Superior Court of California County of Orange



Case Number: 30-2016-09874024-CU-MM-CJC

Copy Request: 2740557

Request Type: Case Documents

Prepared for: cns

Number of documents: 1

Number of pages: 4

AITKEN+AITKEN+COHN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRINTED ON

RECYCLED PAPER

DOE defendants is, in some manner, responsible for the events and happenings herein set forth and proximately caused injury and damages to the plaintiffs as herein alleged.

- At all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants were the agents and 2. employees of each of the remaining defendants and was at all times herein mentioned acting within the scope of said agency and employment.
- At all times herein mentioned, defendants, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS., and DOES 1 through 10, owned, operated and maintained pursuant to a license duly issued by the California State Department of Public Health, general hospitals known as KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, in the County of Orange, State of California.
- 4. At all times herein mentioned, defendants, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP and KAISER PERMANENTE and DOES 20 through 100, were and are physicians and surgeons duly licensed to practice medicine in the State of California.
- Since 2010, and perhaps before then, and through October 2015, defendants 5. KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP; KAISER PERMANENTE and Does 1-100 undertook the care, treatments, and examinations of the plaintiff in order to treat said plaintiff for difficulties he was encountering with his vision, among other related complaints.
- At said time and place, defendants, and each of them, so negligently, carelessly, recklessly, wantenly, and unlawfully treated, provided medical care, and examined the plaintiff so as to directly and legally cause permanent injury to the plaintiff. In particular, defendants, and each of them negligently failed to diagnose and treat plaintiff for a tumor that was responsible for the aforementioned vision difficulties. Said tumor was therefore allowed to grow in size to such an extent as to cause serious and permanent injury to plaintiff, including permanent legal blindness and deformation.
- As a direct and legal result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, 7. wantonness and unlawfulness of the defendants, and each of them, and the resulting permanent injuries, as aforesaid, plaintiff EDWIN CORNELIA, sustained severe and serious injury to his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

person, all to plaintiff's damage in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and to be shown according to proof.

- At said time and place, the defendants, and each of them, without the consent of 8. the plaintiff, treated, provided medical care, and examined the plaintiff. As a direct and legal result, the plaintiff sustained injury to his eyes.
- As a direct and legal result of said unauthorized treatment and medical care of the defendants, and each of them, and the resulting injury, plaintiff, EDWIN CORNELIA, sustained severe and serious injury to his person, all to plaintiff's damage in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and to be shown according to proof.
- By reason of the foregoing, this plaintiff has been required to employ the services 10. of hospitals, physicians, surgeons, nurses and other professional services and plaintiff has been compelled to incur expenses for ambulance service, medicines, x-rays and other medical supplies and services. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that further services of said nature will be required by plaintiff for an impredictable period in the future services of said nature will be required by plaintiff for an unpredictable period in the future, all to the damage of plaintiff in an amount to be shown according to proof.
- At the time of the injury, as aforesaid, plaintiff, EDWIN CORNELIA, was 11. regularly and faithfully employed, by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has been unable to engage in his employment for a time subsequent to said incident and plaintiff is informed and believes and upon such information and belief, alleges that he will be unable to work in his said employment for an indefinite period in the future, all to plaintiff's damage in an amount to be shown according to proof.
- 12. The injury upon which this action is based occurred in the County of Orange, State of California.

/// /// ///

27 ///

28

COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF MARIE CORNELIA AS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 13. through 12 of plaintiff's First Cause of Action, and each and every part thereof with the same force and effect as though set out at length herein.
- By reason of said injuries suffered and sustained by plaintiff's husband, plaintiff 14. MARIA CORNELIA has been deprived of love, companionship, affection, society, sexual relations, and solace of her husband, all to plaintiff's further damage.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs, EDWIN CORNELIA and MARIE CORNELIA, pray for judgment against the defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- General damages in a sum according to proof: A.
- Sums incurred and to be incurred for services of hospital, physicians, surgeons, В. nurses and other professional services, ambulance services, x-rays, and other medical supplies and services;
 - Loss of income incurred and to be incurred according to proof; C.
 - Loss of husband's services; D.
 - Housekeeping expenses incurred and to be incurred according to proof; E.
 - F. Loss of love, companionship, affection, society, and solace;
- For interest provided by law including, but not limited to Cal. Civ. Code Section G. 3291; and <
 - Cost of suit and for such other and further relief as the Court deems proper;
 - In addition thereto, the plaintiffs hereby demand a Trial by Jury.

Dated: September <u>\$\mathcal{2}\$</u>, 2016

By:

COHN Attorneys for Plaintiff