberately choosing to continue his employment and by deliberately failing to restrain him, despite ample notice, from engaging in unlawful, discriminatory harassment. Such conduct was also authorized and/or ratified by an owner, officer, director or managing agent of defendant KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, including, but not limited to Shirley McWilliams, Everette Burks, and/or Karol Burnett-Quick. As a result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional harassment and/or retaliation against Plaintiff, she seeks an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof.

62. As a direct cause of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff had to hire the services of an attorney. Plaintiff incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorneys' fees, and is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Government Coce section 12965(b). Plaintiff is presently unaware of the precise amount of these expenses and fees and prays leave of court to amend this complaint when the amounts are more fully known.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff, Against Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 for Retaliation)

- 63. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 62, inclusive, are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 64. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed charges with the California DFEH, in full compliance with these sections, and received right-to-sue letters, and has commenced this action in a timely manner.
- 65. At all times herein mentioned, Government Code section 12940(h) was in full force and effect and was binding on Defendants. This section prohibits retaliation because of opposition to, or making a complaint regarding, unlawful discrimination or harassment.
- 66. After Plaintiff protested against the above-described unlawful harassment to Plaintiff's managers, Human Resources, and other supervisors and/or managing agents, owners, officers, and/or directors of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 retaliated against Plaintiff as described above by, for example: allowing defendant TORRENCE to continue his harassing behavior; failing to conduct a good faith investigation into Plaintiff's complaints of harassment and retaliation; forcing Plaintiff to work near TORRENCE; creating performance issues and/or improperly placing Plaintiff on a Performance Improvement Plan.
- 67. The acts of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, when taken as a whole, materially and adversely affected the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment and/or were adverse employment actions, and constitute retaliation in violation of Government Code Section 12940(h).

- 68. Plaintiff's lawful complaints of harassment and retaliation were motivating reasons for the retaliatory acts of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, described in detail above.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful harassment of Plaintiff described above, Plaintiff was harmed and has suffered, and continues to suffer, special damages including, but not limited to, losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, employment benefits, earning capacity, opportunities for employment advancement and work experience, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 70. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants and each of them, Plaintiff was harmed and has suffered, and continues to suffer, general damages including but not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 71. Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.
- 72. Defendants, and each of them, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 knew of the probable injurious consequences of defendant TORRENCE's continued employment, including unlawful harassment, but deliberately failed to avoid these consequences by deliberately enough to continue his employment and by deliberately failing to restrain him, despite ample notice, from engaging in unlawful, discriminatory harassment. Such conduct was also authorized and/or ratified by an owner, officer, director or managing agent of defendant KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, including, but not limited to Shirley McWilliams, Everette Burks, and/or Karol Burnett-Quick. As a result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional harassment and/or retaliation against Plaintiff, she seeks an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof.
- 73. As a direct cause of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff had to hire the services of an attorney. Plaintiff incurred and continues to incur legal expenses and attorneys' fees, and is

6

7 8

10

9

12

11

14

15

13

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Government Code section 12965(b). Plaintiff is presently unaware of the precise amount of these expenses and fees and prays leave of court to amend this complaint when the amounts are more fully known.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# (By Plaintiff, Against All Defendants and/or DOES 1-25, and Each of Them, for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

- 74. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 73 inclusive, are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. Defendant TORRENCE's conduct alleged herein was intentional, outrageous, malicious, and committed for the purpose of causing Plaintiff to suffer humiliation, mental anguish, and severe physical and emotional distress.
- Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 had advance knowledge of the unfitness 76. of their employee defendant TORRENCE, and continued to employee him, and ratified the intentional, outrageous, malicious conduct as set forth above after owners, officers, directors, and/or managing agents were given notice of such conduct.
- 77. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants, and each of their conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiff was injured in her strength, health, and activity, sustaining shock and injury to her nervous system, all of which have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff severe emotional distress, great mental pain, embarrassment, humiliation, anguish and suffering, all to her detriment, in an amount in excess of the minimum subject matter jurisdiction of this court and according to proof.
- 78. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, special damages including, but not limited to, losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, employment benefits, earning capacity, opportunities for employment advancement and work experience, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each 79. of them, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, general damages including but

not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.

