

01/20/2015 16:03

+

DCB LAW

PAGE 08

David Craig Bernstein 122147
LAW OFFICE OF DAVID CRAIG BERNSTEIN
 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite M-5
 Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 288-0854
 Fax: (310) 288-0157
 Email: dcb23@pacbell.net

Attorney for Plaintiff Robert Eiseman

FILED
 Superior Court of California
 County of Los Angeles

JAN 20 2015

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
 By Shaunya Bolden Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

ROBERT EISEMAN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KAISER PERMANENTE, a non-profit health insurer; **JERRY HAMILTON SPARKS**, an individual; **DOES 1 through 25**, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: BC 569931

COMPLAINT

1. Invasion of Privacy;
2. Int'l Infliction of Emotional Distress;
3. Defamation;
5. Negligence

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Robert Eiseman ("Plaintiff") alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff is an individual who at all times relevant hereto resided in Los Angeles County, California.

2. Defendant Kaiser Permanente operates a non-profit health insurer in Los Angeles County, California. At all times relevant this the allegations of this Complaint, was Plaintiff's health insurer.

3. Defendant Jerry Hamilton Sparks ("Sparks") is a Licensed Clinical Social Worker (CA License No.: 11751), who, at all times relevant to this Complaint, was employed by

Complaint

RECEIPT #: CH451233008
 DATE PAID: 01/22/15 08:56 AM
 PAYMENT: \$435.00
 RECEIVED: \$435.00
 CHECK: \$0.00
 CASH: \$0.00
 CHANGE: \$0.00
 C.D.: \$435.00

CIT/CASE: BC569931
 LEA/DEF#:

1 Defendant Kaiser Permanente. At all times relevant hereto, Sparks was acting within the course
2 and scope of his employment as a LCSW by Defendant Kaiser Permanente.

3 4. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise,
4 of DOES 1 through 25 are unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues the DOE defendants by
5 fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show the DOE defendants' true names
6 and capacities after they have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon
7 alleges that DOES 1 through 25 are defendants' partners, joint-venturers, members, owners,
8 shareholders, officers, directors, and/or managers.

9 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each and all of the acts and
10 omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to all Defendants, each acting as
11 agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control of each of the other Defendants,
12 and that said acts and failures to act were within the course and scope of said agency,
13 employment and/or direction and control. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges
14 that at all times relevant hereto, Defendants were and remain the agents of each other.

15 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

16 6. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was employed by the Los Angeles Unified
17 School District ("LAUSD"). As an employment benefit, LAUSD provided Plaintiff with health
18 insurance through Defendant Kaiser Permanente. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff worked
19 with a LAUSD employee who is referred to in this Complaint as "John Doe" to protect his
20 privacy. As a LAUSD employee, John Doe also received health insurance through Kaiser
21 Permanente. In January 2014, Plaintiff was one of John Doe's supervisors.

22 7. At all times relevant hereto, John Doe was a patient of Defendant Sparks, who treated
23 John Doe for numerous emotional disorders. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon
24 alleges that during psychotherapy sessions with Sparks, John Doe complained to Sparks about
25 Plaintiff's interactions with him at LAUSD. John Doe falsely reported to Sparks that Plaintiff
26 had purposefully caused Sparks to suffer emotional distress, and that John Doe had once been a
27 close friend of Plaintiff.
28

1 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that in or about late 2013 to
2 January 2014, Sparks, who knew that Plaintiff was a Kaiser Permanente patient, unlawfully,
3 unethically, gained access to and read Plaintiff's private medical records through the Kaiser
4 Permanente system, despite the fact that Plaintiff has never been Spark's patient. Plaintiff is
5 informed and believes and thereon alleges that in furtherance of his treatment of John Doe, and
6 in the course and scope of his employment by Kaiser, Sparks decided to interfere with Plaintiff's
7 employment relationship at LAUSD in an ill-conceived, mean-spirited, deliberate, and highly
8 grossly illegal effort to help his patient.

9 9. On January 21, 2014, Sparks wrote a letter to Plaintiff's immediate supervisor at
10 LAUSD. In his letter, Sparks falsely asserted, without any objective medical or factual basis
11 whatsoever, that Plaintiff had "purposely" and intentionally inflicted "emotional stress" on John
12 Doe. Sparks letter further asserted that approximately seven (7) years earlier, Plaintiff had
13 "begun a regimen of "psychotropic medication," and that Plaintiff's conduct at that time had
14 caused John Doe's "PSA test for prostate cancer" to increase. Sparks falsely, without any
15 medical or factual basis, wrote that as a result of Plaintiff's conduct, John Doe suffered from
16 "visual field issues related to his browtosis (sic), anxiety, depression and other health related
17 issues due to the intentional infliction of emotional distress caused by [Plaintiff]."

18 10. In his letter, Sparks falsely, and without any objective or reasonable legal, medical or
19 factual basis supporting his assertions, stated that "In my professional opinion, there is a conflict
20 of interest for Mr. Eiseman to be supervising [John Doe] considering their long and conflicted
21 history which leads to the district liability it does not need (sic). Sparks further wrote that
22 Plaintiff's conduct was "impacting the health of [John Doe] and this is another liability the
23 district does not want to be held responsible for."

24 11. Sparks' reference to medication that Plaintiff had been prescribed by Kaiser
25 Permanente health care professional years prior to the date he wrote his letter, and which
26 Plaintiff was not taking, could only have been obtained by Sparks' by unlawfully accessing
27 Plaintiff's private medical records maintained at Kaiser Permanente, in an outrageous, shocking
28

1 and flagrant disregard for Plaintiff's constitutional right to privacy under Art.1, §1 of the
2 California Constitution and under the United States Constitution.

3 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR INVASION OF**
4 **PRIVACY**

5 12. Plaintiff incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 11 as if set forth in full hereto.

6 13. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy in his private medical records. (Art.
7 1, § 1, CA Constitution; U.S. Constitution).

8 14. Defendants Kaiser Permanente, Sparks, and Does 1 through 2, intentionally and
9 unlawfully accessed, read, and disseminated Plaintiff's private medical information to third
10 parties, including Plaintiff's supervisor at LAUSD.

11 15. Defendants' deliberate intrusion into Plaintiff's privacy is outrageous and highly
12 offensive to any reasonable person. Defendant Sparks, a licensed clinical social worker acting in
13 the course and scope of his employment by Kaiser Permanente had actual knowledge that his
14 conduct was unlawful. Sparks and Does 1 through 25 intended to damages Plaintiff's career and
15 reputation in his field, and knew that publishing the information to Plaintiff's employer was
16 likely to accomplish their objective.

17 16. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional
18 right to privacy, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, embarrassment, anger and frustration.
19 As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, LAUSD did not renew
20 Plaintiff's employment contract and Plaintiff received a de facto demotion to a lower pay grade
21 and concomitant substantial reduction in his compensation, prestige and career trajectory.

22 17. Defendants' actions were fraudulent, malicious, oppressive and known to be unlawful
23 at the time they were made and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to recover punitive damages against
24 Defendants in an amount not yet ascertained but according to proof at the time of trial.

25 ///

26 ///

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR INTENTIONAL
INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

18. Plaintiff incorporated by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 11 and 13 through 17 as if set forth in full hereat.

19. The deliberate violation of another person's constitutional right to privacy is outrageous. Here, Defendant Sparks and Does 1 through 10, in the course and scope of their employment by Kaiser Permanente, deliberately embarked on an ill-conceived and bizarre plan to support Sparks' patient John Doe by brazenly accessing Plaintiff's private medical records and publishing those records to Plaintiff's supervisor at LAUSD with the goal of sabotaging Plaintiff's career and reputation so that Plaintiff would no longer be assigned to supervise John Doe. Without any medical or factual basis whatsoever, Defendant falsely insinuated that Plaintiff was mentally ill, mean-spirited and was intentionally causing John Doe to suffer stress and medical complications. Defendants further threatened LAUSD with civil "liability" arising out of their false and reckless accusations against Plaintiff.

20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional right to privacy, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, embarrassment, anger and frustration. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, LAUSD did not renew Plaintiff's employment contract and Plaintiff received a de facto demotion to a lower pay grade and concomitant substantial reduction in his compensation, prestige and career trajectory.

