

PLD-C-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Scarlett McCune	FOR COURT USE ONLY
3823 Dartmouth Way	
Livermore, CA 94550	
TELEPHONE NO: (925) 294-9144 FAX NO. (Optional):	,
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ScarlettMcCune@att.net	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): In Pro-Per	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Alameda	
STREET ADDRESS: 24405 Amador Street	·
MAILING ADDRESS:	EILED
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Hayward, CA 94544	ALANGE
111	ALAMEDA COUNTY
O V WO I TO WILL.	
PLAINTIFF: Scarlett McCune	OC₹ 0 9 2014
·	(\(\sigma \)
DEFENDANT: Kaiser Permanente	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	By Delra Tentorio
DOES 1 TO	Deputy
CONTRACT	(()
COMPLAINT AMENDED COMPLAINT (Number):	
CROSS-COMPLAINT AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT (Number):	
CROSS-COMPLAINT AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT (Number):	
Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	
ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE	CASE NUMBER:
Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	
exceeds \$10,000 but does not exceed \$25,000	401/2/2014
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,900)	HG14743845
ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint or cross-complaint	
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
1. Plaintiff* (name or names):	
Scarlett McCune	
alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names):	
Kaiser Permanente	
2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of page 2.	ages: 3
3. a. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult	
except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) other (specify):	
b. Plaintiff (name): Scarlett McCune	
a. has complied with the fictitious business name laws and is doing business un	nder the fictitious name (specify):
b. has complied with all licensing requirements as a licensed (specify):	
c. Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in	Attachment 3c.
4. a. Each defendant named above is a natural person	
except defendant (name): Kaiser Permanente except defendar	it (name):
	ess organization, form unknown
(2) a corporation (2) a corporation	pration
	corporated entity (describe):
(4) a public entity (describe): (4) a public	c entity (describe):
(5) other (specify): (5) other (s	specify):
* If this form is used as a cross-complaint, plaintiff means cross-complainant and defendant me	ans cross-defendant. Page 1 of 2

	PLD-C-00
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
McCune vs. Kaiser Permanente	
4. (Continued)	
b. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.	
(1) Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): were the age	nts or employees of the named
defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.	
plaintiff.	whose capacities are unknown to
c. Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained in Att	achment 4c.
d. Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (names):	
5. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and	
a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or	
b. is excused from complying because (specify):	
G. This seties is subject to Table 1.	\supset
6. This action is subject to Civil Code section 1812.10 Civil Code section 2984.4	
7. This court is the proper court because a. a defendant entered into the contract here.	
a. a defendant entered into the contract here.b. a defendant lived here when the contract was entered into.	
c. a defendant lives here now.	
d. the contract was to be performed here.	
e. 🗸 a defendant is a corporation or unincorporated association and its principal place of busi	iness is here.
f. real property that is the subject of this action is located here.	
g other (specify):	
8. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each compa	laint must have one or
more causes of action attached):	
☐ Breach of Contract	
Common Counts	
Other (specify):	
9. Other allegations:	
10. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for	•
a. 🗸 damages of: \$ unlimited civil case b. 🗸 interest on the damages	
(1) according to proof	
(2) at the rate of (specify): percent per year from (date):	
c. attorney's fees	•
(1) of: \$	
(2) according to proof.	
d. other (specify):	•
11. The paragraphs of this pleading alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraphs)	aragraph numbers):
Date: 10/09/14	
Scarlett McCune Scarlett	MICUM
	AINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)
(If you wish to verify this pleading affix a verification)	

3823 Dartmouth Way Livermore, CA 94550 (925) 294-91443 4 Scarlett McCune, IN PRO PER 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIF 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMED 9 10 11 12 HG14743845 Scarlett McCune, 13 Wrongful Termination Complaint PLAINTIEF 14 vs. 15 Kaiser Permanente 16 17 Defendant 18 I, Scarlett McCune, believe my employer; Kaiser Permanente 19 20 retaliated against me by terminating me 07-10-12 after I filed a 21 workman's compensation claim for my injury to my right arm. My 22 direct Manager was livid that I filed a claim and was really angry 23 with me for the next four months. The claim was filed on 02-16-12 24 and one week later approximately (02-29-12) I received the first 25 "unacceptable" evaluation in my 16.5 years with the company. All my 26 27 other evaluations were Satisfactory or higher. In addition to that

Scarlett McCune

28

2.7

28

I was placed on a P.I.P (Performance Improvement Plan) which is the "known way" to get rid of employees at Kaiser. It is not really a tool to improve performance as they claim. Additionally, on 02-29-12 I was handed an empty envelope that should have contained a bonus check. The bonus check was based on meeting three out of four goals and I met all four goals, received a plaque and recognition for meeting the goals but did not get the bonus (Not receiving the bonus was also a first, and I did not receive a raise.

I do not believe that I was terminated based on the PIP (as Kaiser stated in my termination letter because my Manager told me that I had made the improvements she was looking for, and that I passed the PIP, and that "I still had my job."

I had an open workman's compensation case when I was terminated and I was also on Family Medical Leave Act for Chronic Migraines. I was not off work for my disability, but did have work restrictions. Overwork and harassment became a daily part of my job which I believe caused the injury in the first place.

DATED: October 9, 2014