80. Defendants, and each of them, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 knew of the probable injurious consequences of defendant TORRENCE's continued employment, including unlawful harassment, but deliberately failed to avoid these consequences by deliberately choosing to continue his employment and by deliberately failing to restrain him, despite ample notice, from engaging in unlawful, discriminatory harassment. Such conduct was also authorized and/or ratified by an owner, officer, director or managing agent of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, including, but not limited to Shutey McWilliams, Everette Burks, and/or Karol Burnett-Quick. As a result of Defendants willful, knowing and intentional harassment and/or retaliation against Plaintiff, she seeks an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff, Against All Defendants and/or DOES 1-25, and Each of Them, for Assault)

- 81. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 80 inclusive, are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 82. As is set forth above and further herein, defendant TORRENCE intended to cause harmful and/or offensive contact with Plaintiff.
- 83. As a result of the actions of defendant TORRENCE, Plaintiff reasonably believed that she was about to be touched in a harmful and/or offensive manner.
  - 84. Plaintiff did not consent to defendant TORRENCE's conduct.
- 85. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges, that these actions were approved and/or ratified by defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, as is set forth above, and were approved and/or ratified by managing agents of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, and

that defendant TORRENCE was negligently hired, supervised, and/or retained, and was employed despite knowledge of his lack of fitness for the workplace. Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 are therefore liable for these actions of defendant TORRENCE.

- 86. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, special damages including, but not limited to, losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, employment benefits, earning capacity, opportunities for employment advancement and work experience, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 87. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, general damages including but not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 88. Defendants and each of their conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.
- 89. Defendants, and each of them, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 knew of the probable injurious consequences of defendant TORRENCE's continued employment, including unlawful harassment, but deliberately failed to avoid these consequences by deliberately choosing to continue his employment and by deliberately failing to restrain him, despite ample notice, from engaging in unlawful, discriminatory harassment. Such conduct was also authorized and/or ratified by an owner, officer, director or managing agent of defendant KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, including, but not limited to Shirley McWilliams, Everette Burks, and/or Karol Burnett-Quick. As a result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional harassment and/or retaliation against Plaintiff, she seeks an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# (By Plaintiff, Against All Defendants and/or DOES 1-25, and Each of Them, for Battery)

- 90. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 89, inclusive, are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 91. As set forth above, defendant TORRENCE touched Plaintiff and/or caused Plaintiff to be touched with the intent to harm and/or offend Plaintiff.
  - 92. Plaintiff did not consent to the touching.
  - 93. Plaintiff was harmed and/or offended by defendant TORRENCE's conduct.
- 94. A reasonable person in Plaintiff's situations would have been offended by the touching.
- 95. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that these actions were approved and/or ratified by defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, as is set forth above, and were approved and/or ratified by managing agents of defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, and that defendant TORRENCE was negligently hired, supervised, and/or retained and were employed despite knowledge of his lack of fitness for the workplace. Defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 are therefore liable for these actions of defendant TORRENCE.
- 96. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was narmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, special damages including, but not limited to, losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, employment benefits, earning capacity, opportunities for employment advancement and work experience, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 97. As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was harmed and suffered, and continues to suffer, general damages including but not limited to shock, embarrassment, humiliation, emotional distress, and other damages to be proven at the time of trial.
- 98. Defendants and each of their conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's harm.

1		
2		fra
3	1	an
4		is
5		of
6		in
7.		ch
8		no
9		an
10		D
11		Βι
12		ret
13		ac
14		
15		
16		
17		As
18		
19		
20		(
21		
22		
23		
24		

26

27

28

99. Defendants, and each of them, committed the acts herein alleged maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges that defendants KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25 knew of the probable injurious consequences of defendant TORRENCE's continued employment, including unlawful harassment, but deliberately failed to avoid these consequences by deliberately choosing to continue his employment and by deliberately failing to restrain him, despite ample notice, from engaging in unlawful, discriminatory harassment. Such conduct was also authorized and/or ratified by an owner, officer, director or managing agent of defendant KAISER, and/or DOES 1-25, including, but not limited to Shirley McWilliams, Everette Burks, and/or Karol Burnett-Quick. As a result of Defendants' willful knowing and intentional harassment and/or retaliation against Plaintiff, she seeks an award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Flaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows: as to the First through Third Causes of Action set forth herein, Plaintiff prays:

- 1. For general damages in amounts according to proof;
- For special damages in amounts according to proof;
- For punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendants;
- 4. For attorneys' fees as provided by law;
- 5. For injunctive relief as provided by law;
- 6. For declaratory relief as provided by law;
- 7. For pre-judgment interest as provided by law;
- 8. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 9. For such other and further relief as the Court deems fair and just.

