Michael Karns, Esq. (SBN 232256) William Karns, Esq. (SBN 238599) KARNS & KARNS, LLP 1150 S. Robertson Blvd. 3 Los Angeles, CA 90035 4 Tel: (310) 623-9032 Fax: (310) 623-9033 5 Email: firm@karnsandkarns.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 ALI MOQADAM, a minor, by and through his | Case No.: 11 guardian ad litem RAHMAN MOQADAM, 12 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES: 13 Medical Negligence VS. Negligence 14 THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP 15 INC.; KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS; KAISER FOUNDATION 16 HEALTH PLAN, INC.; MARYALLEE 17 AMBROSE, M.D.; an individual: ALMA PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY VALENCIA, an individual; and DOES 1 18 THROUGH 50, (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) 19 Defendants 20 21 22 Comes Now ALI MOQADAM, by and through his guardian ad litem RAHMAN MOQADAM 23 ("Plaintiff"), in this Complaint for causes of action against Defendants THE PERMANENTE 24 MEDICAL GROUP, INC., KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, KAISER FOUNDATION 25 HEALTH PLAN, INC., DR. MARYALICE AMBROSE, M.D., ALMA VALENCIA, and DOES 26 1 THROUGH 50, Inclusive. 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 1. The true names and/or capacities and/or involvement in the subject events by DOES 1 through 50, inclusively, whether individuals, partnerships, companies, corporations, associations, governments, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff therefore sues said Defendants by fictional names DOES 1 through 50. When Plaintiff ascertains the true names and/or capacities and/or involvement in the subject events of those Defendants, or any of them, Plaintiff will ask leave of this court to amend this complaint to show their true names, capacities, and the manner in which each fictitious Defendant was responsible, in not heretofore pleaded. The conduct of said DOE Defendants would arise out of the conduct, transaction, or sequelae of the conduct alleged herein.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned herein, Defendants THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, INC., KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., MARYALICE AMBROSE, M.D., and DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, were the agents, servants, partners, joint venturers, joint enterprisers, civil conspirators, and employees of each of the other, and were acting within the course and scope of said agency, partnership, venture, enterprise, employment and relationship. Said Defendants were also the predecessors in interest and successors-in-interest to one another. Furthermore, each Defendant, while acting as a principal, expressly directed, consented to, approved, affirmed and ratified each and every act or omission of his or her co-Defendants as described below.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, INC., KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., (hereinafter collectively referred to as "KAISER") are corporations authorized to, and in fact doing business in California. Said corporations are all believed to be medical corporations. Said corporations operate the Kaiser Permanente Santa Clara Medical Center, located at 700 Lawrence Expressway in

- Santa Clara, in the County of Santa Clara. Defendant KAISER is believed to be a medical corporation operating under license issued by the Medical Board of the State of California.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant MARYALICE AMBROSE, M.D. (hereinafter "DR. AMBROSE") is a licensed medical doctor and surgeon licensed to practice in the state of California and now resides, and at all relevant times resided in, the County of Santa Clara. At all times herein alleged, DR. AMBROSE acted within the employment of Defendant KAISER. DR. AMBROSE will also be included in the designation of Defendant KAISER.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ALMA
 VALENCIA now resides, and at all relevant times resided in, the County of Santa Clara.
- 6. Plaintiff ALI MOQADAM is a minor child who now resides, and at all relevant times did reside, in the County of Santa Clara.
- 7. Plaintiff's injuries occurred in the City of San Jose, which lies within the County of Santa Clara, and this Court is therefore the proper Court in which to bring this action.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ALMA VALENCIA was a patient of DR. AMBROSE at the Kaiser Permanente Santa Clara Medical Center in Santa Clara, and was examined, diagnosed, and treated by Defendant DR. AMBROSE for a seizure disorder at that facility in or around 2005, and continued to receive treatment there through all relevant times.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes that in or about 2005, KAISER reported the diagnosis of the seizure disorder to the local health officer in the County of Santa Clara, and that based on a Driver Medical Evaluation ("DME") completed by DR. AMBROSE, the State of California Department of Motor Vehicles suspended the driver's license of Defendant ALMA VALENCIA, thereby prohibiting her from driving legally in the State of California.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that in or around 2007, a new DME was completed by DR. AMBROSE for ALMA VALENCIA and delivered to the State of

California Department of Motor Vehicles, and that based on the advice and
recommendation included in that new DME, the State of California Department of Moto
Vehicles reinstated the driving privileges of Defendant ALMA VALENCIA without
restriction, thereby permitting her to drive legally in the State of California.

- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at the time the new DME was completed for ALMA VALENCIA by DR. AMBROSE in or around 2007, KAISER and DR. AMBROSE knew or should have known ALMA VALENCIA was still suffering from a seizure disorder, was non-compliant with anti-seizure medications, and had suffered multiple lapse of consciousness episodes during the prior three years due to the seizure disorder, and should have included this information in their new DME.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the State of California

 Department of Motor Vehicles acted in reliance on the representations and
 recommendations contained in the new DME, when they reinstated the driver's license of
 ALMA VALENCIA, and that without the new DME from KAISER and DR. AMBROSE,
 the State of California Department of Motor Vehicles would not have reinstated the
 driving privileges of ALMA VALENCIA.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that ALMA VALENCIA continued to be under the care of KAISER and DR. AMBROSE for her seizure disorder from in or about 2007 through June 19, 2010, and that KAISER and DR. AMBROSE knew or should have known that ALMA VALENCIA continued to suffer from a seizure disorder during that time, was non-compliant with anti-seizure medications during that time, and had multiple lapses of consciousness during that time due to the seizure disorder, all of which affected her ability to safely operate a motor vehicle.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned herein Defendant ALMA VALENCIA was the operator and driver of a certain Honda Civic, License Plate Number 3LST957 (hereinafter referred to as "DEFENDANT'S VEHICLE").

- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant ALMA VALENCIA and Does 11 through 20, and each of them are, and at all times mentioned herein were, the registered owner of DEFENDANT'S VEHICLE.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants DOES 11 through 20 are the owners of DEFENDANT'S VEHICLE.
- 17. On or about June 19, 2010, at approximately 11:16 p.m., Plaintiff was a passenger in DEFENDANT'S VEHICLE as it traveled Eastbound on Capitol Expressway in the City of San Jose, County of Santa Clara.
- 18. On or about June 19, 2010, at approximately 11:16 p.m., Defendant ALMA VALENCIA suffered a seizure while driving DEFENDANT'S VEHICLE, which resulted in DEFENDANT'S VEHICLE being involved in a major traffic accident.
- 19. The impact from the collision resulted in personal injuries, including but not limited to traumatic brain injury, to Plaintiff.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE – AGAINST DEFENDANTS THE PERMANENTE MEDICAL GROUP, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN, INC., and DR. MARYALICE AMBROSE, M.D.)

- 20. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 17 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein
- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §103900, Defendant KAISER had a duty to report to the local health officer and the State of California Department of Motor Vehicles the seizure disorder suffered by its patient Defendant ALMA VALENCIA, when requested to complete a driver medical evaluation (DME) in or about 2007. Plaintiff alleges that KAISER and DR. AMBROSE knew or should have known in or about 2007 that ALMA VALENCIA continued to suffer from a seizure disorder at that time, was non-compliant with antiseizure medications at that time, and had suffered multiple lapse of consciousness episodes in the three year period prior to 2007, all of which was, or should have been,

evidence to be included in the DME that Defendant ALMA VALENCIA was not able to
safely operate a motor vehicle. Defendant KAISER failed to report ALMA
VALENCIA'S true and accurate medical condition during this time to the local health
officer as required by law. This fell below the applicable standard of care of health care
professionals in the community.

- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §103900, Defendant KAISER had a duty to report to the local health officer the seizure disorder suffered by its patient Defendant ALMA VALENCIA as KAISER and DR. AMBROSE knew or should have known that ALMA VALENCIA continued to suffer from a seizure disorder from in or about 2007 through June 19, 2010, was non-compliant with anti-seizure medications during that time, and had suffered multiple lapse of consciousness episodes over the time period from 2007 through June 19, 2010, all of which impacted her ability to safely operate a motor vehicle. This fell below the applicable standard of care of health care professionals in the community.
- 23. As a proximate result of the negligence of Defendant KAISER, Plaintiff was injured in his health, strength, and activity, sustaining injuries to his brain, nervous system and person, all of which injuries have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that such injuries will result in some permanent disability to him. As a result of such injuries, Plaintiff has suffered general damages in an amount according to proof.
- 24. As a further proximate result of the negligence of Defendant KAISER, Plaintiff has incurred, and will continue to incur, medical and related expenses in an amount according to proof.
- 25. As a further proximate result of the negligence of Defendant KAISER, Plaintiff has incurred, and will incur, further and future medical, psychological and incidental expenses, bills, and costs for the care and treatment for his injuries, the exact amount of which is unknown at the present time and to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENCE – AGAINST DEFENDANTS ALMA VALENCIA)

- 26. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates the other paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. At the aforementioned date and time, Defendant ALMA VALENCIA negligently, carelessly, recklessly, and unlawfully drove and operated DEFENDANT'S VEHICLE so as to precipitate this accident and cause damages to Plaintiff.
- 28. As a proximate result of the negligence of Defendant ALMA VALENCIA, Plaintiff was injured in his health, strength, and activity, sustaining injuries to his brain, nervous system and person, all of which injuries have caused and continue to cause Plaintiff great mental, physical, and nervous pain and suffering. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that such injuries will result in some permanent disability to him. As a result of such injuries, Plaintiff has suffered general damages in an amount according to proof.
- 29. As a further proximate result of the negligence of Defendant ALMA VALENCIA,

 Plaintiff has incurred, and will continue to incur, medical and related expenses in an
 amount according to proof.
- 30. As a further proximate result of the negligence of Defendant ALMA VALENCIA,

 Plaintiff has incurred, and will incur, further and future medical, psychological and
 incidental expenses, bills, and costs for the care and treatment for his injuries, the exact
 amount of which is unknown at the present time and to be determined at trial.
- As a further proximate result of the negligence of DEFENDANTS, and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred, and will continue to incur, medical and related expenses in an amount according to proof.
- 32. As a further proximate result of the negligence of DEFENDANTS, and each of them, Plaintiff has incurred, and will incur, further and future medical, psychological and incidental expenses, bills and costs for the care and treatment for his injuries, the exact amount of which is unknown at the present times and to be determined at trial.

1	PRAYER FOR DAMAGES
2	WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF ALI MOQADAM, by and through his guardian ad litem
3	RAHMAN MOQADAM, prays judgment against NORTHERN CALIFORNIA PERMANENTI
4	MEDICAL GROUP, KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, DR. MARYALICE AMBROSE,
5	M.D., ALMA VALENCIA, and DOES 1 THROUGH 50, jointly and severally as indicated
6	above, as follows:
7	FOR ALL CAUSES OF ACTION
8	1. For general damages according to proof at time of trial;
9	2. For medical and related expenses according to proof;
10	3. For loss of income and earning ability according to proof;
11	4. For costs of suit herein incurred; and
12	5. For such other and further relief as the court may deem proper.
13	
14	714
15	Dated this 16 of January, 2014.
16	Respectfully submitted,
17	KARNS & KARNS, LLP
18	
19	
20 21	By: Michael Karns, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	6
27	
28	
	8 COMPLANTS FOR DAMAGE
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES: