		OFFICE 21
1 2	JOSHUA D. GRUENBERG (#163281) LAW OFFICE OF JOSHUA D. GRUENBERG 2169 First Avenue	
3	San Diego, California 92101 Phone: (619) 230-1234; Fax: (619) 230-1074	SAN DI
4	ZACHARY T. TYSON (#211185) LAW OFFICE OF ZACHARY T. TYSON	
5	2550 Fifth Avenue, 9 th Floor San Diego, California 92103 Phone: (619) 237-9292; Fax: (619) 615-2173	SEP 26 '13 Pt 24 S
7	Attorneys for ANGELICA WORST	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF GALLFORNIA
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN	DIEGO, COORNAL DIVISION
11	ANGELICA WORST, an individual,	Case 100. 37-2013-00068941-CU-WT-CTL
12	Plaintiffs,	INTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR:
13	v.	Failure to Engage in the Interactive
14	KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS	Process; 2. Failure to Accommodate; 2. Violation of Commodate;
15	and, DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,	3. Violation of Government Code § 12940 et seq.; and,
16	Defendant	 Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy
17		[JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]
18		
19		
20	COMES NOW, the plaintiff ANGELICA V	WORST ("Mrs. Worst" or "Plaintiff") and
21	alleges as follows:	
22	PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
23	1. Mrs. Worst is an individual domiciled	and residing in the County of San Diego,
24	State of California.	
25	2. On information and belief, defendant	KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS
26	(hereinafter "Kaiser" or "Defendant"), is a business entity, form unknown. Plaintiff is	
27	informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is doing business in the	
28	County of San Diego, State of California.	
		1

longer accommodate her by allowing her to work the modified position and Mrs. Worst was placed on a leave of absence.

- 11. On July 22, 2011, Mrs. Worst underwent surgery on her shoulder. On March 26, 2012, Mrs. Worst had a second surgery.
- 12. On January 29, 2013, Mrs. Worst's condition was determined to be permanent and stationary. On or about March 8, 2013, Kaiser told Mrs. Worst that she could not return to her surgical technician position due to her disability status.
- 13. On or about March of 2013, Kaiser assigned Janet Langstaff, Human Resources Case Manager, purportedly to work with Mrs. Worst in assigning her to a new or modified employment position at Kaiser. Mrs. Worst requested a reevaluation of her condition. Kaiser refused the reevaluation and told Mrs. Worst that she would not be allowed to return to work as a certified surgical technician unless she was "at 100%." Mrs. Worst has been readily, willing and able to return to work since March 2013.
- 14. In several meetings with Janet Langstaff, Mrs. Worst was told to apply for employment positions at Kaiser where her disability would not be an issue. Other than requesting Mrs. Worst to check the Kaiser job boards and apply for open positions no other affirmative steps or actions were taken to reasonably accommodate Mrs. Worst.
- 15. Between the period of March 2013 through August 2013, Mrs. Worst applied for approximately 43 employment positions with Kaiser. Most of the positions Mrs. Worst applied for were clerical positions for which she was over-qualified; nevertheless, Kaiser failed and refused to hire and/or reassign Mrs. Worst to any of these open employment positions. Mrs. Worst was qualified, ready, willing and able to work at these employment positions.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Engage in the Interactive Process v. All Defendants)

16. Plaintiffs, and each of them, re-allege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs.

17. Defendants, and each of them, had a legal obligation to engage in the interactive		
process with Plaintiff. At all relevant times, Defendants failed and/or refused to engage in		
the interactive process and provide reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's known		
medical conditions and/or disability.		

- 18. Mrs. Worst was willing to participate in an interactive process to determine whether reasonable accommodation could be made so that she could perform the essential duties of an employment position with Defendant.
- 19. Defendant failed to participate in a timely, good-faith interactive process with Mrs. Worst to determine whether reasonable accommodation could be made in violation of public policy and Government Code § 12940.
- 20. Mrs. Worst has suffered, and continues to suffer harm, including but not limited to, severe emotional distress, lost wages, and lost outure earnings.
- 21. Defendant's failure to engage in a good-faith interactive process was a substantial factor in causing Mrs. Worst's harm
- 22. On information and believe, Defendant's conduct, as alleged herein, was done with the knowledge that Mrs. Worst's emotional and physical distress would thereby increase and was done with wanton and reckless disregard of the consequences to Mrs. Worst.
- 23. As a legal (proximate) result of Defendants' conduct, Mrs. Worst has been harmed in that she has suffered mental anguish, humiliation, emotional and physical distress. Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Accommodate v. All Defendants)

- 24. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs.
- 25. Defendants, and each of them, knew or thought Mrs. Worst had a physical condition and/or disability that limited her ability to work.
- 26. Defendant failed to provide reasonable accommodation for Mrs. Worst's physical condition and/or disability in violation of public policy and Government Code § 12940.