As to the Fourth through Sixth Causes of Action set forth herein, Plaintiff prays:

1	1.	For general damages in amounts according to proof;		
2	2.	For special damages in amounts according to proof;		
3	3.	For punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out		
4		of Defendants;		
5	4.	For pre-judgment interest as provided by law;		
6	5.	For costs of suit incurred herein; and		
7	6.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems fair and just.		
8				
9	DATED: June	1, 2017 WINER, MEKENNA & BURRITT, LLP		
10				
11		Rv. S		
12	•	Alexis S. McKenna		
13		Elana R. Jacobs  Attorneys for Plaintiff		
. 14		PATRICIA NEWELL		
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20		•		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

EXHIBIT A



DIRECTOR PHYLLIS W. CHENG

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove, CA | 95758 800-884-1884 | Videophone for the DEAF 916-228-5285 | TTY 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov | e-mail: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

EEOC Number: 555-2016-00969C

Case Name: Patricia J. Newell vs. KAISER PERMANENTE

Filing Date: September 7, 2016

#### NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT AND RESPONDENT

This is to advise you that the above-referenced complaint is being dual filed with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The complaint will be filed in accordance with California Government Code section 12960. This notice constitutes service pursuant to Government Code section 12962.

The EEOC is responsible for the processing of this complaint and the DFEH will not be conducting an investigation into this matter. Please contact EEOC directly for any discussion of the complaint or the investigation.

#### NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT OF RIGHTS O-SUE

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. This Right-To-Sue Notice allows you to file a private lawsuit in State court. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), you may bring a civil action under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The lawsuit may be filed in a State of California Superior Court. Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), provides that such a civil action must be brought within one year from the date of this notice. Pursuant to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (d)(1), this one-year period will be tolled during the pendency of the EEOC's investigation of your complaint. You should consult an attorney to determine with accuracy the date by which a civil action must be filed. This right to file a civil action may be waived in the event a settlement agreement is signed.

If you have questions about the right to file under federal law, please contact the EEOC using the contact information below.

EEOC Northern California 450 Golden Gate Ave 5-West PO Box 36025 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 522-3000

EEOC Southern California 255 East Temple Ste., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 894-1100

EEQC Form 5 (11/09) CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION Charge Presented To: Agency(les) Charge No(s): This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act **FEPA** Statement and other information before completing this form. X **EEOC** 555-2016-00969 California Department Of Fair Employment & Housing and EEOC State or local Agency, If any Name (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) Home Phone (Incl. Area Code) Date of Birth Ms. Patricia J. Newell Street Address City. State and 712 Code 673 10th Street, Oakland, CA 94607 Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeship Committee, or State or Local Government Agency that I Believe Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS below.) Phone No. (Include Area Code) KAISER PERMANENTE 500 or More (510) 625-6951 Street Address City, State and ZIP Code 1800 Harrison, Oakland, CA 94612 Name No. Employees, Members Phone No. (Include Area Code) Street Address City, State and Zip Code DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).) DATE(S) DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE Latest RELIGION RACE COLOR X SEX NATIONAL ORIGIN 01-01-2008 06-01-2016 RETALIATION DISABILITY GENETIC INFORMATION OTHER (Specify) CONTINUING ACTION THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)): I was hired on March 31, 2008 in the position of desktop Support. I performed my duties satisfactorily and report to Everette Barrs, OPS Manager. I have been subjected to repeated and egregious incidents of sexual harassment by Consultant Specialist Al Torrence since the start of my employment. For example, he makes explicit, crude jokes to me such as asking it want to play a game of hide the sausage. Further, he repeatedly calls my supervisor to inquire about my presence although he has no authority over me or my projects. I am aware of several other women who have reported him to Most recently, on March 28, 2016, he walked into my cubicle, rubbed his upper leg on my leg and put his groin area in my face as he said, "You know you want this." As I tried to move away, he reached and touched the top of my pants and said, "Oh, red" referring to the color of my underwear. I reported this incident to my supervisor Everett Barrs on or around April 5, 2016. Respondent has failed to take corrective action. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. ! NOTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Requirements will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. the best of my knowledge, information and belief. SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year) Date Charging Party Signature

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act
Statement and other information before completing this form.

Charge Presented To: Agency(les) Charge No(s):
FEPA
X EEOC 555-2016-00969

California Department Of Fair Employment & Housing
State or local Agency, If any

I believe that I have been subjected to sexual harassment, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights
Act of 1964, as amended.

I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with the procedures.  I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.		NOTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Requirements  I swear or affirm that i have read the above charge and that it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.  SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT	
		SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)	
Dale	Charging Party Signature		

CP Enclosure with EEOC Form 5 (11/09)

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT: Under the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. Law 93-579, authority to request personal data and its uses are:

- FORM NUMBER/TITLE/DATE. EEOC Form 5, Charge of Discrimination (11/09).
- 2. AUTHORITY. 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(b), 29 U.S.C. 211, 29 U.S.C. 626, 42 U.S.C. 12117, 42 U.S.C. 2000ff-6.
- 3. PRINCIPAL PURPOSES. The purposes of a charge, taken on this form or otherwise reduced to writing (whether later recorded on this form or not) are, as applicable under the EEOC anti-discrimination statutes (EEOC statutes), to preserve private suit rights under the EEOC statutes, to invoke the EEOC's jurisdiction and, where dual-filling or referral arrangements exist, to begin state or local proceedings.
- 4. ROUTINE USES. This form is used to provide facts that may establish the existence of matters covered by the EEOC statutes (and as applicable, other federal, state or local laws). Information given will be used by staff to guide its mediation and investigation efforts and, as applicable, to determine, conciliate and litigate claims of unlawful discrimination. This form may be presented to or disclosed to other federal, state or local agencies as appropriate or necessary in carrying out EEOC's functions. A copy of this charge will ordinarily be sent to the respondent organization against which the charge is made.
- 5. WHETHER DISCLOSURE IS MANDATORY; EFFECT OF NOT GIVING INFORMATION. Charges must be reduced to writing and should identify the charging and responding parties and the actions or policies complained of. Without a written charge, EEOC will ordinarily not act on the complaint. Charges under Title VII, the ADA or GINA must be sworn to or affirmed (either by using this form or by presenting a notarized statement or unsworn declaration under penalty of perjury); charges under the ADEA should ordinarily be signed. Charges may be clarified or amplified later by amendment. It is not mandatory that this form the used to make a charge.

# NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST SUBSTANTIAL WEIGHT REVIEW

Charges filed at a state or local Fair Employment Practices Agency (FEPA) that dual-files charges with EEOC will ordinarily be handled first by the FEPA. Some charges filed at EEOC may also be first handled by a FEPA under worksharing agreements. You will be told which agency will handle your charge. When the FEPA is the first to handle the charge, it will notify you of its final resolution of the matter. Then, if you wish EEOC to give Substantial Weight Review to the FEPA's final findings, you must ask us in writing to do so within 15 days of your receipt of its findings. Otherwise, we will ordinarily adopt the FEPA's finding and close our file on the charge.

# NOTICE OF NON-RETALIATION REQUIREMENTS

Please notify EEOC or the state or local agency where you filed your charge if retailation is taken against you or others who oppose discrimination or cooperate in any investigation or lawsuit concerning this charge. Under Section 704(a) of Title VII, Section 4(d) of the ADEA, Section 503(a) of the ADA and Section 207(f) of GINA, it is unlawful for an employer to discriminate against present or former employees or job applicants, for an employment agency to discriminate against anyone, or for a union to discriminate against its members or membership applicants, because they have opposed any practice made unlawful by the statutes, or because they have made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under the laws. The Equal Pay Act has similar provisions and Section 503(b) of the ADA prohibits coercion, intimidation, threats or interference with anyone for exercising or enjoying, or aiding or encouraging others in their exercise or enjoyment of, rights under the Act.

