

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Arkady Itkin (SBN 253194) Law Office of A. Itkin 100 Pine Street, Suite 1250 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 640-6765

Fax: (415) 508-3474 arkady@arkadylaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff BRANDON BOGUE

FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAR 23 2016

FRENDING SALENUK COUR

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

VS.

1. DISABILIT

KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants

RG16808824

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

- 1. DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF FEHA
- 2. FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN INTERACTIVE PROCESS IN VIOLATION OF FEHA;
- 3. FAILURE TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS IN VIOLATION OF FEHA;
- 4. WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF BOGUE, and complains and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an individual action brought by an employee against his former Kaiser Foundation Hospitals. Plaintiff Bogue (hereinafter "Plaintiff") alleges violations of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (hereinafter "FEHA") based upon the Defendants' discrimination on the basis of his disability, refusal to engage in a prompt, good faith interactive process to provide accommodations for Plaintiff, and failure to accommodate Plaintiff as required by California Fair

-1

5 At all material times alleged herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant Kaiser 6 7 In addition to the Defendant named above, Plaintiff sues fictitiously Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §474, because their names, 8 9 capacities, status, or facts showing them to liable are not presently known. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities, together with appropriate charging language, 10 11 12 The acts of Defendants that form the basis for the causes of action in this complaint 13 14 occurred in the County of Alameda. Therefore, the San Francisco Venue is proper. 15 **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES** Plaintiff timely obtained a Right to Sue letter from the Department of Fair Employment 16 and Housing (hereinafter "DFEH"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. 17 18 19 Plaintiff started working for the Defendants around November 2004 as a Lift 20 Technician. Plaintiff's most recent title was Patient Care Technician. Throughout his employment 21 22 23 On May 5, 2014, Plaintiff suffered an on the job injury to his shoulder while helping 24 25 On May 6, 2014, Plaintiff saw Dr. Yue Feng who placed him off work during May 6-May 7, 2014, and on modified duties from May 8, 2014 until May 16, 2014. The Defendants, 26 however, did not accommodate Plaintiff and sent him home to wait for recovery, even though 27 28

Complaint for Damages and Demand of Jury Trial:

Complaint for Damages and Demand of Jury Trial;

and perform his job duties.

- 18. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FEHA.
- 19. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that, during times material here, Defendant violated the FEHA by treating him differently on the basis of his disability, and terminating Plaintiff at least in part because of his disability.
- 20. The effect of the above actions and omissions have been to deprive Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect his status as an employee because of his disability.
- As a direct and further proximate result of the above violations of her rights under the FEHA, Plaintiff has suffered compensatory damages in the form of past and future wage loss, other pecuniary losses, emotional pain, loss of self-esteem, grief, stress, anxiety, stigma, humiliation, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life in an amount to be proven at trial. The Defendants' acts were done with malice, fraud, and in conscious disregard for his disability rights, because the Defendants knew, at the time of terminating Plaintiff, that Plaintiff was awaiting AME, and it was contemplated that he would be returning to work, but terminated him anyway.
- 22. As a result of the Defendants' unlawful acts, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, equitable relief, punitive damages and attorney's fees and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN INTERACTIVE PROCESS IN VIOLATION OF FEHA

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 22, as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. At all times material to this complaint, Plaintiff was a person with a disability within the meaning of the FEHA, including shoulder injury.
 - 25. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FEHA.
- 26. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that, during times material here,
 Defendant violated the FEHA by failing to engage in a good faith, timely interactive process with
 Plaintiff and/or by causing a break-down in the interactive process by terminating his employment

while he was waiting AME, being placed on modified duties and / or on medical leave, and planned to return to work.

- 27. The effect of the above actions and omissions have been to deprive Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee because of his disability, including terminating his employment.
- 28. As a direct and further proximate result of the above violations of his rights under the FEHA, Plaintiff has suffered compensatory damages in the form of past and future wage loss, other pecuniary losses, emotional pain, loss of self-esteem, grief, stress, anxiety, stigma, humiliation, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life in an amount to be proven at trial. The Defendants' acts were done with malice, fraud, and in conscious disregard for his disability rights, because the Defendants knew, at the time of terminating Plaintiff, that he was entitled to reasonable accommodations but terminated him anyway.
- 29. As a result of Defendant's unlawful acts, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, equitable relief, punitive damages, and attorney's fees and costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FAILURE TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS OF FEHA

- 30. Plaint if hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29, as though fully set forth herein.
- At all times material to this complaint, Plaintiff was a person with a disability within the meaning of the FEHA, including shoulder injury.
 - 32. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FEHA.
- 33. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that, during times material here, the Defendant violated the FEHA by failing to provide reasonable accommodations to Plaintiff as required by FEHA, when they refused to accommodate his restrictions, place him on light duty, and terminated his employment.
- 34. The effect of the above actions and omissions have been to deprive Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect his status as an employee because of her

disability.

- 35. As a direct and further proximate result of the above violations of her rights under the FEHA, Plaintiff has suffered compensatory damages in the form of past and future wage loss, other pecuniary losses, emotional pain, loss of self-esteem, grief, stress, anxiety, stigma, humiliation, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life in an amount to be proven at trial. The Defendants' acts were done with malice, fraud, and in conscious disregard for his disability rights, because the Defendants knew, at the time of terminating Plaintiff, that he was entitled to reasonable accommodations at the time of his return from disability leave but terminated him anyway.
- 36. As a result of Defendant's unlawful acts, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, equitable relief, punitive damages, and attorney's fees and costs.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION WRONGFUL TERMINATION OF VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

- 37. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36, as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. At all times material to this complaint, Plaintiff was a person with a disability within the meaning of the FEHA, including shoulder injury.
 - 39. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FEHA.
- 40. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that, during times material here, the Defendant violated the FEHA and terminating his employment because of his disability.
- workplace discrimination. This policy is embodied in various laws and regulations, including FEHA.
- 42. Plaintiff's termination by the Defendants violated the above-stated public policy, entitling Plaintiff to compensatory damages, and equitable relief.
- 43. The Defendants' acts were done with malice, fraud, and in conscious disregard for his disability rights, because the Defendants knew, at the time of terminating Plaintiff, that he was entitled to reasonable accommodations but terminated him anyway. Therefore, Plaintiff is also entitled to punitive damages.

28

1

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages;
- 2. For punitive damages;
- 3. For statutory attorneys' fees and costs of suit, for any applicable interest; and,
- 4. For such other and further relief as is just and proper.

DATED: March 22, 2016

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Arkady likin

Attorney for Plaintiff BRANDON BOGUE

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial for each and every claim for which she has a right to a jury

trial.

DATED: March 22, 2016

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

BY:

Arkady Itkin

Attorney for Plaintiff BRANDON BOGUE

EXHIBITA



DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov I email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

March 02, 2016

Brandon Bogue 2942 Cheswycke Terrace Fremont, California 94536

RE: Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

DFEH Matter Number: 42986-213649

Right to Sue: Bogue / Kaiser Foundation Hospitals

Dear Brandon Bogue,

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective March 02, 2016 because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right of Sue notice, you must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing