1 2 3	JOHN DROOYAN, ESQ./SBN 238609 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN DROOYAN 259 West 7 <sup>th</sup> St. San Pedro, CA 90731	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Orange  03/23/2016 at 09:27:33 AM Clerk of the Superior Court
4	Telephone: (310)309-9430 Facsimile: (310)359-0245	By Giovanni Galon, Deputy Clerk
5 6	Attorney for Plaintiff Isaak Barnes	
7	SUPERIOR CO	URT OF CALIFORNIA
8		ORANGE - CENTRAL
9	ISAAK BARNES, an individual )	Case (No., 30-2016-00842820-CU-MM-CJC
10	and minor,	Judge Randall J. Sherman
11		[UNLIMITED JURISDICTION]
12	)	
13	Plaintiff, vs.	
14		COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
15	KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH  PLAN, INC., a corporation;	AMEGIT CENCE AND DDEACH OF
16	KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, ) a corporation; SOUTHERN	(NEGLIGENCE, AND BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY)
17	CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE ) MEDICAL GROUP, INC., a	
18	corporation; ITNN HOANG, ) M.D., an individual;	
19	SURESH G. GURBANI, M.D., an ) individual; ALTAF MOHAMMED )	
20	KAZI, M.D., an individual; ) ANDREW SONG, D.O., an	
21	individual, DAN H.CHIKAMI, ) O.D. an individual, and )	
22	DOES 1 -50,	
23	)	
24	Defendants. )	
25		
26		
20 27		
		1
28	COMPLAIN	T FOR DAMAGES

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES alleges in this Complaint as follows:

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES ("BARNES") is an individual, and a minor whose date of birth is March 25, 2008.
- 2. Defendant KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC. ("KAISER HEALTH PLAN") is a hospital, medical clinic, and or medical facility maintained pursuant to a license existing under and by virtue of the laws of the state of California, and a California corporation duly existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, and authorized to and engaged in doing business in the State of California.
- 3. Defendant KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS ("KAISER HOSPITALS") is a hospital, medical clinic, and/or medical facility maintained pursuant to a license existing under and by virtue of the laws of the state of California, and a California corporation duly existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, and authorized to and engaged in doing business in the State of California.

Defendant SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, INCOME WAISER PERMANENTE") is a hospital, medical clinic, and/or medical facility maintained pursuant to a license existing under and by virtue of the laws of the state of California, and a California corporation duly existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, whose status as of March 17, 2016 is dissolved.

GROUP, INC. are collectively referred to as "Defendant KAISER".

6. Defendant LYNN HOANG, M.D., ("HOANG") is an individual, and was a physician duly licensed to practice medicine in the state of California at all times relevant hereto, and doing

FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, and SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTE MEDICAL

5. Defendants KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., KAISER

business at Lakeview Medical Offices, located at 411 N. Lakeview Ave., Anaheim, California.

7. Defendant SURESH G. GURBANI, M.D. ("GURBANI") is an individual, and was a physician duly licensed to practice medicine in the state of California at all times relevant hereto, and doing business at the KAISER medical facility, located at 3460 E. La Palma Ave., Anaheim, California

- 8. Defendant ALTAF MOHAMMED KAZI, M.D., ("KAZI") is an individual, and was a physician duly licensed to practice medicine in the state of California at all times relevant hereto, and doing business at Lakeview Medical Offices, located at 411 N. Lakeview Ave., Anaheim, California.
- 9. Defendant ANDREW SONG, D.O. ("SONG") is an individual, and was a physician duly licensed to practice medicine in the state of California at all times relevant hereto, and doing business at Lakeview Medical Offices, located at 411 N. Lakeview Ave., Anaheim, California.
- 10. Defendant DAN H. CHIKAMI, O.D., ("CHIKAMI") is an individual, and was a optometrist duly licensed to practice optometry in the state of California at all times relevant