EXHIBIT B



2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 | TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov | email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

## **AMENDED**

March 17, 2017

Elana Jacobs Winer, McKenna And Burritt, 1999 Harrison St., Ste. 600 Oakland California 94612

**RE: Notice to Complainant or Complainant's Attorney** 

DFEH Matter Number: 866915-279562

Right to Sue: Newell / Kaiser Permanente International

Dear Complainant or Complainant's Attorney:

Attached is a copy of your complaint of discrimination filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code section 12900 et seq. Also attached is a copy of your Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue. Pursuant to Government Code section 12962, DFEH will not serve these documents on the employer. You or your attorney must serve the complaint. If you do not have an attorney, you must serve the complaint yourself. Please refer to the attached Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue for information regarding filing a private lawsuit in the State of California.

Be advised that the DFEH does not review or edit the complaint form to ensure that it meets procedural or statutory requirements.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing



2218 Kausen Drive, Sulte 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov I email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

**AMENDED** 

March 17, 2017

**RE: Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint** 

DFEH Matter Number: 866915-279562

Right to Sue: Newell / Kaiser Permanente International

To All Respondent(s):

Enclosed is a copy of a complaint of discrimination that has been filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) in accordance with Government Code section 12960. This constitutes service of the complaint pursuant to Government Code section 12962. The complainant has requested an authorization to file a lawsuit. This case is not being investigated by DFEH and is being closed immediately. A copy of the Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to the attached complaint for a list of all respondent(s) and their contact information.

No response to DFEH is requested or required.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing



2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 | TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov | email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

#### **AMENDED**

March 17, 2017

Patricia Newell C/o 1999 Harrison St., Ste 600, Oakland, CA 94612 Oakland, California 94607

RE: Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

DFEH Matter Number: 866915-279562

Right to Sue: Newell / Kaiser Permanente International

Dear Patricia Newell,

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective March 17, 2017 because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right to Sue notice, you must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

## DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov I email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

**AMENDED** 

**Enclosures** 

cc: Kaiser Foundation Hospitals

**Albert Torrence** 

## 1 COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION 2 BEFORE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING Under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.) 5 6 In the Matter of the Complaint of DFEH No. 866915-279562 Patricia Newell, Complainant. 7 C/o 1999 Harrison St., Ste 600, Oakland, CA 94612 8 Oakland, California 94607 9 vs. 10 Kaiser Permanente International, 11 Respondent. 12 13

Complainant alleges:

- 1. Respondent Kaiser Permanente International is a subject to suit under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.). Complainant believes respondent is subject to the FEHA.
- 2. On or around June 01, 2017, complainant alleges that respondent took the following adverse actions against complainant: Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation Devied a work environment free of discrimination and/or retaliation, Complainant believes respondent committed these actions because of their: Sex Gender.
- 3. Complainant Patricia Newell resides in the City of Oakland, State of California. If complaint includes co-respondents please see below.

DFEH 902-1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

-5-

Complaint ± DFEH No. 866915-279562

Date Filed: March 17, 2017

Date Amended: June 01, 2017

DFEH 902-1

Complaint ± DFEH No. 866915-279562

Date Filed: March 17, 2017

Date Amended: June 01, 2017

# **Additional Complaint Details:**

.3

During my employment with Kaiser Permanente in Oakland, California, I was subjected to frequent, ongoing and unwanted sexual conduct and comments because of my gender, by supervsior Albert Torrence from appoximately 2010 to approximately March 28, 2016. When I complained about the treatment, I was retaliated against, and the company failed to prevent and/or correct the harassment, discrimniation and/or retaliation.

**DFEH 902-1** 

Complaint ± DFEH No. 866915-279562

Date Amended: June 01, 2017

Date Filed: March 17, 2017

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, Elana R. Jacobs, am the Attorney for Complainant in the above-entitled complaint. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true.

On March 17, 2017, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

> Oakland, California Elana R. Jacobs

DEEH 802-1

Complaint ± DFEH No. 866915-279562

Date Filed: March 17, 2017

Date Amended: June 01, 2017