MINNITED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14695231

FALASTIEN SADOON 982 Park Street, Suite A Alameda, CA 94501 Telephone: (510)-995-8184

Plaintiff, FALASTIEN SADOON in pro per FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

CLERK OF LAND HIEROR COLUMN By______

Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

SOUTHERN DIVISION

Unlimited Civil Jurisdiction

FALASTEIN SADOON and DOES 1 to 5, inclusive,

Plaintiffs,

)R'G16827195

CASE NO.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES (PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE) AND WRONGFUL DEATH

KAISER PERMANENTE, CHARLES CHOU, MD., and DOES 1 TO 25

Defendants

Plaintiff complains of Defendants and for each cause of action alleges as follows:

FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE

(KAISER PERMANENTE (hereinafter "KP"), any Kaiser related entities with responsibility for the allegations contained herein, CHARLES CHOU, M.D., and DOES 1 TO 25 BEING

DULY LICENSED PHYSICIANS - ONLY)

- 1. Plaintiff was the spouse of Mohammad Sadoon, deceased, at the time of his wrongful death due to medical malpracice.
- 2. The subject of this action is negligent medical treatment which occurred in Alameda County, California and caused the death of Mohammad Sadoon.
 - 3. Defendants physician(s) is/are and was/were at all relevant times herein mentioned

23

24

25

26

27

medical doctor(s) duly licensed to practice medicine in the state of California and was/were doctor(s) actually practicing medicine in Alameda, California.

- 4. Defendant KP is, and was, at all relevant times herein mentioned was a medical insurance organization operating hospitals and clinics organized under the laws of the state of California to provide medical care to patients within and without the state of California. Defendant KP maintains business locations with the County of Alameda, state of California.
- 5. Defendant CHARLES CHOU, MD. is, and at all relevant times herein mentioned was, a physician licensed to practice medicine in the state of California. A call relevant times herein mentioned said Defendant practiced medicine in Alameda County, California. Said physician mis-diagnosed Plaintiff's medical/physical condition on or about August 2015. Such mis-diagnoses continued in effect from the beginning August of 2015 to and until his death on August 12, 2015 when a post mortem proper diagnoses revealed that the diagnose(s), was/were in error and in fact, such mis-diagnosis(es) caused the death of Mohammad Sadoon. He suffered from a severe drop in plateletes and was erroneously diagnosed as suffering from liver cancer. In fact, he was suffering from another illness and the treatment provided him has bened his death and prevented treatment which would have prolonged his life.
- 6. At all relevant times herein mentioned Plaintiff is, and was, a resident of Alameda County, California.
- 7. At all relevant times herein mentioned Mohammad Sadoon was a resident of Alameda County, California.
- 8. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES I through 25, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants sued herein as "Doe" was the agent and employee

of each of the remaining Defendants and was at all times acting within the purpose and scope of such agency and employment.

- 10. Defendants KP, CHARLES CHOU and Does 1 to 25, inclusive, were the legal and proximate cause of injuries, damages and the death of Mohammad Sadoon, by the following acts or omissions occurring from on or about August 1. 2015 to and continuing through his death on August 12, 2015 in Alameda County, state of California.
- 11. Said Defendants were at all relevant times herein mentioned licensed health care facilities, physicians and surgeons licensed by the state of California to practice medicine and surgery with a location in the County of Alameda, state of California for that purpose. Said Defendants, held themselves out as possessing the degree of skill and competence common to medical practitioners in said community. Said Defendants also were the treating physicians and surgeons for Mohammad Sadoon.
- Sadoon's death, he consulted with Defendants for the purpose fo obtaining diagnosis and treatment of medical condition adversely affecting his health. Mohammad Sadoon offered and Defendants accepted consideration as compensation for their diagnosis and treatment. From on or about August 2015 to and continuing through August 12, 2016, Defendants and Does with and through their duly authorized agent Defendant KP mis-diagnosed Mohammad Sadoon's state of health. Such mis-diagnoses caused him to suffer pain and unnecessary treatment. Said Defendants performed diagnoses and treatment in a negligent and careless manner and without following proper and medically necessary procedures which culminated in the mis-diagnoses of Plaintiff's spouse, Mohammad Sadoon, which failed to discover that he was actually suffering from another undetected illness which soon thereafter caused his death. Due to the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, and the lack of proper treatment, his original medical condition worsened over time causing him to suffer physical deficits, substantial lack of strength, pain and general disability. But for the mis-diagnoses by Defendants, and each of them, which resulted in the death of Plaintiff's spouse,