- 11. Stephen Jermaine Barnes ("Stephen Barnes"), is an individual, and the father of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES.
- 12. Leandra Navarro ("Leandra Navarro"), is an individual, and the mother of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES.
- 13. Shawn Barnes ("Shawn Barnes"), is an individual, and the twin brother of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES.
- of defendants sued as Does 1 through of Inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by these fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when they have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants is in breach of some contract or is tortiously or otherwise legally responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged in this complaint for plaintiff's damages.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each of the defendants, including Does I through 50, inclusive, was the agent or employee of each of the remaining defendants, and, in doing the things alleged, was acting within the scope of that agency or employment.
- 16. On February 28, 2012 Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES' father Stephen Barnes brought Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to Lakeview Medical Offices, located at 411 N. Lakeview Ave., Anaheim, California because Plaintiff had a spell the previous night when he wasn't

1 |

7

5

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

24

23

2526

27

himself, as he was staring and repeating himself when asked questions; stuck in a position of staring to the side; had a temperature of 101; and vomited. Plantiff BARNES was seen by Dr. ALTAF MOHAMMED KAZI for assessment and possible treatment.

[Exhibit ("Ex.") "1": KAISER PERMANENTE records pg. 44.]

17. After assessing Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, Defendant KAZI's PLAN states: "Advise good hydration with @ ear liquids, rest, have Plaintiff breath clean humidified air and may elevate the head of the bed up to 30 degrees, and acetaminopehn prn for fever or pain. For infants and toddlers, may gently suction the nares after inserting a few drops of masal saline in each nostril. For an older child, have the child blow the nose after inserting a few drops of nasal saline in each nostril. For children >: 2 years of age, may also give 1-2 tsp of honey at bedtime to help with cough. Advised to not give OTC cough and cold preparations in children < 4yrs age due to safety and lack of proven efficacy." [Ex. "1": KAISER PERMANENT records pg. 51.] Plaintiff's parents were provided with "Your Kaiser Permanent Care Instructions" for "Cold (Upper Respiratory Infection), 3 to 6 Years: After Your Child's Visit". [Ex. "1" : KAISER PERMANENTE records pgs. 52-53.]

18. On March 13, 2012 Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES' mother Leandra Navarro brought Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to Lakeview Medical Offices because Plaintiff BARNES was vomiting, having headaches, and experiencing sensitivity to light. Plaintiff BARNES was seen by DR. ANDREW SONG, whose "ASSESSMENT" states

19. Thereafter, Plaintiff BARNES symptoms, including vomiting, headaches, sensitivity to light and vision problems, continued and increased; and Plaintiff BARNES mother Leandra Navarro contacted Defendant KARSER and requested that Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES be seen by an eye specialist because Plaintiff's right eye was crossing Thward, and Defendant KAISER directed Ms. Navarro to have Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES seen by Defendant DAN H. CHIKAMI, an optometrist, doing business at the Yorba Linda Medical Offices, located at 22550 E. Savi Ranch Parkway, Yorba Linda, California.

On April 18, 2012 Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES' father Stephen Barnes brought Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to Yorba Linda Medical Offices, located at 22550 E. Savi Ranch Parkway, Yorba Linda, California, where Plaintiff BARNES was examined by Defendant DAN H. CHIKAMI, an optometrist. Defendant CHIKAMI reported that Plaintiff BARNES was nearsighted, and wrote a prescription for glasses for Plaintiff BARNES. Defendant CHIKAMI's Progress Notes state that Plaintiff BARNES parents were

1

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

concerned that Plaintiff's OD (eye) seems to turn inward at
times, and that Plaintiff was having eyestrain when focusing on
targets and near work. Defendant CHIKAMI's "Assessment" of
Plaintiff BARNES reports " 378.00 ESOTROPIA; 357.20 ASTIGMATISM;
367.0 HYPEROPRIA"; Defendant CHIKAMI's "Plan: Prescription as per
modified refraction from cycloplegic". Defendant CHIKAMI also
reported that Plaintiff's parent wants a referral co an
ophthalmology pediatrician. [Ex. "1" : KAISER PERMANENTE
records pgs. 69 - 74.]

21. On May 14, 2012 Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES' mother Leandra brought Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to Yorba Linda Medical Offices, because Plaintiff BARNES was continuing to experience chronic headaches, intermittent vomiting, dizziness, seizures, would wake up crying in pain and agony, and often could not walk - where Plaintiff BARNES was examined by Dr. Ashish Manilal Mehta, M.D. ("Dr. Mehta") in the Eyeocylm Eye Department. Dr. Mehta's Progress Notes state that:

"Isaak Barnes is a 4 year old male who was referred because it was noted that either eye has been turning in for the past several months. Mom states child has a diagnosis of migraine headaches' which have been occurring for the last several months. They are associated with sensitivity to light/sounds. At times has nausea and vomiting. Has been seen in UC and pediatrics office several times for this."

