FILED Superior Court of California County of Placer 1 D. Randall Ensminger, SBN 202371 ENSMINGER LAW OFFICES, P.C. 2 JUN 08 2016 424 Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 201 Lincoln, CA 95648 Jake Chatters
Executive Officer & Clerk 3 (916) 434-0220 (Phone) By: S. Marlatte, Deputy (916) 434-2536 (Facsimile) 4 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 6 COUNTY OF PLACER 7 8 RUDY J. BALLESTEROS, by and through his next friend and Guardian 9 ad Litem, MIKE BALLESTEROS, SCV 0037907 10 Plaintiff, **PLAINTIFF'S** 11 VS. COMPLAINT FOR NEGLIGENCE AND MEDICAL MALPRACTICE 12 KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS: THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL 13 GROUP, INC. KENT JOLLY, M.D.; MICHAEL BABB, M.D.; PANKAJ 14 PATEL, M.D., TRAN NGUYEN, M.D. 15 REBEKAH CARAVELLI, M.D.; LYNN CHIEN, M.D.; KIRA JENNIE 16 EANDI, M.D., RENEE SNELLING GILPEN, M.D.; and DOES 1 through 20, 17 inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 COMES NOW Plaintiff, RUDY J. BALLESTEROS, a minor child, by and through his 20 next friend and proposed Guardian ad Litem, MIKE BALLESTEROS, and hereby files this 21 22 Complaint for Negligence and Medical Malpractice and alleges as follows. 23 JURISDICTION AND PARTIES 1. Plaintiff Rudy J. Ballesteros is a minor and a resident of Placer County, California. 24 25 Ballesteros v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc., et al. - Complaint for Negligence and Medical Malpractice

- 2. Plaintiff's next friend and proposed Guardian ad Litem, Mike Ballesteros, is the father of Rudy J. Ballesteros and a resident of Placer County, California.
- 3. Defendant Kaiser Foundation Hospitals is a business organization, form unknown, that operates a private Kaiser hospital located in Roseville, County of Placer, California.
- 4. Defendant The Permanente Medical Group, Inc. is a California corporation that operates a private Kaiser hospital located in Roseville, County of Placer, California
- 5. Defendant Kent Jolly, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.
- 6. Defendant Michael Babb, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.
- 7. Defendant Pankaj Patel, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.
- 8. Defendant Tran Nguyen, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.
- 9. Defendant Rebekah Caravelli, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.
- 10. Defendant Lynn Chien, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.
- 11. Defendant Kira Jennie Eandi, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.
- 12. Defendant Renee Snelling Gilpen, M.D. is a medical doctor who was, at all times pertinent, was on staff at the Kaiser Roseville hospital facility.

- 13. PLAINTIFF is ignorant of the names and capacities of DOES 1 through 20 and therefore sues them as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive. PLAINTIFF will amend this action to allege these DOE Defendants' names and capacities when ascertained.
- 14. Each of the Defendants herein is responsible in some manner for the occurrences, injuries, and damages herein, and that the damages were directly and proximately caused by these Defendants' acts and omissions.
- 15. Each Doctor Defendant herein was the agent of each of the Kaiser Defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein were acting within the course and scope of their agency.
- 16. All Defendants collectively, including the Kaiser Defendants and the Doctor Defendants and DOES 1 20 are referred to herein as "Defendants", the medical doctor Defendants are referred to herein as "Doctor Defendants" and Defendants Kaiser Foundation Hospitals and The Permanente Medical Group, Inc. are referred to herein as "Kaiser Defendants).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 17. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein Paragraphs 1-16, supra.
- 18. On April 27, 2013 Doctor Defendant Renee Snelling Gilpen, M.D. was employed by Defendant Kaiser at its Roseville, California facility.
- 19. On April 27, 2013 Doctor Defendant Renee Snelling Gilpen, M.D. provided medical services to Plaintiff in Roseville, California in the form of examining and evaluating him in the emergency room for an excessive nose bleed.
- 20. On April 27, 2013 and April 28, 2013 Doctor Defendant Kira Jennie Eandi, M.D. was employed by Defendant Kaiser at its Roseville, California facility.