Mohammad Sadoon, his life would have been prolonged. But for the mis-diagnosis(es) he could have had proper care in a timely manner and his condition could have been properly treated maintaining his life. Because of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff's spouse would have lived much longer.

- 13. In or about August 2015 Plaintiff's spouse sought diagnosis and treatment from the Defendant KM in Alameda County and rendered a diagnosis or diagnoses which were in error. On or after August 12, 2015 Mohammad Sadoon's condition was determined and it was revealed that KM, CHARLES CHOU, MD and other of KM's treating physicians had mis-diagnosed his medical condition causing his death.
- 14. As a legal and proximate result of the above negligent actions Mohammad Sadoon suffered physical deficits, paid and general disability. His estimated lifetime was compromised and reduced as a direct result of Defendants, and each of their, negligence.
- 15. As a legal and proximate result of the above negligent actions Plaintiff herein suffered the loss of her spouse, suffered psychological pain, grief, and economic loss.
- 16. Due to the professionally related negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Mohammad Sadoon suffered nanecessary extensive medical treatment, hospitalization and medication. Such negligence also resulted in great mental and physical pain and suffering, embarrassment and humiliation, loss of enjoyment of life, mental anguish and other damages, including but not limited to, emotional distress damages, losses and expenses related to the mis-diagnoses and shortened his normal life span.
- Due to the professionally related negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff suffered emotional paid and anguish, the loss of her husband and the loss of his love and comfort and the loss of his economic contribution to the family unit.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE

(CHARLES CHOU, MD)

- 18. Each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 to 17 of this complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. In or about August of 2016, Mohammad Sadoon consulted with Defendant, a physician for the purpose for obtaining diagnosis and treatment of medical condition adversely affecting his health. Mohammad Sadoon offered and Defendant accepted consideration as compensation for diagnosis and treatment. On or about August 1, 2015 and continuing through his death on August 12, 2015, Defendant with and through a duly authorized agent, Defendant KP, mis-diagnosed Mohammad Sadoon's condition. Said Defendant's duty was to properly diagnoses his medical condition by using proper equipment and procedures. Defendant was duty bound to detect Mohammad Sadoon's actual condition. Defendant breached this duty by failing to make a proper diagnosis. Such failure is, and was, a breach of the standard of care or is conduct which falls below that conduct which an ordinarily prudent physician would do to ensure the safety of a patient.
- 20. Defendant performed the diagnoses in a negligent and careless manner without following proper and medically necessary procedures which culminated in failing to discover his actual condition which, in turn, caused his premature death.
- 21. As a legal and proximate result of the above negligent actions Plaintiff has suffered pain, anguish, loss of love and companionship and economic loss. Such negligence also resulted in great mental and physical pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, mental anguish and other damages, including but not limited to, emotional distress damages, losses and expenses related to his premature death loss of present and future economic contribution, early and premature burial expenses due to the shortening of what would be Mohammad Sadoon's normal life span.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as hereinafter set forth.

