Plaintiff, MICHELLE MACIAS ("Plaintiff"), hereby brings his complaint against the above-named Defendants and states and alleges as follows:

#### **Preliminary Allegations**

- 1. At all times material herein, Plaintiff, MICHELLE MACIAS (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") was employed by Defendant in the State of California, County of Solano. Plaintiff is currently a resident of Solano County, California.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants KAISER PERMANENTE AND KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC. are corporations that were at all times mentioned in this complaint, duly licensed to do business, was and is doing business, under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant acted in all respects pertinent to this action as the agent of the other Defendants, carried out a joint scheme, business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and the acts of each Defendant are legally attributable to the other Defendants.
- 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to plaintiff who therefore sues these Defendants under said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants named as a Doe Defendant is legally responsible in some manner for the events referred to in this complaint, either negligently, willfully, wantonly, recklessly, tortiously, strictly liable, statutorily liable or otherwise, for the injuries and damages described below to this Plaintiff. Plaintiff will in the future seek leave of this court to show the true names and capacities of these Doe Defendants when it has been ascertained.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times
  Relevant herein, each Defendant designated, including DOES 1-100, herein was the agent,
  managing agent, principal, owner, partner, joint venturer, representative, supervisor, manager,
  servant, employee and/or co-conspirator of each of the other Defendants, and was at all times
  mentioned herein acting within the course and scope of said agency and employment, and that all

acts or omissions alleged herein were duly committed with the ratification, knowledge, permission, encouragement, authorization and consent of each Defendant designated herein.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

### DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FEHA

(Against Defendant, and DOES 1-100)

- 6. Plaintiff refers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 25, inclusive and incorporates each by reference as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 7. At all times herein mentioned, for over 17 years until Plaintiff's wrongful termination on or about September 2015, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive, and each of them, who were also, at all times herein mentioned, Plaintiff's employers, managers and supervisors.
- 8. At all times from November 2013 and continuing, Plaintiff had the perceived and/or physical disability(s) of spinal injuries, and the mental disability of anxiety disorder.
- 9. On one occasion during Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff and the other managers were required to walk from the second floor to the third floor. During this time, Plaintiff was in pain due to her spinal disabilities. She mentioned to her supervisor, Tim DOE, that she was feeling pain due to her disability. Upon learning that Plaintiff had a disability, Plaintiff's supervisor stated, in front of all of Plaintiff's colleagues, "What? You never disclosed that on your application for this job! It would made me give a second thought on who I would have hired for this position." Plaintiff confirmed that she was disabled, and was embarrassed and humiliated in front of all of her colleagues, in the process.
- 10. After learning that Plaintiff had a physical disability, Plaintiff had a proverbial target on her back. Plaintiff was subjected to continued scrutiny and micromanagement by her supervisors. Plaintiff's work was nitpicked, and Plaintiff was continuously harassed in the

 workplace by her supervisors and colleagues. Plaintiff was even told not to speak during staff meetings, when she tried to volunteer an opinion as everybody was encouraged to do.

- 11. Plaintiff's supervisors regularly made discriminatory comments about Plaintiff's disabilities and her ability to perform her work. Plaintiff's supervisors regularly commented on how "slow" Plaintiff was, and how it was "taking too long" for Plaintiff to complete her tasks. Plaintiff complained on several occasions to Human Resources about this mistreatment, to no avail.
- 12. Plaintiff subsequently disclosed her mental disabilities to Par DOE, another supervisor. At no time was Plaintiff offered any accommodations for her mental or physical disabilities, nor did Defendant engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff at any time. Shortly after Plaintiff disclosed her mental disabilities to supervisor Pat DOE, Pat DOE complained about Plaintiff's ability to do the work stating that Plaintiff was not a "good fit" but that she was "stuck with [Plaintiff]." Pat DOE also began blaming Plaintiff for her mistakes, in order to avoid consequences on her own. This would result in Plaintiff being disciplined for pretextual reasons that had nothing to do with Plaintiff's conduct or performance.
- 13. On or about August 13, 2015, Plaintiff requested and/or was entitled to and/or was granted intermittent CFRA and/or other medical and/or other negotiated leave in order to recuperate and heal.
  - 14. Plaintiff made and/or articulated a worker's compensation injury.
  - 15. Plaintiff was placed off of work for approximately one week at this time.
- 16. After her return to work, Plaintiff's supervisors and Defendant's management representatives began retaliating against Plaintiff on the basis of her articulating a disability, and for requesting accommodations.

