

CIV-150127-CIV-DS1501078-CASEEN-131502



Scanned Document Coversheet

System Code: CIV
Case Number: DS1501078
Case Type: CIV
Action Code: CASEEN
Action Date: 01/27/15
Action Time: 1:15
Action Seq: 0002
Printed by: EMUEL

THIS COVERSHEET IS FOR COURT
PURPOSES ONLY, AND THIS IS NOT
A PART OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD.
YOU WILL NOT BE CHARGED FOR
THIS PAGE

Complaint and Party information entered

Courthouse News Service



NEW FILE

 **SCANNED**

1 A. Douglas Mastroianni (State Bar No. 150438)
2 MASTROIANNI LAW FIRM
3 100 Oceangate, 12th Floor
4 Long Beach, CA 90802
5 Phone: (562) 733-2491
6 Fax: (213) 260-9360

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

JAN 27 2015

7 Attorneys of Plaintiff LibraMed, Inc.

BY 
ERIN MUELLER, DEPUTY

8 **SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO**

10 LIBRAMED, INC.,

Case **CIVDS1501078**

11 Plaintiff,

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR

12 vs.

1. Recovery of Services Rendered;

13 KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH
14 PLAN, INC. and DOES 1 through 50,
15 Inclusive,

2. Recovery on Open Book Account;

16 Defendants.

3. Quantum Meruit;

4. Violation of Business & Professions
Code §17200 *et seq*;

5. Declaratory Relief

17
18 **150127-2364 \$435**

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 **ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

1 **LIBRAMED, INC.** ("Plaintiff") alleges as follows:

2 1. Plaintiff is a California Corporation which receives assignments of
3 unpaid medical invoices and collects the amounts owed from insurance carriers, health
4 plans and other entities.

5 2. Plaintiff received a valid assignment to collect unpaid invoices owed to
6 Dr. Amos Kuvhengahwa. As set forth below, Dr. Kuvhengahwa performed emergency
7 surgeries and other emergency services for a number of Kaiser Foundation Health Plan
8 ("Kaiser") members. Kaiser, as set forth below, refused to pay the normal and customary
9 rates for those services.

10 3. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc. ("Kaiser") is a California health plan
11 providing medical and other health related services throughout California.

12 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or
13 otherwise of Defendants DOES 1-50, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff who, therefore,
14 sues the DOE Defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and
15 thereon alleges that each Defendant designated as a DOE is legally responsible in some
16 manner for the events and happenings herein referred to and legally caused the injuries
17 and damages to Plaintiff. DOES 1-50 are residents and citizens of the state of California,
18 or have their principal places of business within this State, or have conducted business in
19 this state at all relevant times. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to insert the true names
20 and capacities of the DOE Defendants when they become known.

21 5. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants, and each of them, were
22 the agents, employees, principals, subsidiaries, co-conspirators, successors and/or
23 predecessors of each of the other Defendants and were at all times acting within the
24 course and scope of said relationship, and each Defendant was fully aware of the conduct
25 of the remaining Defendants, and all Defendants authorized, ratified, and approved the
26 acts of each other.

27
28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1 6. Under state and federal law, with certain exceptions not applicable here,
2 emergency rooms are required to render emergency medical care to all patients who come
3 within their care regardless of ability to pay. Insurers and health care plans are required to
4 pay for those services at the normal and customary rate charged by the physicians in the
5 absence of an expressly negotiated rate with the physicians.

6 7. Dr. Kuvhenguhwa was not an "in-network" provider with Kaiser, i.e.,
7 he had no agreement to provide services to Kaiser members at a discounted rate.

8 8. This complaint arises out of Kaiser's failure to pay reasonable and
9 customary rates for emergency services rendered by Dr. Kuvhenguhwa to Kaiser
10 members. To protect their privacy of the patients, they will be referred to as Patients "A" -
11 "M." Each patient entered into a valid and enforceable agreement with Kaiser to pay for
12 emergency services rendered by physicians such as Dr. Kuvhenguhwa.

13 9. Patients "A" - "M" received emergency (usually surgical) care from Dr.
14 Kuvhenguhwa for life-threatening conditions in an emergency room setting. In nearly
15 every case, Dr. Kuvhenguhwa performed life-saving procedures – incubating and
16 ventilating patients, inserting catheters and performing laparoscopic and other surgical
17 procedures on patients suffering from sepsis, appendicitis and other life-threatening
18 conditions. Although Kaiser has refused to adequately compensate Dr. Kuvhenguhwa, in
19 at least one case, a patient was transported at Kaiser's behest from a Kaiser urgent care
20 facility to the emergency room for surgery by Dr. Kuvhenguhwa.

21 10. As required by state and federal law (as well as medical ethics) these
22 patients received emergency treatment without regard to their ability to pay. This crucial
23 system of mandatory emergency room care depends on the physician's ability to obtain
24 payment for services at his or her reasonable and customary rates, absent an express
25 agreement to provide those services at a discount.

26
27
28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1 11. In every case Kaiser has refused to pay the total charges for treatment and
 2 has instead offered approximately 15-50% of the actual invoice as set forth in the chart
 3 below. Plaintiff is informed and believes that defendant has improperly offered
 4 discounted compensation to Dr. Kuvhenguhwa as if we were an in-network provider who
 5 agreed to substantially discount his rates in exchange for access to Kaiser patients. The
 6 general nature of the treatment provided to Patients "A" to "M" and the total billed and
 7 paid are as follows:

<u>PATIENT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>AILMENT/ TREATMENT</u>	<u>TOTAL BILLED/PAID</u>
A	2013	Pulmonary failure/Placement of tracheostomy tube	\$3,986.00/ \$616.31
B	2013	Urosepsis and Multiple wounds/Wound Management	\$3,421.68/ \$434.53
C	2013	Cholecystitis, Cholelithiasis, Gallstone pancreatitis, Incarcerated inguinal hernia with appendix in right inguinal canal/Complex cholecystectomy; reduction of hernia and appendectomy	\$7,950.00/ \$3,264.68
D	2012	Acute cholecystitis, cholelithiasis/ Cholecystostomy	\$2,350.00 \$401.62
E	2012	Retroperitoneal bleeding/Surgical Consult	\$3,150.00/ \$505.49
F	2012	Appendicitis/ Appendectomy	\$4,358.00/ \$2,369.19
G	2013	Appendicitis/ Appendectomy	\$6,825.00/ \$2,944.68

28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1	H	2013	Septic Shock,	\$7,408.80/
2			Acute Renal	\$1,005.90
3			Failure,	
4			Tachycardia/ Resuscitated Patient, Catheter Insertion	
5	I	2013	Hematemesis/ Resuscitate Patient, Catheter Insertion	\$3,808.80/ \$452.51
6				
7	J	2013	Appendicitis/ Appendectomy	\$5,346.00 \$2,256.72
8	K	2013	Severe Sepsis, Renal Failure et al/Consult	\$3,360.00/ \$474.99
9				
10	L	2012	Sigmoid Diverticulitis/ Consult	\$1,942.00/ \$666.00
11				
12	M	2013	Ruptured Appendix, Aortic Aneurysm/ Enterolysis, Catheter Insertion, Appendectomy	\$17,918.80/ \$2,189.35
13				
14				
15				

16 12. The California Knox-Keene Health Care Services Plan Act of 1975
17 (“Act”) provides that a “health care service plan shall reimburse providers for emergency
18 services and care provided to its enrollees, until care results in stabilization of the
19 enrollee, except as provided in subdivision (c).” Cal. *Health & Safety Code* §1371.4(b).

20 13. The Act defines emergency services as “medical screening, examination,
21 and evaluation by a physician. . . to determine if an emergency medical condition. . .
22 exists and, if it does, the care, treatment, and surgery by a physician necessary to relieve
23 or eliminate the emergency medical condition, within the capability of the facility.” Cal.
24 *Health & Safety Code* §1317.1(a)(1).

1 14. The Act defines emergency medical condition as “a medical condition
2 manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity (including severe pain) such
3 that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in
4 any of the following: (1) Placing the patient’s health in serious jeopardy[;] Serious
5 impairment of bodily functions [;or] (3) serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part.”
6 Cal. *Health & Safety Code* §1317.1(b).

7 15. Under the Act a health care service plan such as Kaiser must pay or contest
8 the claim within 30 days. In the instant case, Kaiser paid a small portion of the charges
9 well below their reasonable and customary rates, without contestation.

10 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

11 (For Recovery of Services Rendered Against Defendant and Does 1-50)

12 16. Plaintiff incorporates as if fully set forth herein paragraphs 1-15 above.

13 17. Under California law Dr. Kuvhengahwa was required to provide emergency
14 services to Patients “A” - “M” and Kaiser was legally required to pay the reasonable and
15 customary costs of that emergency treatment.

16 18. Plaintiff has demanded payment for the emergency treatment rendered
17 to Patients “A” - “M” but Kaiser has paid only a small percentage of the reasonable
18 charges billed.

19 19. Kaiser has refused to pay and continues to refuse to pay the full, reasonable
20 amount for the services billed and \$54,999.56 is currently due and owing.

21 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 (For Recovery on Open Book Account against Defendant and Does 1-50)

23 20. Plaintiff incorporates as if fully set forth herein paragraphs 1-19 above.

24 21. Kaiser had become indebted to plaintiff’s assignor on an open book
25 account for money due in the sum of \$55,999.56 for emergency medical services
26 rendered to Kaiser members.

27
28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 (Breach of Implied-In-Fact Contract against Defendant and DOES 1-50)

3 31. Plaintiff incorporates as if fully set forth herein paragraphs 1-30 above.

4 32. Patients "A" - "M" had valid policies of insurance with Kaiser providing
5 that Defendant would provide them health care services.

6 33. Patients "A" - "M" had policies with Kaiser specifically, in party, to ensure
7 that they would have access to emergency medical services like those provided to them
8 by Dr. Kuvhenguhwa and that Kaiser would pay for those emergency services. Kaiser
9 knew that its members would seek emergency treatment at the closest hospital and from
10 the closest physicians regardless of whether the physicians had contracts with Kaiser
11 providing for payment for the provision of emergency services.

12 34. On information and belief, Kaiser received and continues to receive
13 premiums from Patients "A" -- "M."

14 35. Plaintiff's assignor provided emergency medical treatment to Patients "A"
15 -- "M" which Kaiser implicitly agreed to pay (and is legally required to pay) at the
16 customary and reasonable rates for such treatment.

17 36. Kaiser has refused and continues to refuse to pay the full, reasonable
18 customary rate for treatment rendered to Patients "A" -- "M."

19 37. As result, Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount of \$55,999.56
20 plus interest.

21 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 (Cal. Bus. Prof. Code §17200, et seq against Defendant and DOES 1-50)

23 38. Plaintiff incorporates as if fully set forth herein paragraphs 1-37 above.

24 39. Kaiser operates health care service plans licensed pursuant to the Act.

25 40. The Act provides that a "health care service plain is prohibited from
26 engaging in an unfair payment pattern." The Act defines any unfair payment pattern
27
28

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1 to include “[e]ngaging in a demonstrable and unjust pattern, as defined by the department,
2 of reducing the amount of payment or denying complete and accurate claims.”

3 41. Kaiser has engaged in unfair and unlawful acts by, among other things,
4 knowingly engaging in an unfair payment pattern by failing to adequately reimburse
5 Plaintiff for emergency services rendered to Patients “A” -- “M.”

6 42. This conduct constitutes illegal and unfair business practices under
7 California Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq

8 43. Plaintiff seeks restitution of the sum of \$55,999.56 plus interest and
9 injunctive relief requiring the payment to Plaintiff of all amounts owed.

10 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

11 (Violation of Cal. Bus. Prof. Code §17200, et seq against Defendant and DOES 1-50

12 44. Plaintiff incorporates as if fully set forth herein paragraphs 1-43 above.

13 45. A dispute exists between Plaintiff and Defendant regarding the amount of
14 reimbursement for services rendered to Patients “A” -- “M.”

15 46. Plaintiff seeks a declaration that Kaiser is required to pay the full amount
16 billed for the emergency services rendered to Patients “A” -- “M.”

17 47. Such a declaration is necessary and appropriate so that the parties may
18 ascertain their rights and obligations with respect to the outstanding invoices for services
19 provided to Patients “A” -- “M.”

20 **PRAYER**

21 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for damages as follows:

- 22 1. For compensatory damages in an amount not less than
23 \$54,999.56 plus interest;
- 24 2. For restitution in an amount not less than
25 \$54,999.56 plus interest;
- 26 3. For a declaration that Kaiser is required to pay the full amount billed
27 for services rendered to Patients “A” -- “M”;

28 **ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 4. For attorneys fees and costs incurred in bringing this action;
- 5. For interest;
- 6. For such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: January 19, 2014

MASTROIANNI LAW FIRM

By: _____
For Plaintiff

Courthouse News Service