FOR THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE

(DEFENDANT KP ONLY)

- 22. Each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 to 21 of this complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant KP is a health care provider as defined by CCP, Section 340.5 and committed a negligent act or omission in the rendering of professional services, which act or omission actually and proximately caused personal injury to the person of Plaintiff.
- 24. At all times herein mentioned Defendant KP provided services within the scope of services for which that provider is licensed and which are not within any restriction imposed by any licensing agency.
- 25. Defendant PK provides 24-hour patient care for patients. In providing patient care, Defendant owed its patients and Plaintiff a duty to exercise such reasonable care in treating Plaintiff's spouse as his condition required. Defendant KP owed Plaintiff a duty to use reasonable care and diligence in safeguarding Plaintiff's spouse committed to its charge.
- 26. Defendant KP also is under a duty to observe and know the condition of its patient. Defendant KP at all relevant times herein mentioned is and was in the business of caring for persons such as Plaintiff, and its conduct must be in accordance with that of a person of ordinary prudence under the circumstances, a vital part of those circumstances being the fact that Plaintiff's spouse was suffering from a medical condition. It is peculiarly the business of hospitals to guard patients including Plaintiff's spouse against the dangers created by physicians who ignore its patients complaints and fail to take necessary steps to determine an accurate diagnosis.
- 27. Defendant KP breached all of the duties of due care alleged *infra* by *inter alia*, failing to take necessary steps in determining an accurate diagnosis and treatment protocal resulting in Plaintiff's spouse suffering a deteriorating condition without time to take remedial measures to minimize the damages sustained to Plaintiff's spouse as herein before alleged. Defendant KP also breached its duties of due care as alleged *infra* by *inter alia*

failing to provide further supervision of Plaintiff's spouse while he suffered from an undiagnosed condition.

- 28. Defendant KP's breach of its duties owed to Mohammad Sadoon were the actual and proximate cause of injury and damages to him including, but not limited to, a delay in the discovery of a critical condition caused by the professional negligence of treating physicians, compromised viability of physical function, continued medical treatment, hospitalization, medication physical pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, mental anguish and other damages.
- 29. Defendant KP's breach of its duties owed to Plaintiff, the spouse of Mohammad Sadoon, is the actual and proximate cause of injury and damages to her including, but not limited to, emotional paid and suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, mental anguish and other damages including loss of present and future economic contribution, early and premature burial expenses due to the shortening of what would be her husband's normal life span.

FOR THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 30. Each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 to 30 of this complaint are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. At all relevant times herein mentioned, Plaintiff was owed a duty of due care by her husband's health care providers to avoid conduct which would foreseeably cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff over the premature loss of her spouse.
- 32. Defendants, and each of them, breached their duty of due care by failing to properly treat Mohammad Sadoon for his injuries. The breach of duty actually and proximately caused emotional distress to Plaintiff.

FOR THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(WRONGFUL DEATH)

[DEFENDANTS KM, CHARLES CHOU MD., and DOE DEFENDANTS ONLY]

28

25

- 33. Each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs is incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. Defendants, and each of them negligently failed to accurately diagnose the medical condition of Plaintiff's spouse while under a duty to do so.
- 35. Decedent, Mohammad Sadoon, entrusted his medical care to Defendants, and each of them.
- 36. The negligence of Defendants, and each of them, actually and proximately caused the death of Decedent herein.
- 37. As a legal and proximate result of the above negligent actions Plaintiff has suffered, among other injuries, severe emotional distress, paid and suffering, loss of Decedent's care and support.

FOR THE SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(SURVIVOR ACTION)

[DEFENDANTS KM, CHARLES CHOU MD., and DOE DEFENDANTS ONLY]

- 38. Each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs is incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.
 - 39. At all relevant times herein mentioned Plaintiff was the spouse of Decedent.
- 40. Prior to Decedent's death, he employed the services of Defendants, KM, CHARLES CHOU, MD. and DOES.
- 41 Defendants and each of them were negligent in their treatment of Decedent by breaching their respective duties as hereinbefore set forth.
- 42. Their breach of duties actually and proximately caused the wrongful death of Decedent actually and proximately causing Plaintiff to suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For medical special damages according to proof;
- 2. For general damages according to proof;

- 3. For compensatory damages according to proof; and,
- 4. For burial expenses according to proof;
- 5. Compensation for pain and suffering according to proof; and
- 6. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

Date AU6 14 10/6

By

FALASTIEN SABOON Plaintiff in pro per

FOR FALMSTIEN SADOON