#### **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

17. Approximately two weeks after her return to work, Plaintiff was wrongfully terminated on September 9, 2015, when she was forced to retire by Defendant. Had Plaintiff not elected to "retire", she would have been terminated, and thus was given no choice. Subsequently, Plaintiff was informed and believes that she was marked as "unable to be rehired", by Defendant.

- 18. Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, and each of them, discriminated, harassed, and retaliated against Plaintiff on the basis of Plaintiff's perceived and or physical disability(s), by the following continuous actions, and conduct, among others:
  - a. Failing to determine the extent of Plaintiff's disability(s) and how they could be accommodated;
  - b. Failing to take any affirmative steps to inform Plaintiff of any job opportunities within the company;
  - c. Failing to consider Plaintiff for and move Plaintiff into openings for which Plaintiff was qualified and could handle subject to Plaintiffs disability(s);
  - d. Failing to engage in a timely, good faith, interactive process with Plaintiff to determine effective reasonable accommodations;
  - e. Harassing discriminating and retaliating against Plaintiff due to Plaintiffs disability(s), as hereinalleged;
  - f. Harassing, discriminating and retaliating against Plaintiff due to Plaintiff requesting and/or taking and/or being entitled to CFRA and/or other lawful medical leave;
  - g. On or about September 9, 2015, retaliating against and wrongfully terminating Plaintiff for false and pretextual reasons.

///

- Keeping and/or replacing Plaintiff with and/or treating more favorably, a less experienced, less senior and/or non-disabled individual;
- i. Failing to rehire and/or reemploy Plaintiff.
- 19. The acts and conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as aforesaid, was in violation of California Government Code §12940 et seq. Said statutes impose certain duties upon Defendants, and each of them, concerning harassment, discrimination and retaliation against persons, such as Plaintiff, on the basis of perceived and/or physical disability and the prohibition of perceived and/or physical disability harassment, discrimination and retaliation. Said statutes were intended to prevent the type of injury and damage herein set forth. Plaintiff was, at all times material hereto, an employee with a perceived and/or physical disability(s), and within the protected class covered by California Government Code §12940, prohibiting perceived and/or physical disability harassment, discrimination and retaliation in employment.
- 20. By the acts and conduct described above, Defendants, and each of them, in violation of said statutes, knew about or should have known about, and failed to investigate and/or properly investigate, prevent or remedy the perceived and/or physical disability harassment, retaliation and discrimination. The acts of discrimination, retaliation and harassment described herein were sufficiently pervasive so as to alter the conditions of employment, and created an abusive working environment. When Plaintiff was harassed, discriminated against and retaliated against, Plaintiff's perceived and/or physical disability(s) and/or complaints about the unlawful conduct were a substantial factor motivating and/or motivating reasons in Defendants' conduct.

21. Plaintiff filed timely charges and complaints of perceived and/or physical disability harassment, retaliation and discrimination with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing and has received Notice(s) of Right to Sue in a California Superior Court pursuant to California Government Code §12965(b). Plaintiff has therefore exhausted Plaintiff's administrative remedies under the California Government Code.

- 22. By the aforesaid acts and conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has been directly and legally caused to suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code §3333 including, but not limited to, loss of earnings and future earning capacity, medical and related expenses for care and procedures both now and in the future, attorneys fees, and other pecuniary loss not presently ascertained, for which Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend when ascertained.
- 23. As a direct and legal result of the less and omissions of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff suffered, among other things numerous internal injuries, severe fright, shock, pain, discomfort and anxiety. The exact nature and extent of said injuries are not known to the plaintiff, who will pray leave of court to insert the same when they are ascertained. Plaintiff does not at this time know the exact duration or permanence of said injuries, but is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that some of the said injuries are reasonably certain to be permanent in character.
- As a further direct and legal result of the acts and conduct of Defendants, as aforesaid, Plaintiff has been caused, and did suffer, and continues to suffer severe and permanent emotional and mental distress and anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, fright, shock, pain, discomfort and anxiety. The exact nature and extent of said injuries is presently unknown to plaintiff, who will pray leave of court to assert the same when they are ascertained.

25. Plaintiff has been generally damaged in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this court.

26. The aforementioned acts of Defendants, and each of them, were wilful, wanton, malicious, intentional, oppressive and despicable and were done in wilful and conscious disregard of the rights, welfare and safety of plaintiff, and were done by managerial agents and employees of Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, and with the express knowledge, consent, and ratification of managerial agents and employees of Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, thereby justifying the awarding of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

27. As a result of the discriminatory acts of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of said suit as specifically provided in California Government Code § 12965(b)

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# FOR VIOLATION OF THE CADIFORNIA FAMILY RIGHTS ACT [CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE § 12945.2]

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 27 as though set forth in full herein.
- 29. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants who qualified for leave due to a physical disability's) pursuant to California Government Code Section 12945.2 et seq.
- At all times herein mentioned, Defendants were "Employer[s]" within the definition of Government Code Section 12945.2, in that Defendants regularly employed 50 or more people and/or provided its employees with medical leave equal and/or greater than CFRA.
- 31. Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, and each of them, denied and retaliated against Plaintiff for being entitled to and/or requesting and/or taking Plaintiff's Family Rights and Family Care and Medical Leave, by the following actions, among others:

- i. Defendants and each of them, retaliated against and terminated Plaintiff because of Plaintiff's entitlement and/or request and/or taking of the right to medical leave in violation of Government Code Section 12945.2 et seq.:
- Defendants, and each of them, failed to give Plaintiff an opportunity at any time to return to work in violation of Government Code Section 12945.2 et seq.;
- iii. Defendants, and each of them, refused to allow Plaintiff to retain

  Plaintiff's employee status and refused to return Plaintiff to work in

  violation of Government Code Section 12945.2 et seg
- 32. By the acts and conduct described above, Defendants, and each of them, in violation of said statutes, knew about, or should have known about, and failed to investigate and/or properly investigate, prevent or remedy the retaliation and discrimination in violation of the Family Rights Act The acts of discrimination described herein were sufficiently pervasive so as to alter the conditions of employment, and created an abusive working environment. Plaintiffs request and/or taking and/or right to take, Family Medical Leave, was a substantial factor motivating and/or motivating reason in Defendants' conduct.
- 33. As a result of the discriminatory acts of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of said suit as specifically provided in California Government Code § 12965(b).

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

- Plaintiff refers to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 54, inclusive, and incorporates each by reference as though fully set forth at length herein
- 35. At all times herein mentioned, the public policy of the State of California, as codified, expressed and mandated in California Government Code §12940 was to prohibit employers from discriminating and retaliating against any individual based on their perceived and/or physical and mental disabilities. This public policy of the State of California is designed to protect all employees and to promote the welfare and well-being of the community at large. Accordingly, the actions of Defendants, and each of them, in discriminating, retaliating and

\$ 176 12016

terminating Plaintiff on the grounds of stated above, or for complaining about such discrimination and retaliation, was wrongful and in contravention and violation of the express public policy of the State of California, to with the policy set forth in California Government Code §12940 et seq., and the laws and regulations promulgated thereunder.

36. At all times herein mentioned, the public policy of the State of California, as codified, expressed and mandated in California Government Code §12940 was to prohibit employers from discriminating and retaliating against any individual based on their requesting and/or entitlement and/or taking Family Medical Leave. This public policy of the State of California is designed to protect all employees and to promote the welfare and well-being of the community at large. Accordingly, the actions of Defendants, and each of them, in discriminating, retaliating and terminating Plaintiff on the grounds of stated above, or for complaining about such discrimination and retaliation, was wrongful and in contravention and violation of the express public policy of the State of California, to wit, the policy set forth in California Government Code §12940 et seq., and the laws and regulations promulgated thereunder.

37. By the aforesaid acts and conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has been directly and legally caused to suffer actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code §333 including, but not limited to, loss of earnings and future earning capacity, medical and related expenses for care and procedures both now and in the future, attorneys fees, and other pecuniary loss not presently ascertained, for which Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend when ascertained.

38. As a direct and legal result of the acts and omissions of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was rendered sick, sore, lame, disabled and disordered, both internally and externally, and suffered, among other things, numerous internal injuries, severe fright, shock, pain, discomfort and anxiety. The exact nature and extent of said injuries are not known to the plaintiff, who will pray leave of court to insert the same when they are ascertained. Plaintiff does not at this time know the exact duration or permanence of said injuries, but is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that some of the said injuries are reasonably certain to be permanent in character.

///

39. As a further legal result of the acts and omissions of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has been forced to incur expenses for medical care, X-rays, and laboratory costs during the period of Plaintiff's disability, and is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that he will in the future be forced to incur additional expenses of the same nature, all in an amount which is at present unknown. Plaintiff will pray leave of court to show the exact amount of said expenses at the time of trial.

- 40. Prior to the occurrence of the incidents, Plaintiff was an able-bodied individual, but since said incidents has been unable to engage fully in Plaintiff's occupation, and is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff will be incapacitated and unable to perform Plaintiff's usual work for an indefinite period of time in the future, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount which is at present unascertained. Plaintiff will pray leave of court to show the total amount of loss of earnings at the time of trial.
- 41. As a further direct and legal result of the acts and conduct of Defendants, as aforesaid, Plaintiff has been caused, and did suffer, and continues to suffer severe and permanent emotional and mental distress and anguish humiliation, embarrassment, fright, shock, pain, discomfort and anxiety. The exact nature and extent of said injuries is presently unknown to plaintiff, who will pray leave of court to assert the same when they are ascertained.
- 42. Plaintiff has been generally damaged in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this court.
- 43. The aforementioned acts of Defendants, and each of them, were wilful, wanton, malicious, intentional oppressive and despicable and were done in wilful and conscious disregard of the rights, welfare and safety of plaintiff, and were done by managerial agents and employees of Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, and with the express knowledge, consent and ratification of managerial agents and employees of Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, thereby justifying the awarding of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at the time of trial.

44. As a result of the discriminatory acts of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of said suit as specifically provided in California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For general damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court:
- 2. For medical expenses and related items of expense, according to proof;
- 3. For loss of earnings, according to proof;
- 4. For loss of earning capacity, according to proof;
- 5. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of said suit as specifically provided in California Government Code §12965 (b), according to proof;
- 6. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of said suit as specifically provided in California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, according to proof;
- 7. For a permanent injunction against Defendants, and each of them, their successors, agents, representatives, employees and all persons who acted alone, or in concert with said Defendants, and each of them, from committing acts and conduct of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, or other similar acts including, but not limited to, the violations alleged in all of the relevant Causes of Action herein, and as proved and/or shown at the time of the ruling(s) and or verdict(s), and as prohibited by the Fair Employment and Housing Act, California Government Code §§12900 -12996, and any other applicable laws, cases, codes, regulations and statutes;
- For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of said suit as specifically provided in California Government Code § 12965(b) and as specifically mentioned in Harris v. City of Santa Monica, Case No. S181004, Cal.Sup.Ct. 2/7/13;
- 9. For prejudgment interest, according to proof;
- 10. For punitive and exemplary damages, according to proof;

12

#### COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

11. For costs of suit incurred herein; and

12. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

**DATED:** August 16, 2016

LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C

BY: TODD M. FRIEDMAN, ESO Attorneys For Plaintiff





		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY Warne, State San Meghan E. George, Esq. (SBN 274525)	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman		i filed i
21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 780, Woodland	1 HIIIS CA 91367	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
TELEPHONE NO.: 877-206-4741	FAXIO: 866-633-0228	County of Los Angeres
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, MICHELL SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L		1 000 00 2015
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street	os Angeles	AUG 2 6 2016
MAILING ADDRESS:		Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90	012	By Creating Shyaling Deputy
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courti	nouse	By Cristina Hyalia Deputy  Cristina Grijatvo
CASE NAME:		]
MACIAS V. KAISER CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	γ <del></del>	CASE NUMBER:
Untimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	BC 6 3 1 9 8 2
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendant	JUDGE-
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)		DEPT.
	low must be completed (see instructions on pa	age 2).
Check one box below for the case type the     Auto Tort		isionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06) (Cat.	Rules of Court, rules 3,400–3,403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitus/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PDMD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)  Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PUPD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally comptex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (38)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (0'	Other real property (26) Enfo	rcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Dotainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)		ellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	D(65 (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review Misc. Asset forfeiture (05).	ellaneous Civil Petition
Employment (35)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandale (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	ļ
2. This case is is is not con	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of	of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	agement:	
a. Large number of separately repri		
b. Extensive motion practice raising	·	related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumir c. Substantial amount of document	·	states, or countries, or in a federal court dgment judicial supervision
		· , ,
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	a. monetary b. nonmonetary; decla	ratory or injunctive relief c. upunitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3		
<ol> <li>This case  is  is not a da</li> <li>If there are any known related cases, file</li> </ol>	iss action suit. and serve a notice of related case. (You may)	use form CM-015 )
	All a serve a ribuse of related case. (100 ma)	CI
Date: August 26, 2016 Meghan E. George	<b>▶</b> ' } ∨ 1 ∧ 1	NI UKI P CA
O (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(51/5/14)	URE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE	A
	first paper filed in the action or proceeding (d) Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of	
in sanctions.	• •	The state of the s
• File this cover sheet in addition to any co	ver sheet required by local court rule. t seq. of the California Rules of Court, you mu:	et carrie a conv of this cover cheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.	t seq. of the Combina rules of Court, you mus	ar serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
	le 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet w	rill be used for statistical purposes only.
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal, Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740,
Judicial Council of California CLI-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]	O. TIE ONCE OUTER GREET	Cal Standards of Judical Administration, std. 3 10 towns.countinto ca gov
-		American LegalNet, inc.

) )

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type In item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties In Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
     Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
         Damage/Wrongful Death
     Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
         case involves an uninsured
         motorist claim subject to
         arbitration, check this item
         instead of Auto)
Other PVPD/WD (Personal Injury)
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
Tort
     Asbestos (04)
         Asbestos Property Damage
         Asbestos Personal Injury/
              Wrongful Death
    Product Liability (not esbestos or 
(oxic/environmental) (24)
     Medical Malpractice (45)
         Medical Majoractice-
              Physicians & Surgeons
         Other Professional Health Care
              Malpractice
     Other PI/PD/WD (23)
         Premises Llability (e.g., slip
              and fall)
         Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
         (e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
         Negligent Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
         Other PI/PD/MD
Non-PI/PD/WD (Outer) Tork
     Business Tort/Unfair Business
    Practice (07)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,
         false errest) (not civil
         harassment) (08)
```

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

Legal Malpractice
Other Professional Malpractice
(not medical or legal)
Other Non-PUPD/WD Tort (35)

```
CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES
Contract
     Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
          Breach of Rental/Lease
               Contract (not unlawful detainer
          or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach-Seiler
          Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract
               Warranty
          Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
    Collections (e.g., money cycle, open
book accounts) (09)
Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff
          Other Promissory Not / Collections
     Case
Insurance Coverage (not provisionally
          complex) (18)
          Auto Subrogation
Other Coverage
     Other Contract (37)
          Contractual Fraud
          Other Contract Dispute
Real Property
     Eminent Domain/Inverse
          Condemnation (14)
     Wrongful Eviction (33)
     Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
Writ of Possession of Real Property
          Mortgage Forecicsure
          Quiet Title
          Other Real Property (not eminent
          domain, landlord/tenant, or 
foreclosure)
Unlawful Detainer
     Commercial (31)
     Residential (32)
Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal
          drugs, check this item; otherwise,
report as Commercial or Residential)
Judicial Review
     Asset Forfeiture (05)
Pelition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
     Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
          Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court
               Case Matter
          Writ-Other Limited Court Case
              Review
     Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order
```

```
Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)
     Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
       Construction Defect (10)
       Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
       Securities Litigation (28)
       Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
       Insurance Coverage Claims
            (erising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)
  Enforcement of Judgment
       Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
            Confession of Judgment (non-
                domestic relations)
            Sister State Judgment
            Administrative Agency Award
            (not unpaid taxes)
Petition/Certification of Entry of
               Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
            Other Enforcement of Judgment
Case
  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
       RICO (27)
       Other Complaint (not specified
            above) (42)
            Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-
                herassment)
            Mechanics Lien
            Other Commercial Complaint
                Case (non-tort/non-complex)
            Other Civil Complaint
  (non-tort/hon-complex)
Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Partnership and Corporate
            Governance (21)
       Other Petition (not specified
            above) (43)
Civil Harassment
            Workplace Violence
            Elder/Dependent Adult
                 Abuse
            Election Contest
            Petition for Name Change
            Petition for Relief From Late
            Other Civil Petitlon
```

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

· Employment

に (で) (13) Fraud (16)

Commissioner Appeals
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Page 2 of 2

SHORT TITLE. Michelle Macias v. Kaiser Permanente, et. al. CASE NUMBER

BC 6 3 1 9 8 2

#### CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES

CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 7-10

HOURS/JOAYS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4);

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet beading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.3.

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
   May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
   Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
   Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- C. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
  C. Location where petitioner resides.
  Location where need of the perition where one or more of the perties reside.
  C. Location where one or more of the perties reside.
  Location of Labor Commissioner Office

- 11. Mandatory Filing Location (Hub Case)

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	Chil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto	Auto (22)	Q A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (45)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death — Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
국용 간 # 영진 # 영원 Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Asbes(09-(04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage     A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
	Product Liability (24)	A7280 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	☐ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., stip and fall) ☐ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) ☐ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress ☐ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

LACIV 109 (Rev 3/15) LASC Approved 03-04 **CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM** AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3

Page 1 of 4

SHORT TITLE. Michelle Maclas v. Kaiser Permanente, et. al. CASE NUMBER

:	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (stander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
al Injur ongful	Fraud (16)	☐ AS013 Fraud (no contract)	1.,2,3
n-Persona mage/Wro	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1.,2.,3. 1.,2.,3.
žö	Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
in the	Wrongful Termination (36)	2 A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	□ A8024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1 2., 3. 10.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	□ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unit awful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach Selfer/Flaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case □ A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)	2., 5., 6, 11 2., 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A5015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud  A6031 Tortious Interference  A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
ŧ	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Real Property	Wyongful Exiction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real	Other Real Property (26)	□ A6018 Mortgage Foredosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6080 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foredosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
7 을 군 # 왕 원 Unlawfut Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A5021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.
7.35 Malawa A	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6,
.>⊕ 	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

LACIV 109 (Rev 3/15) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3

Page 2 of 4

7 ·

SHORT TITLE. Michelle Macias v. Kaiser Permanente, et. al.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ A8108 Asset Forfetture Case	2., 6.
Judicial Review	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A5115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
	Writ of Mandate (02)	AS151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus  AS152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter  AS153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2.
·	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8.
=	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
tlgatio	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
V Com	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Stonall	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Provis	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ AB014 Insurance Coverage/Suprogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid laxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8.
	RICO (27)	A 6933 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	A6030 Declaratory Refief Only  A6040 Injunctive Refief Only (not domestic/harassment)  A6011 Other Commercial Comptaint Case (non-tort/non-comptex)  A6000 Other Civil Comptaint (non-tort/non-comptex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
そすらです名も Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A8121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6180 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Reflef from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

LACIV 109 (Rev 3/15) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3 Page 3 of 4

Michelle Macias v. Kaiser Permanente, et. al.	CASE NUMBER	

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

ADDRESS REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown 6041 Cadillac Ave. under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for 21.02.03.04.05.06.07.08.09.010.011. CITY STATE ZIP CODE CA 90034 Los Angeles

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local

Rule 2.3, subd.(a).

Dated: August 26, 2016

TTOPNEY/FILING PARTY)

#### PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY **COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:**

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/15).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 8 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

LACIV 109 (Rev 3/15)

LASC Approved 03-04

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Rule 2.3

Page 4 of 4