Superior Court of California County of Orange



Case Number: 30-2014-00754238-CU-PO-CJC

Copy Request: 1695491

Request Type: Case Documents

Prepared for: cns

Number of documents: 1

Number of pages: 8

ELECTRONICALLY FILED 1 Jeff Dicks, Esq., SBN 159737 Superior Court of California. Law Office of Jeffrey J. Dicks County of Orange 2 806 Ave Pico, Suite I-309 11/03/2014 at 10:06:40 PM San Clemente, CA 92673 3 Clerk of the Superior Court Phone: (714) 267-1300 By Debbie Lechmann Deputy Clerk 4 JeffDicks.Esq@gmail.com Attorney for Rosa E. Bobbio 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORN 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE 9 10 Case # ROSA E. BOBBIO, an individual, 30-2014-00754238-CU-PO-CJC 11 Judge James J. Di Cesare 12 assigned for all purposes to: Plaintiff, Honorable: 13 v. 14 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** 15 KAISER PERMANENTE LA PALMA, entity of type unknown; KAISER (**NEGLIGENCE: PREMESIS LIABILITY AND** 16 FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., an DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 17 entity of type unknown; KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, an entity of type Complaint Filed: 18 Trial Date: Not set unknown; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 19 PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, an entity 20 of type unknown, and DOES 1-100, inclusive. 21 Defendants. 22 23 Comes Plaintiff Rosa E. Bobbio ("Plaintiff"), who files this complaint for damages against 24 Defendants Kaiser Permanente La Palma, Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., Kaiser Foundation, 25 Hospitals and Southern California Permanente Medical Group, ("Defendants"). 26 111 27 28 111

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES--1

I.

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND CAPACITY

- 1. Plaintiff is, and at all times material hereto was, an individual residing in the County of Orange, State of California.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Kaiser Permanente La Palma, Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., Kaiser Foundation, Hospitals and Southern California Permanente Medical Group are private entities of unknown types, at all times material hereto conduct business in the County of Orange, in the State of California.
- 3. This case arises under California law for negligence. Thus, this court has both proper subject matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction and venue.
- 4. The true names and capacities of those Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained pursuant to Code Civ Proc. § 474.
- 5. At all times material hereto, that the Doe Defendants, were the agents, representatives, servants and employees of the other Defendants, and that all of the acts herein alleged were done in the course and scope of such agency and employment, and the employer is vicariously liable. Further, all acts or omissions alleged were supervised and ratified by the entity that the individual Doe defendants are employed by.
- 6. The entity Defendants are sued in their corporate capacities, and the individual Doe defendants are sued in their individual capacities.

II.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION/REMEDIES

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that

Defendant's claims to an arbitration of the issues in this case is illusory because the arbitration

agreement did not include their facilities' negligence in providing safe premises for all who visit its
facilities.

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21 22

23

24

25 26

28

27

- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that 8. she is a member of Defendant's Health Maintenance Organization ("HMO"). On December 3, 2013, she was visiting Defendants La Palma Kaiser Main Medical Facility (Opening Front Door), located at 5 Centerpointe Drive, La Palma, CA 90623.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that it had been raining steadily and moderately for at most of the day before, and for several hours on the morning on December 3, 2013, since she had been awake. It was raining sufficiently that day to require windshield wipers to drive to the facility, and the floor entering the hospital was clearly soaking wet and slippery and had been wet for many hours with no attempted precautions for just such accidents as this Plaintiff suffered on this date.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that 10. the history of the closest airport rain readings for December 2, 2012 and the day of the accident, December 3, 2013 indicates there was consistent steady rain from approximately 3:18 p.m. on the Sunday prior to the accident, with on and off rain becoming steady for at least twelve (12) hours prior to the accident.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that 11. Plaintiff even took extra safety precautions due to the heavy rains by wearing non-slip safety shoes on the morning of December 3, 2013.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that 12. without floor mats and/or safety warning cones to avoid the paddling areas of water, she could not avoid slipping and the resulting injuries. When Plaintiff arrived at the entrance to Defendant's La Palma facility, she noticed that the area was without floor mats and/or safety warning cones to avoid the paddling areas of water,
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that 13. Plaintiff walked slowly and safely up to the front entrance door holding the railing at the wall, but needed to briefly depart the wall to enter the front door, and when Plaintiff went to grab the front door she slipped incredibly hard. Later that day Plaintiff was confirmed to have broken her knee and

hit her head and right side of her face. Later through months of treatment, she was confirmed to have been permanently disabled by the loss of her hearing in her right ear.

- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that immediately following the accident, one of Defendant's employees and another Kaiser member helped Plaintiff up from the very slippery floor surface at the front entrance. Both Defendant's employee and other member went on their own ways and left Plaintiff to proceed to her doctor appointment on the upper floors of the facility, without calling for further medical assistance. Plaintiff was crying and could barely walk, and somehow made it to Dr Sajini Susan George's office, where she was seen at 11:13 a.m.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that Dr. George immediately ordered a CT scan of Plaintiff's head due to the significant head trauma and immediate extreme ear pain and excessive above normal ringing. Dr. George also ordered x-rays of Plaintiff's knee, later confirmed as a fractured knee.
- Dr. George placed a temporary brace on Plaintiff's broken knee without x-rays, placed her on two crutches, a large more permanent knee brace under Plaintiff's other arm. Dr. George then told Plaintiff to limp downstairs all by herself to get x-rays of the broken knee and a CT Scan of the traumatically impacted skull, face and ear.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that she has been seen by Defendant's doctors for the resulting injuries on at least 30 occasions since December 3, 2012, and has suffered incredible pain and difficulty in dealing with these injuries. Plaintiff will be permanently without hearing in her right ear, and have difficulty walking the rest of her life. Plaintiff was a very active senior citizen who is now forced to sit and not do many of her prior activities, and she is expected to have a shortened her life span due to the accident.
- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges that her injuries and resulting damages that could have been avoided by Defendant placing the mats and cones out at the front entrance to the La Palma facility.

III.

CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE: PREMISES LIABILITY

- 19. Plaintiff realleges all facts as stated in paragraphs 1-18, inclusive, as if set forth individually.
- 20. Defendants had a duty to maintain their premises in a safe condition due to weather conditions that were easily remedied by taking precautions at their front entrance.
- 21. Defendant's breached their duty to provide a safe facility during heavy rain conditions by, at minimum, placing mats and cones to warn members and other visitors to the front entrance at their La Palma Facility.
- 22. The injuries sustained by Plaintiff were those that Defendants reasonably could have foreseen would cause harm to their members or the general public visiting their facility in La Palma. Defendants had actual knowledge of the dangerous condition at the front entrance of their La Palma facility because evidence suggests that it was rown about and present for a sufficient period of time. Defendants failed to adequately protect the public and warn against the reasonably foreseeable harm.
- 23. Defendants failure to take remedial action to the risk of danger that heavy rain posed to those members and other visitors to their La Palma facility was the proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries at Defendant's La Palma facility.
- 24. As a result of Defendants' negligence through premises liability, Plaintiff suffered severe injuries to her head and legs, and will continue to suffer these injuries for the remainder of her life.

IV.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 25. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
- a. For compensatory damages for medical expenses incurred as of the filing of this complaint, and that will be expected to be incurred through natural life in an amount according to proof;
 - b. For general damages in an amount according to proof;

SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ADDITIONAL PARTIES ATTACHMENT FORM IS ATTACHED

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ROSA E. BOBBIO, an individual

	INI- I OO
FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)	
	1

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form (if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you if you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien most be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro (le Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrat estas grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recipida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

pagar of gratament as its sente amost as que la son para assessitat en secon					
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Orange County Superior C	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):				
Central Justice Center, 700 Civic Center West Drive					
Santa Ana, CA 92701					
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:					
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del dema	andante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):				
Law Offices of Jeffrey P. Dicks [ph. (714) 267-1300], 806	Avenida Pico, Suite I-309, San Clemente, CA 92673				
DATE: Cle	erk, by , Deputy				
(Fecha) (Se	ecretario) (Adjunto)				

(Fecha)	(Secretario)(A		
	is summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)).		
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1 as an individual defendant. 2 as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):		
	3. on behalf of (specify):		
	under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservate CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized	•	
	other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):		

	SUM-200(/
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Bobbie v. Kaiser Permanente, et al.	
INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE → This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit t → If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant be Attachment form is attached."	he listing of all parties on the summons. oox on the summons: "Additional Parties
List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of par	<i>ty.)</i> :
Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cross-Defe KAISER PERMANENTE LA PALMA, an entity of type unknown; KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., an entity of type unknown; KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, an entity of type unknown; SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANTE MEDICAL GROUP, an entity and DOES 1-100, inclusive.	nown;
and BOLS 1-100, metasive.	

Page 2 of 2