1			
2			
3			
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
5	FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH		
6 7	RANDALL N. THURMAN, PERSONAL ) Case No. REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF ) LOUISE GAIL THURMAN, )		
8	<ul> <li>COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR</li> <li>Plaintiff</li> <li>JURY TRIAL</li> <li>(Medical Negligence)</li> </ul>		
9	v. ) ) Prayer: \$2,350,000.00		
10	NORTHWEST PERMANENTE, P.C., anFiling Fee \$793.00 perOregon corporation; KAISERORS 21.160(1)(d)		
11	FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, INC., a ) California corporation; KAISER )		
12	FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN OF THE NORTHWEST; BRIGITTE K. NIXON,		
13	M.D.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	COMES NOW Plaintiff, Randal Thurman, Personal Representative for the Estate		
16	of Louise Gail Thurman, and for his claim against Defendants, hereby alleges as follows:		
17	1.		
18	At all times material herein, Plaintiff Randall N. Thurman was the duly-appointed		
19	Personal Representative of the Estate of Louise Gail Thurman, Plaintiff's wife and mother		
20	of their surviving daughter. Plaintiff brings this case on behalf of the Estate, himself, and		
21	his daughter.		
22	2.		
23	At all times material herein, Defendant Northwest Permanente, PC, was a		
24	professional corporation licensed in the State of Oregon and engaged in the business of		
25	providing medical care to patients through the work of physician and non-physician		
26	individuals employed by or otherwise acting as agents of Northwest Permanente, PC.		
Page	e 1 - COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL MILLER & WAGNER LLP (Madical Nagligan 22)		

(Medical Negligence)

•

1

At all times material herein, Defendant Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc. was Galifornia corporation licensed to do business in the State of Oregon and engaged in the business of owning and operating inpatient and outpatient medical centers where patients received medical care by Northwest Permanente physicians and non-physicians. In addition, Defendant Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc. employed or otherwise engaged as agents individuals either practicing medicine or providing ancillary services to facilitate the practice of medicine.

4.

9

18

At all times material herein, Defendant Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of the 10 Northwest was an Oregon corporation engaged in the business of owning and operating 11 inpatient and outpatient medical centers where patients received medical care by 12 Northwest Permanente physicians and non-physicians. In addition, Defendant Kaiser 13 Foundation Hospitals, Inc. employed or otherwise engaged as agents individuals either 14 practicing medicine or providing ancillary services to facilitate the practice of medicine. 15 Defendant Kaiser Health Plan of the Northwest owned and operated Kaiser Permanente 16 Northwest Laboratories. 17

5.

19 Defendants Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc., Northwest Permanente, PC and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of the Northwest were, at all times material herein, 20 21 engaged in a joint enterprise to provide medical care and services to patients enrolled in 22 the Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, including Plaintiff's decedent. Each of these 23 defendants acted as the agent of the other. Hereinafter, Defendants Northwest 24 Permanente, PC, Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc. and Kaiser Foundation Health Plan of 25 the Northwest will be collectively referred to as "Defendant Kaiser." 26 111

Page 2 - COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL (Medical Negligence)

1

2

3

4

5

6

At all times material herein, Defendant Brigitte K. Nixon (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant Nixon") was a medical doctor, licensed by the State of Oregon and practicing the medical specialty of anatomic pathology. Defendant Nixon was at all times material herein either employed by or otherwise engaged by Defendant Kaiser as its agent.

7

8 On or about March 19, 2013, Louise Thurman was diagnosed by Defendant Kaiser 9 as having breast cancer within her right breast. Pathology testing and consultation with 10 physicians at Defendant Kaiser led Louise Thurman to undergo surgical removal of the 11 cancerous lump in her breast, or a lumpectomy, on April 11, 2013.

7.

12

13 The lumpectomy specimen was delivered to Defendant Kaiser's laboratory for 14 analysis. Defendant Nixon and other agents of Defendant Kaiser reviewed the 15 lumpectomy specimen, and adjacent tissues and lymph nodes, and issued a report of that 16 review, which diagnosed Louise Thurman as suffering from invasive ductal carcinoma of 17 the right breast. Because of that diagnosis, the physicians directing the care of Louise 18 Thurman recommended that she undergo adjuvant chemotherapy and adjuvant radiation 19 therapy. Louise Thurman underwent such treatment beginning in May of 2013.

20

On of about September 23, 2013, physicians caring for Louise Thurman recommended that the original pathology specimen taken from Mrs. Thurman's breast be re-examined under the microscope. That re-examination led physicians caring for Louise Thurman to believe her original pathological diagnosis made by Defendant Nixon and other agents of Kaiser was incorrect, and that Louise Thurman suffered from invasive neuroendocrine carcinoma, not invasive ductal carcinoma.

9.

Page 3 - COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL (Medical Negligence)

1		10.
2	There	after Louise Thurman's cancer spread and she died on January 28, 2014.
3		11.
4	Defen	dants, and each of them, individually and through the actions of their
5	employees a	nd/or agents, were negligent in one or more of the following respects:
6	(a)	In failing to correctly diagnose Louise Thurman's breast cancer as invasive
7		neuroendocrine carcinoma at or around the time of her lumpectomy in
8		April of 2013;
9	(b)	In failing to perform special staining studies on Louise Thurman's breast
10		tissue obtained at the time of the lumpectory in order to better diagnose
11		and stage her disease;
12	(c)	In reporting that Louise Thurman's breast cancer was invasive ductal
13		carcinoma;
14	(d)	In failing to perform special staining studies on Louise Thurman's breast
15		tissue removed at lumpectomy before September 23, 2013;
16	(e)	In failing to advise Louise Thurman and her family that the pathological
17		diagnosis of April, 2013 was confused, showing mixed tissue types, and
18		needed a second review or opinion; and
19	(f)	In failing to obtain a second review of the lumpectomy specimen by a
20	C	pathologist or lab specially trained in interpreting breast cancers.
21		12.
22	As a r	esult of Defendants' negligence, Louise Thurman's breast cancer spread to
23	other parts o	of her body and caused her death. Had the pathological diagnosis of Mrs.
24	Thurman's b	reast tissue removed at the time of her lumpectomy been correct, Mrs.
25	Thurman's s	urgical and medical treatment of her breast cancer would have been
26	different and	l, to a reasonable degree of medical probability, she would not have died on

1	January	28,	2014.
---	---------	-----	-------

2

13.

-			
3	Plaint	iff and those entitled to recover damages arising from Louise Thurman's	
4	death, have	lost her society and companionship for the rest of their lives. Defendants'	
5	negligence a	lso caused Louise Thurman to suffer tremendous pain, suffering and	
6	emotional di	stress arising from both the incorrect treatment she received, and learning	
7	that her orig	inal pathological diagnosis was incorrect, all to Plaintiff's non-economic	
8	damages in	the amount of \$2,000,000.00. Plaintiff has also incurred medical expenses,	
9	funeral/burial expenses, and related expenses in the approximate sum of \$100,000.00,		
10	and the Estate has suffered pecuniary loss in the approximate sum of \$250,000.00, as		
11	additional e	conomic damages.	
12	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them,	
13	as follows:		
14	(a)	For non-economic damages in the amount of \$2,000,000.00;	
15	(b)	For economic damages in the amount of \$100,000.00; and	
16	(c)	For his costs and disbursements incurred herein.	
17	DATE	ED this 22 <sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2016.	
18		MILLER & WAGNER, LLP	
19	,	With Right DQ	
20	C	David K, Miller, OSB No. 823370	
21		MILLER & WAGNER, LLP 2210 NW Flanders Street	
22		Portland, OR 97210 Phone: 503-299-6116	
23		Fax: 503-299-6106 Email: dkm@miller-wagner.com	
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
Pag	e5- CO	MPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL MILLER & WAGNER LLP	

Page 5 - COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL (Medical Negligence)

1	Thomas Rask, OSB No. 934031 KELL, ALTERMAN & RUNSTEIN, LLP
2	520 SW Yamhill St., Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204
3	Phone: 503-222-3531 Fax: 503-227-2980
4	Email: <u>trask@kelrun.com</u>
5	PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL
6	A CO
7	David K Miller OSB No. 823370
8	David K. Miller, OSB No. 823370 Tom Rask, OSB No. 934031 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	
10	C
11	1 Contraction of the second seco
12	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
13	
14	
15	
16	- Die
17	
18	
19	
20	$\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{C}}$
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
Pag	e 6 - COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL MILLER & WAG

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL (Medical Negligence)