- 21. On April 27, 2013 and April 28, 2013 Doctor Defendant Kira Jennie Eandi provided medical services to Plaintiff in Roseville, California in the form of examining and evaluating Plaintiff in the emergency room for an excessive nosebleed.
- 22. On April 29, 2013 Doctor Defendant Michael Babb, M.D. was employed by Defendant Kaiser at its Roseville, California facility.
- 23. On April 29, 2013 Doctor Defendant Michael Babb, M.D. provided medical services to Plaintiff in Roseville, California in the form of examining and evaluating him in the hospital for an excessive nose bleed and performing an endoscopic canterization of Plaintiff's nasal passage. In the course of providing this treatment he failed or neglected to obtain a consultation from a hematologist, improperly diagnosed the underlying causation and under prescribed the medication Amicar which failed to hold the blood clot.
- 24. On May 4, 2013 Doctor Defendant Lynn Chien, M.D. was employed by Defendant Kaiser at its Roseville, California facility.
- 25. On May 4, 2013 Doctor Defendant Lynn Chien, M.D. provided medical services to Plaintiff in Roseville, California in the form of examining and evaluating him in the Kaiser Roseville facility for an excessive nose bleed.
- 26. On May 5, 2013 Booter Defendant Rebekah Caravelli, M.D. was employed by Defendant Kaiser at its Reseville, California facility.
- 27. On May 5, 2013 Doctor Defendant Rebekah Caravelli, M.D. provided medical services to Plaintiff in Roseville, California in the form of examining and evaluating him in the Kaiser Roseville facility for an excessive nose bleed.
- 28. On May 9, 2013 Doctor Defendant Doctors Tran Nguyen, M.D. and Pankaj Patel, M.D. were employed by Defendant Kaiser at its Roseville, California facility.
- 29. On May 9, 2013 Doctor Defendants Tran Nguyen, M.D. and Pankaj Patel, M.D. provided medical services to Plaintiff in Roseville, California in the form of examining and evaluating him in the Kaiser Roseville facility for an excessive nose bleed.

- 30. On May 13, 2013 Doctor Defendant Kent Jolly, M.D. was employed by Defendant Kaiser at its Roseville, California facility as a hematologist.
- 31. On May 13, 2013 Doctor Defendant Kent Jolly, M.D. after having previously failed, refused or otherwise neglected to do so provided medical services to Plaintiff in Roseville, California in the form of examining and evaluating him in the hospital for an excessive nose bleed and determined that Plaintiff "certainly has a bleeding disorder". In the course of making this diagnosis he determined that Plaintiff had been previously prescribed only one half of the necessary dose of Amicar which had failed to hold the blood clot.
- 32. During the examinations and evaluations said Defendant Doctors were acting as agents/employees of Defendant Kaiser and were providing medical services to Plaintiff within the scope of that agency/employment.
- 33. During their evaluation of Plaintiff's excessive nosebleed, said Defendant Doctors failed to properly diagnose Plaintiff's condition and failed to timely obtain a consultation from a hematologist.
- 34. This failure to properly diagnose Plaintiff's condition or to obtain a consultation from a hematologist led to a series of unnecessary medical procedures and operations which caused unnecessary physical injury and conscious pain and suffering to Plaintiff.
- 35. During the emergency room evaluations and thereafter said Defendant Doctors owed a duty to Plaintiff to perform their examinations and evaluations within an acceptable standard of medical care within the medical community and said Defendant Doctors breached this standard of care by failing to properly diagnose and treat Plaintiff's excessive nosebleed and by failing to request and obtain a consultation from a hematologist.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

2425

in the past and future, 4) incurred and will incur significant medical bills and expenses that will continue in the future, 5) extreme mental and emotional sorrow and anguish, 6) suffered physical injuries that he will carry for the rest of his life, and 8) is forced to get further operations and other medical procedures to recover the best that he can from the harm inflicted upon him.

37. The harm and losses endured by the Plaintiff were the direct, proximate result of the

37. The harm and losses endured by the Plaintiff were the direct, proximate result of the medical errors committed by Defendant Doctors.

36. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of the applicable standard of medical care

by Defendant Doctors, which resulted in the unnecessary procedures being performed on

Plaintiff he has: 1) suffered pain and suffering in the past that will continue unabated in

the future, 2) loss of income in the past, present and future, 3) lost ability to earn a living

38. Plaintiff did nothing to cause his own injuries. He was not contributorily negligent and did not assume the risk of the harm that was done to him.

COUNT I -NEGLIGENCE - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE

(Against all Defendants)

- 39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein Paragraphs 1-38, supra.
- 40. Defendant Doctors had a duty to Plaintiff to use the due care of a medical professional in performing medical services on Plaintiff.
- 41. Defendant Doctors breached such duty by failing to properly diagnose Plaintiff's condition and failing to obtain the consultation of a hematologist.
- 42. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant Doctors were acts and omissions constituting conduct below the standards of the medical profession in Defendant Doctors' community and individually and/or collectively caused Plaintiff damage.

17. As a proximate result of Defendant Doctors' conduct alleged herein, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount Plaintiff will prove.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants and each of them as follows:

- 1. For general and special damages in an amount that Plaintiff will prove but in excess of \$100,000.00
- 2. For Plaintiff's reasonable costs incurred herein.
- 3. For such other and further relief as to the court seems just in premises.

DATED this 8th day of June 2016.

ENSMINGER LAW-OFFICES, PC

Attorney for Plaintiff

Bv

D Randall Ensminger