[Ex. "1": KAISER PERMANENTE records pg. 86.]

After examining and review of Plaintiff BARNES history, Dr. Mehta recommended a "STAT MRI of orbits and brain with and without

22. The results of the MRI show that Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES had a brain tumor: "7.3 x 6.2 x 4.6 cm mixed cystic and solid mass occupying the majority of the left cerebellum with associated mass effect on the midbrain and fourth ventricle resulting in severe obstructive hydrocephalus". "1": KAISER PERMANENTE records pg. 110] On May 16, 2012 at the Los Angeles Medical Center, located at 4867 West Sunser Divd., Los Angeles, California, Surgical Procedures: "subscriptial craniotomy and tumor resection" were performed by Dr. Mark Fedor ("Dr. Fedor") for Plaintiff BARNES' brain tumor (Ex. "1": KAISER PERMANENTE records pgs. 102.] The PEDIATRIC DISCHARGE SUMMARY NOTE states in "FINDINGS/IMPRESSION" THAT an MRI of Plaintiff BARNES' brain taken on May 18, 2012 New "no malignant cells identified."

[Ex. "1" : KAISER PERMANENTE records pgs. 103.]

23. Thereafter, Dr. Marvin Pietruszka, M.D. ("Dr. Pietruszka") reviewed Defendant KAISER PERMANENTE's records regarding Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, and in his July 6, 2015 letter report to Plaintiff's council, John Drooyan, states that:

This case demonstrates a significant departure from the standard of care of a patient whose symptoms are highly suggestive of intracranial pathology. An immediate referral to an ophthalmologist or to an imaging center would have been appropriate and would have reduced the morbidity and risk of recurrence of the tumor in this child. The referral to an optometrist was an absolute error, as the child's

symptomatology was not solely ocular. The delay in treatment allowed for the continued growth of the tumor which caused injury to the surrounding brain structures and also increased the risk of recurrence of the tumor. The child is at increased risk of the astrocytoma. The full extent of the sequela resulting from the delay in diagnosis and treatment may not be apparent until the child reaches adulthood. Regular neurologic examination and brain imaging is recommended for this child." [Ex. 12" pg. 3]

24. Thereafter, Defendant KAISER RERMANENTE's records and MRI's regarding Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES' brain tumor were reviewed by Dr. Michael G. Muhonnen ("Dr. Muhonnen"), a neurosurgeon from Children's Hospital of Orange County ("CHOC") Neurological Surgery Specialists. In Dr. Muhonnen's February 1, 2016 letter/report to Plaintaff's council, John Drooyan, he states:

"It is my opinion that Kaiser Hospital, including

physicians breached the standard of care in the failure to timely diagnose Isaak's brain tumor. The salient issue is whether or not this caused damages. This is a unique case in the regard that Isaac has an identical twin, Shawn. Therefore, we have a reasonably solid benchmark for comparison. Isaak has significant learning issues relative to his twin brother. Last year in school he had difficulty blending sounds, difficulty with writing and made an insufficient grade in spelling. He scores in very low percentiles in expressive and receptive language and in

testing of semantic skills. He has poor visual and auditory

1	processing memory. The report from Placentia-Yorba Linda
2	Unified School District multidisciplinary assessment report
3	states that the deficits are likely due to surgical removal
4	of Isaak's brain tumor, when he was 4 years old. I would
5	disagree with this assessment. His deficits are likely due
6	to injury to surrounding normal tissue caused by the tumor.
7	While the surgical removal of a tumor may cause some
8	deficits, it is a longstanding compression on normal
9	surrounding tissue that is contributing to much of his
10	dysfunction. Straightforward surgical removal of a
11	cerebellar pilocytic astrocytoma does not typically leave a
12	child with so many neurological issues, as noted in his
13	evaluation. The longer the normal tissue is compromised,
14	the higher the risk of longstanding neurological problems.
15	It is my opinion that if the tumor had been diagnosed
16	sooner, Isaak would have less neurological dysfunction."[Ex
17	"31

receiving Dr. Pietruzska's July 6, 2015 letter/report, Plaintiff's council John Drooyan contacted Children's Hospital of Orange County ("CHOC") for a pediatric neurologist for regular neurological examination, evaluation and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES. Dr. Zupanc at CHOC informed Mr. Drooyan that CHOC would not treat Plaintiff BARNES on a lien, and THAT CHOC required an out of network referral from Defendant KAISER in order to treat Plaintiff BARNES. Dr. Zupanc told Mr. Drooyan that this should not be a problem because it would be a conflict of interest for Defendant KAISER to examine and treat

- 26. Thereafter, Mr. Drooyan contacted Defendant KAISER's member services about obtaining an out network referral for Plaintiff BARNES to be examined, evaluated, and treated by CHOC, out of the Kaiser network. Mr. Drooyan was informed that the request for a referral had to be made to Defendant LYNN HOANG, M.D., Plaintiff BARNES' primary care physician, and that the request for a referral for Plaintiff to be examined, evaluated, and treated out of Defendant KAISER's network had to be made by Plaintiff's mother or father to Defendant HOANG.
- In the fall of 2015, Plaintiff's mother, Leandra Navarro, brought Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to Defendant HOANG at her office, located at the Lakeview Medical Offices, 411 N. Lakeview Ave., Anaheim, Californa; and informed Defendant HOANG of Plaintiff BARNES history, the pending lawsuit, his need to be treated, evaluated, and examined by a pediatric neurologist out of Defendant KAISER's network due to Defendant KAISER'S conflict of interest, and requested that Defendant HOANG provide a referral for Plaintiff to be seen by a pediatric neurologist outside of Defendant KAISER'S network due to Defendant KAISER's conflict of interest. However, Defendant HOANG refused to provide a referral for Plaintiff BARNES to be seen by a pediatric neurologist outside of Defendant KAISER'S network; but instead Defendant HOANG referred Plaintiff BARNES to see Defendant SURESH G. GURBANI, in the Neurology Department at Defendant KAISER's facility, located at 3460 E. La Palma Ave.

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

29. On November 2015, Plaintiff's counsel John Drooyan wrote to Defendant HOANG, Plaintiff's primary care physician, and informed Defendent HOANG that her refusal to provide Plaintiff BARNES with a referral for examination and treatment out of Defendant KAISER' network was a breach of her fiduciary duty to Plaintiff BARNES, and further breach of her duty of care to Plaintiff BARNES. [Ex. "4".] However, Defendant HOANG did not respond to Mr. Drooyan's letter, and has failed to provide Plaintiff BARNES with the requested referral for examination, evaluation, and treatment by a pediatric neurologist out of Defendant KAISER' network.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

30. On November 13, 2015, Plaintiff's counsel John Drooyan wrote to Defendant GURBANI, and informed Defendant GURBANI that

31. On November 13 and 18, and December 4, 2015,
Plaintiff's mother Leandra Navarro wrote to Defendant KAISER's
member services and requested that member services issue a
referral for Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to be examined, evaluated and
treated by a pediatric neurologist out of Defendant KAISER's
network:

"I was told to contact member services regarding my issue. My son, Isaak Barnes needs to start seeing a pediatric neurologist Outside of Kaiser network. I have already spoken to his primary, Dr. Hoang. She told me that she could not make that referral because she is not a neurologist. Dr. Hoang then referred me to a pediatric neurologist from Kaiser to get the referral from him. My son saw him 2 weeks ago and I spoke with him and he told me to contact member services with my request. I just need a signed statement/waiver from Kaiser to give to my new pediatric neurologist for my son Isaak. I sent a detailed message to Dr. Garbony (sp?) and he said he will forward the message to member services. There is a conflict of interest

- 32. On December 7, 2015, Plaintiff's counsel John Drooyan sent Defendants KAISER, HOANG, and GURBANI, correspondence with 90 day Notice of Intention to Commence Action Based Upon Health Care Provider's Professional Negligence Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure \$364, for Fairure to Timely and Properly Treat Brain Tumor of Isaak Barnes (DOB 03/25/2008); and Failure to Provide Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES with a referral for a Pediatric Neurologist Out of Defendant KAISER's network [Ex. "7]; which Defendant KAISER acknowledged in correspondence to Mr. Drooyan, dated December 23, 2015 [Ex. "8".].
- 33. In correspondence to Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES mother,
  Leandra Navarro dated December 23, 2015, Defendant KAISER denied
  Ms. Navarro's request that Defendant KAISER provide a referral
  for Plaintiff BARNES to be examined, evaluated, and treated by a
  pediatric neurologist out of Defendant KAISER's network, because
  of Defendant KAISER's conflict of interest in light of Plaintiff
  BARNES pending claims against Defendant KAISER and its
  physicians, on the grounds that "it is not medically indicated
  for Isaak's condition as services can be provided by
  appropriately credentialed in-Plan providers." [Ex. "9"]

26 //

27 ///

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE (Against Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAZI, SONG, CHIKAMI, and DOES 1-50)

- 34. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES incorporates by this reference Paragraphs 1 33 of this Complaint, as if the same were fully set forth herein.
- 35. Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAZI, SONG, CHIKAMI, and DOES 25. 50, and each of them, undertook the care and treatment of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, and rendered professional services in the diagnosis, care, and treatment of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES beginning in or about February 28, 2012, and thereafter.
- 36. In undertaking the care and treatment of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, and rendering professional services in the diagnosis, care and treatment of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES beginning in or about February 202012, and thereafter, Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAZI, SONG, CHIKAMI, and DOES 1 50, and each of them, owed Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES the cuty of care to exercise the proper degree of knowledge and skill of hospitals, medical clinics, and medical facilities; and physicians and optometrists, licensed in California.
- 37. In undertaking the care and treatment of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, and rendering professional services in the diagnosis, care, and treatment of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES beginning in or about February 28, 2012, and thereafter, Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAZI, SONG, CHIKAMI, and DOES 1 50, and each of

- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, wantonness and unlawfullness of Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSETTALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAZI, SONG, CHIKAMI, and DOES 1 50, and each of them in breaching their duty of care to Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES has sustained severe and serious injury to his person, all to Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES' damage in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court, and to be shown according proof.
- 39. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that as a direct and proximate result of the negligence, carelessness, recklessness, wantonness and unlawfullness of Defendants MAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAZI, SONG, CHIKAMI, and DOES 1 50, and each of them in breaching their duty of care to Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES will incur future expenses for life long disabilities caused by Defendants failure to adequately and properly timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES' brain tumor, in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court, and to be shown according proof.

26 1 ///

27 1//

set forth herein.

# 40. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES incorporates by this reference Paragraphs 1 - 39 of this Complaint, as if the same were fully

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE
(Against Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS,

KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, AND GURBANI, and DOES 1-50)

- 41. Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI and DOES 1-50 and Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES the duty of care to provide for neurological examination, evaluation, and treatment of Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES following discovery of, and surgery for Plaintiff's brain tumor in May of 2012.
- 42. Defendants KAISER HEARTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS,
  KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI and DOES 1-50 duty of care to
  Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES following discovery and treatment of
  Plaintiff's brain tumor includes providing Plaintiff with a
  referral for a pediatric neurologist out of the KAISER network
  due to Defendants conflict of interest to examine, evaluate, and
  treat Plaintiff BARNES for disabilities caused by Defendants'
  breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat
  Plaintiff BARNES' brain tumor.
- KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI and DOES 1-50 breached their duty of care to provide for neurological examination, evaluation, and treatment for Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES, including providing Plaintiff with a referral for a pediatric neurologist out of the KAISER network, following discovery of and surgery for Plaintiff's brain tumor in May of 2012, by refusing to provide

Plaintiff with a referral for a pediatric neurologist out of the KAISER network, since Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI and DOES 1-50 have a conflict of interest in examining, evaluating, and treating Plaintiff for disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor, at least until such time as this matter is solved. 44. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI and DOES 1-50 breach of their duty of care to Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to provide Plaintiff with a referral for a pediatric neurologist out of the KAISER network due to Defendants conflict of interest to examine, evaluate, and treat Plaintiff BARNES for disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor, Plaintiff BARNES has incurred, and will incur in the future, expenses for medical examination, evaluation and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor; and will four future expenses other than medical expenses for treatment and rehabilitation of Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor. 1// 1// 26 1//

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

///

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY (Against Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50)

- 45. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES incorporates by this reference Paragraphs 1-44 of this Complaint, as if the same were fully set forth herein.
- 46. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GUREANI, and DOES 1-50 and Plaintiff BARNES had an physician-patient relationship beginning on or about February 28, 2012, when Plaintiff BARNES father Stephen Barnes took Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to Lakeview Medical Offices, located at 411 N. Lakeview Ave., Anaheim, California because Plaintiff had a spall the previous night when he wasn't himself, as he was starting and repeating himself when asked questions; stuck in a position of staring to the side; had a temperature of 101, and vomited.
- 47. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that since Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50 and Plaintiff BARNES had an physician-patient relationship beginning on or about February 28, 2012, and continuing thereafter, Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50 and Plaintiff BARNES had a fiduciary relationship as a matter of law pursuant to their physician-patient relationship beginning on or about February 28, 2012, and continuing thereafter.

- 48. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50 owed Plaintiff BARNES a duty of care pursuant to their fiduciary relationship beginning on or about February 28, 2012, and continuing thereafter, which included providing Plaintiff BARNES with a referral for examination, evaluation, and treatment by a pediatric neurologist out of the KAISER network due to Defendants conflict of interest to examine evaluate, and treat Plaintiff BARNES for disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor.
- 49. Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50 breached their data of care and fiduciary duty to Plaintiff BARNES arising out the physician-patient relationship between Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50 and Plaintiff BARNES, when Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50 refused repeated requests by Plaintiff BARNES' mother Leandra Navarro, and Plaintiff BARNES' attorney John Drooyan, to Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50 to provide Plaintiff BARNES with a referral for examination, evaluation, and treatment by a pediatric neurologist out of the KAISER network due to Defendants conflict of interest

50. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, AND GURBANI's breach of their duty of care to Plaintiff ISAAK BARNES to provide Plaintiff with a referral for a pediated neurologist out of the KAISER network due to Defendants gonflict of interest to examine, evaluate, and treat Plaintiff RARNES for disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor, Plaintiff BARNES has incurred, and will incur in the future, expenses for medical examination, evaluation and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and tream Plaintiff's brain tumor; and Plaintiff BARNES will incur future expenses other than medical expenses for treatment and renabilitation of Plaintiff BARNES disabilities caused by Defendants breach of their duty of care to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor.

In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, AND GURBANI's acted with oppression, fraud, malice, and in conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff, and Plaintiff BARNES is therefore entitled to punitive damages according to proof at the time of trial.

26 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 ///

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff BARNES requests the following judgment:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE: ((Against Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, KAZI, SONG, CHIKAMI, and DOES 1-50):

- 1. For general damages in an amount according to proof.
- 2. For economic damages, including but not fimited to sums incurred and to be incurred for services of hospitals, physicians, surgeons, nurses, medical supplies related to examination, evaluation and treatment of Plaintiff's brain tumor, as well as and sums incurred for examination, evaluation and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants failure to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff; and for examination, evaluation, and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES by other non-medical individuals and institutions, including but not limited specialists, chinics, and educational institutions, for Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants failure to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE (Against Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50):

- For general damages in an amount according to proof.
- 2. For economic damages, including but not limited to sums incurred and to be incurred for services of hospitals, physicians, surgeons, nurses, medical supplies and services for examination, evaluation and treatment of

Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants failure to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff BARNES brain tumor; and for examination, evaluation, and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES by other non-medical individuals and institutions, including but not limited specialists, clinics, and educational institutions, for Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants failure to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff's brain tumor.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY: (Against Defendants KAISER HEALTH PLAN, KAISER HOSPITALS, KAISER PERMANENTE, HOANG, GURBANI, and DOES 1-50):

- 1. For general damages in an amount according to proof.
- 2. For economic damages, including but not limited to sums incurred and to be incurred for services of hospitals, physicians, expeons, nurses, medical supplies and services for examination, evaluation and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants failure to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff BARNES' brain tumor; and for examination, evaluation, and treatment of Plaintiff BARNES by other non-medical individuals and institutions, including but not limited specialists, clinics, and educational institutions, for Plaintiff BARNES' disabilities caused by Defendants failure to timely diagnose and treat Plaintiff BARNES brain tumor.
- 3. For punitive damages in an amount according to proof.

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN ttorney for Plaintiff SAAK BARNES