36. Mrs. Worst's reasonable request to be reassigned to a new or modified employment
position was a motivating reason for Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory conduct
and was a substantial factor in causing harm to Mrs. Worst.

- 37. On information and belief, Defendant's conduct, as alleged herein, was oppressive and done with a conscious disregard for the rights of Mrs. Worst. As such, Mrs. Worst is entitled to exemplary and punitive damages from Defendant in an amount sufficient to punish and deter future wrongful conduct.
- 38. On information and belief, Defendant's conduct, as alleged herein, was done with the knowledge that Mrs. Worst's emotional and physical distress would thereby increase and was done with wanton and reckless disregard of the consequences to Plaintiff.
- 39. As a legal (proximate) result of Defendant's conduct, Mrs. Worst has been harmed in that she has suffered mental anguish, humiliation, emotional and physical distress. Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 40. As a legal (proximate) result of Defendant's conduct, Mrs. Worst has suffered the loss of wages, salary, benefits, raises, and additional amounts of money she would have received had she been reassigned to a new or modified employment position. Plaintiff has been damaged according to proof at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Public Policy v. All Defendants)

- 41. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation in the preceding and subsequent paragraphs.
- 42. Defendants, and each of them, in causing the termination and/or constructive termination of Mrs. Worst violated the public policy of the State of California as described in *The City of Moorpark v. Superior Court*, (1998) 18 Cal.4th 1143.
- 43. Instead of investigating Mrs. Worst's complaints, Defendant retaliated against Mrs. Worst and terminated and/or constructively terminated her employment.

- 43. On information and belief, Defendant's reasons for not reassigning Mrs. Worst to a new or modified employment position were bogus and concocted for the sole false pretense of not reasonably accommodating Mrs. Worst.
- 44. Defendant's conduct was intentional, malicious and/or fraudulent and done for the purpose of cause Plaintiff to suffer humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress.
- 45. Defendant's conduct in ratifying the above-referenced conduct was done with the knowledge that Plaintiff's emotional and physical distress would thereby increase and was done with wanton and reckless disregard of the consequences to Plaintiff.
- 46. As a direct (proximate) result of Defendant's conduct. Mrs. Worst has suffered the loss of wages, and additional amounts of money she would have received had she been reasonably accommodated for her disability. Plaintiff has been harmed in that she has suffered the intangible loss of employment and employment-related opportunities and experience. Plaintiffs have been damaged according to proof at trial.
- 47. As further legal (proximate) result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financial hardship, worry, grief, mental and emotional distress all in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For general and compensatory damages according to proof at trial;
- 2. For special damages according to proof at trial;
- 3 For costs and attorney's fees pursuant to Government Code § 12965(b);
- 4. For punitive damages in an amount necessary to make an example and to punish Defendants and deter future similar conduct;
- 5. For back pay, front pay, and other monetary relief;
- 6. For costs of suit, expert costs, and attorney's fees;
- 7. For interest and prejudgment interest at the legal rate of 10%; and,

1	8. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper and just under all
2	the circumstances.
3	
4	Dated: 9/13/13 LAW OFFICE OF JOSHUA D. GRUENBERG;
5	LAW OFFICE OF ZACHARY T. TYSON
6	By: Zail & Tipso
7	Joshua D. Gruenberg Zachary T. Tyson Attorneys for Plaintits
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

EXHIBITA

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

DIRECTOR PHYLLIS W. C

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 | Videophone 916-226-5285 | TTY 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov | lemail; contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

Sep 12, 2013

RE: Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint

DFEH Matter Number: 162932-68402

Right to Sue: Worst / Kaiser Foundation Hospitals

To All Respondent(s):

Enclosed is a copy of a complaint of discrimination that has been filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) in accordance with Government Code section 12960. This constitutes service of the complaint pursuant to Government Code section 12962. The complainant has requested an authorization to file a lawsuit. This case is not being investigated by the DFEH and is being closed imminediately. A copy of the Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to the attached complaint for a list of all respondent(s) and their contact information.

No response to DFEH is requested or required.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing



DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 | Videophone 916-226-5285 | ITTY 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov|email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

Sep 12, 2013

Angelica Worst Law Office of Zachary T. Tyson 2550 Fifth Avenue, 9th Floor San Diego, California 92103

RE: Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

DFEH Matter Number: 162932-68402

Right to Sue: Worst / Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Foundation Hospitals Kaiser

Dear Angelica Worst:

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective Sep 12, 2013 because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right to Sue notice, you must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

Enclosures

cc: Lawyers Incorporating Service CSC, Agent for Service for Kaiser Foundation Hospitals Foundation Hospitals Kaiser