21. Defendants' actions were fraudulent, malicious, oppressive and known to be unlawful at the time they were made and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to recover punitive damages against Defendants in an amount not yet ascertained but according to proof at the time of trial.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR DEFAMATION
"PER SE"**

22. Plaintiff incorporated by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 11, 13 through 17 and 19 through 21 as if set forth in full hereat.

23. Sparks January 21, 2014 letter, which was written in the course and scope of his employment by Kaiser Permanente, is defamatory per se. Plaintiff never intentionally caused stress or harm to John Doe. Plaintiff was prescribed psychotropic medication literally years before Sparks wrote his letter and Plaintiff was not taking such medication at the time of the letter. Nothing Plaintiff did or did not do effected John Doe's PSA to rise, and nothing Plaintiff did nor did not do was creating a liability for LAUSD. Sparks' letter, which was based on obsolete and private medical records unlawfully accessed by Sparks, created the false implication that Plaintiff was unfit for duty at his job, and damaged Plaintiff's career and standing at LAUSD.

24. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional right to privacy, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, embarrassment, anger and frustration. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, LAUSD did not renew Plaintiff's employment contract and Plaintiff received a de facto demotion to a lower pay grade and concomitant substantial reduction in his compensation, prestige and career trajectory.

25. Defendants' actions were fraudulent, malicious, oppressive and known to be unlawful at the time they were made and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to recover punitive damages against Defendants in an amount not yet ascertained but according to proof at the time of trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP

26. Plaintiff incorporated by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 11, 13 through 17, 19 through 21 and 23 through 25 as if set forth in full hereat.

27. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants were aware of the fact that Plaintiff was employed by LAUSD as John Doe's supervisor.

28. Defendants engaged in the unlawful conduct alleged herein, including but not limited to, the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional right to privacy, the dissemination of the contents of Plaintiff's private medical records, the publication of the defamatory January 21,

1 2014 letter to Plaintiff's supervisor at LAUSD, in furtherance of a deliberate, conscious,
2 intentional and unlawful plan to interfere with Plaintiff's employment relationship with LAUSD
3 for the misguided purpose of somehow helping John Doe with his anxiety. Defendants, and each
4 of them, knew or should have known that sending a false letter to Plaintiff's supervisor that
5 insinuated that Plaintiff was mentally unstable and intentionally bullying a subordinate would
6 cause damages to Plaintiff's relationship with LAUSD. Defendants knew or should have known
7 that by threatening LAUSD for "liability" for allowing Plaintiff and John Doe to work together
8 would interfere with Plaintiff's relationship with LAUSD.

9 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional
10 right to privacy, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, embarrassment, anger and frustration.
11 As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, LAUSD did not renew
12 Plaintiff's employment contract and Plaintiff received a de facto demotion to a lower pay grade
13 and concomitant substantial reduction in his compensation, prestige and career trajectory.

14 30. Defendants' actions were fraudulent, malicious, oppressive and known to be
15 unlawful at the time they were made and Plaintiff is therefore entitled to recover punitive
16 damages against Defendants in an amount not yet ascertained but according to proof at the time
17 of trial.

18 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR NEGLIGENCE**

19 31. Plaintiff incorporated by this reference Paragraphs 1 through 11, 13 through 17, 19
20 through 21, 23 through 25 and 27 through 30 as if set forth in full hereat.

21 32. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Kaiser Permanente and Does 15 through 25
22 negligently supervised, trained and disciplined Defendant Sparks such that Sparks was allowed,
23 in the course and scope of his employment to gain access to Plaintiff's confidential medical
24 records and was allowed to believe that it was within the scope of his employment to write a
25 letter critical of a patient's co-worker to a patient's employer. Plaintiff further alleges that Kaiser
26 Permanente negligently compiled, maintained and supervised the confidentiality of Plaintiff's

01/20/2015 16:03

+

DCB LAW

PAGE 15

1 confidential medical records that Sparks was allowed access to those records despite the fact that
2 Plaintiff was not Sparks' patient and Sparks had no lawful reason to have access to the records.

3 33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional
4 right to privacy, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress, embarrassment, anger and frustration.
5 As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful actions, LAUSD did not renew
6 Plaintiff's employment contract and Plaintiff received a de facto demotion to a lower pay grade
7 and concomitant substantial reduction in his compensation, prestige and career trajectory.

8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them,
9 jointly and severally, as follows:

- 10 1. For general damages in an amount not yet ascertained but according to proof and
11 in excess of the limited jurisdiction of this court;
- 12 2. For special damages, including medical expenses, in an amount not yet
13 ascertained but according to proof at the time of trial;
- 14 3. For costs incurred in an amount according to proof;
- 15 4. For interest at the legal rate in an amount according to proof, and
16 5. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

17
18 Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

19 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID CRAIG BERNSTEIN

20
21 Dated: January 20, 2015

22 
23 David Craig Bernstein
24 Attorney For Plaintiff Robert Eiseman
25
26
27
28

01/20/2015 16:03

DCB LAW

PAGE 02

CM-010

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):

David Craig Bernstein 122147
LAW OFFICE OF DAVID CRAIG BERNSTEIN
9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite M-5
Beverly Hills, CA 90212

TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 288-0854

FAX NO.: (310) 288-0157

ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Robert Eiseman

FOR COURT USE ONLY

FILEDSuperior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

JAN 20 2015

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

By Shaunya Bolden Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles

STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street

MAILING ADDRESS:

CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, California 90012

BRANCH NAME: Central

CASE NAME: Eiseman vs. Kaiser Permanente, et al.

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

☒ Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) ☐ Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)

Complex Case Designation

☐ Counter ☐ JoinderFiled with first appearance by defendant
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)CASE NUMBER: **BC 569931**

JUDGE:

DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort

☐ Auto (22)
☐ Uninsured motorist (48)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

☐ Asbestos (04)
☐ Product liability (24)
☐ Medical malpractice (45)
☐ Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

☐ Business tort/unfair business practice (07)
☐ Civil rights (08)
☐ Defamation (13)
☐ Fraud (16)
☐ Intellectual property (19)
☐ Professional negligence (25)
☒ Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)

Employment

☐ Wrongful termination (36)
☐ Other employment (15)

Contract

☐ Breach of contract/warranty (06)
☐ Rule 3.740 collections (09)
☐ Other collections (09)
☐ Insurance coverage (18)
☐ Other contract (37)

Real Property

☐ Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14)
☐ Wrongful eviction (33)
☐ Other real property (26)

Unlawful Detainer

☐ Commercial (31)
☐ Residential (32)
☐ Drugs (38)

Judicial Review

☐ Asset forfeiture (05)
☐ Petition re: arbitration award (11)
☐ Writ of mandate (02)
☐ Other judicial review (39)

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation

(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)

☐ Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
☐ Construction defect (10)
☐ Mass tort (40)
☐ Securities litigation (28)
☐ Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
☐ Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

☐ Enforcement of judgment (20)

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

☐ RICO (27)
☐ Other complaint (not specified above) (42)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

☐ Partnership and corporate governance (21)
☐ Other petition (not specified above) (43)

2. This case ☐ is ☒ is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

a. ☐ Large number of separately represented parties
b. ☐ Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve
c. ☐ Substantial amount of documentary evidence

d. ☐ Large number of witnessese. ☐ Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal courtf. ☐ Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. ☒ monetary b. ☒ nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. ☒ punitive

4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5

5. This case ☐ is ☒ is not a class action suit.

6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: January 20, 2015

David Craig Bernstein

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death
Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)
Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death
Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Malpractice (45)
Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons
Other Professional Health Care Malpractice
Other PI/PD/WD (23)
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
Fraud (16)
Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice
Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)
Employment
Wrongful Termination (36)
Other Employment (15)

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
Breach of Rental/Lease
Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
Collections (e.g., money owed, open bank accounts) (09)
Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff
Other Promissory Note/Collections Case
Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)
Auto Subrogation
Other Coverage
Other Contract (37)
Contractual Fraud
Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)
Wrongful Eviction (33)
Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
Writ of Possession of Real Property
Mortgage Foreclosure
Quiet Title
Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)
Residential (32)
Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter
Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review
Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order
Notice of Appeal-Labor
Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)
Sister State Judgment
Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment)
Mechanics Lien
Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)
Other Petition (not specified above) (43)
Civil Harassment
Workplace Violence
Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse
Election Contest
Petition for Name Change
Petition for Relief from Late Claim
Other Civil Petition

01/20/2015 16:03 +

DCB LAW

PAGE 04

SHORT TITLE:

Eiseman vs. Kaiser Permanente, et al.

CASE NUMBER:

BC 569931

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND
STATEMENT OF LOCATION

(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? ☒ YES CLASS ACTION? ☐ YES LIMITED CASE? ☐ YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 6 ☐ HOURS/ ☒ DAYS.

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
2. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
- ☒ 3. Location where cause of action arose.
4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.
6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
7. Location where petitioner resides.
8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Motorist (46)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Asbestos (04)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6070 Asbestos Property Damage	2.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.
Product Liability (24)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Medical Malpractice (45)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons	1., 4.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	1., 4.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)	1., 4.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1., 3.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4.

01/20/2015 16:03 +

DCB LAW

PAGE 05

SHORT TITLE:

Eiseman vs. Kaiser Permanente, et al.

CASE NUMBER

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6017 Legal Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2., 3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case <input type="checkbox"/> A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) <input type="checkbox"/> A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) <input type="checkbox"/> A6016 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) <input type="checkbox"/> A6026 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6009 Contractual Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> A6031 Tortious Interference <input type="checkbox"/> A6027 Other Contract Dispute (not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 5.
Other Real Property (26)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> A6032 Quiet Title <input type="checkbox"/> A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

COURT TITLE

Eiseman vs. Kaiser Permanente, et al.

CASE NUMBER

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Asset Forfeiture (05)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0100 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 6
Petition re Arbitration (11)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0110 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 6
Writ of Mandate (02)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> A0152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter <input type="checkbox"/> A0153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2, 8 ? 2
Other Judicial Review (39)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0150 Other Writ/Judicial Review	2, 8
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
Construction Defect (10)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0007 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
Securities Litigation (20)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0004 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0014 Insurance Coverage/Underwriting (complex case only)	1, 2, 8, 9
Enforcement of Judgment (20)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0141 Order State Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> A0140 Abstract of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> A0107 Enforcement of Judgment (non domestic matters) <input type="checkbox"/> A0140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) <input type="checkbox"/> A0114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax <input type="checkbox"/> A0112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8 2, 6 2, 9 2, 8 2, 8 2, 8, 9
RICO (21)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (47)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0030 Declaratory Relief Only <input type="checkbox"/> A0040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) <input type="checkbox"/> A0011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-forfeiture/complex) <input type="checkbox"/> A0000 Other Civil Complaint (non-forfeiture/complex)	1, 2, 8 2, 8 1, 2, 8 1, 2, 8
Partnership/Corporation Governance (21)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2, 8
Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	<input type="checkbox"/> A0121 Civil Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> A0123 Workplace Harassment <input type="checkbox"/> A0124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case <input type="checkbox"/> A0101 Election Contest <input type="checkbox"/> A0110 Petition for Change of Name <input type="checkbox"/> A0170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law <input type="checkbox"/> A0100 Other Civil Petition	2, 3, 8 2, 3, 9 2, 3, 8 2 2, 7 2, 3, 4, 8 2, 9

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11)
LASC Approved 03-04
ESSENTIAL FORMS

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

Local Case No.
Page 3 of 4

Eiseman vs. Kaiser Permanente, et al.

Circumstance indicated in Item IV, Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have initiated for this case.

1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 ☐

CITY: Los Angeles STATE: California ZIP CODE: 90017

ADDRESS:
90017, 333 South Bcaudry Avenue

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mook courthouse in the Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local Rules 2.0, supers. (b), (c) and (d)).

Dated: JANUARY 20, 2015

DWSA
(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

1. Original Complaint or Petition.
2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for Issuance by the Clerk.
3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
6. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010. If the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
7. Additional copies of documents to be conferred by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

01/23/2015