ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ber number, end address): Charles A. Davis, Esq., APC (SBN#60927) 755 Baywood Drive, Second Floor	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Petaluma, CA 94954	
TELEPHONE NO: (415) 898-6475 FAX NO. (Optional): (415) 898-4227	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
Attorney for (Name): Karima Norrise, et.al.	FILED BY FAX
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Alameda STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street	
MAILING ADDRESS:	ALAMEDA COUNTY
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland, CA 94612	
BRANCH NAME:	December 17, 2012
PLAINTIFF: Karima Norrise, individually, and as Guardian ad Litum for Maurice Norrise	
DEFENDANT: Kaiser Foundation Hospitals & Health Plan, Inc.; The	CLERK OF
Permanente Medical Group; Bristol-Meyers Squibb;	THE SUPERIOR COL
DOES 1 TO 100 Sanofi Aventis,	By Rosanne Case, De
COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death	by Nosallie Case, De
Type (check all that apply):	CASE NUMBER:
MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify): Medical Malpractice	
Property Damage Wrongful Death	RG12660084
Personal Injury Other Damages (specify):	1.01200001
Jurisdiction (check all that apply); ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE	CASE NUMBER:
Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000	
exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000 ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,009)	
ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,009) ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended compleint	
from limited to unlimited	
from unlimited to limited	
1. Plaintiff (name or names): Karima Norrise, individually, and as Guardian ad	Litum for Maurice Norrise
alleges causes of action against defendant (p) me or names): Kaiser Foundation Hospitals & Health Plan, Inc.; The Permanente Medica	d Group, Bristol Mayers Squibb
2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of page	ges: 5 / et.al.
3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult	, <i>J</i> ,
a. except plaintiff (name) Maurice Norrise	
 (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): 	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe): (3) public entity (describe):	
(4) a minor an adult	
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard	ian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify): (5) other (specify):	
b. except plaintiff (name):	
(1) a corporation qualified to do business in California	
(2) an unincorporated entity (describe):	
(3) a public entity <i>(describe):</i> (4) a minor an adult	
(a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guard	ian ad litem has been appointed
(b) other (specify):	
(5) other (specify):	
Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Atta	chment 3.

	SHORT TITLE:			CASE NUMBER:
L	Karima Norrise, et. al. v. Kaiser Foudation Hospitals, et. al.			
4.	Plaintiff (name):			
•	is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):			
	the field and for the field and for the formation of the field and			
	and has complied with the fictitious business name laws.			
5.	Each defendant named above is a natural person			
	a. except defendant (name): Kaiser Permanente ** c.			lant (name): Bristol-Meyers Squibb
	(1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation	(1)		siness organization, form unknown
	(3) an unincorporated entity (describe):	(2) 7		rporation nincorporated entity (describe):
	*Medical Group, Inc.	(4)	, u u	(uescribe).
	(4) a public entity (describe):	(4)	apu	blic entity (describe):
				\$\langle(\mathcal{G})
	(5) other (specify):	(5)	othe	r (specify);
			<	
	b. verept defendants/name): Kaiser Foundation * d.] except	lerend	ant (name): Sanofi Aventis
	(1) a business organization, form unknown	(1)	∐aíbu	siness organization, form unknown
	(2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe);	(2) V	_	poration
	(3) an unincorporated entity (describe): * Hospitals	(3)	j an u	nincorporated entity (describe):
	(4) a public entity (describe):	(4)	lapul	blic entity (describe);
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	(5) other (specify):	(5)	othe	(specify):
	* Health Plan, Inc			
	Information about additional defendants who are not natural person	ns is conta	ained i	n Attachment 5.
6.	The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.			
	a. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers); 1-50		were	the agents or employees of other
	named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency	or employ	ment.	
	b. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 51-100 plaintiff.		аге р	ersons whose capacities are unknown to
7.	Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section	292 am /		
		302 are (Harries	y.
8.	This court is the second second because			
٥.	This court is the proper court because a at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.			
	b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or u	nincorpora	ated as	secciation is in its jurisdictional area
	c injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its	iurisdictic	onal ar	ės.
	d other (specify):	-		
	•			
9.	Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and			
	a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or			
	b. is excused from complying because (specify):			
	Plaintiff timely served CCP Section 364 Notice.			

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:
Karima Norrise, et. al. v. Kaiser Foudation Hospitals, et. al.	
 10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (exauses of action attached): a Motor Vehicle b General Negligence c Intentional Tort d Products Liability e Premises Liability f Other (specify): 	each complaint must have one or more
Medical Negligence	
11. Plaintiff has suffered a. wage loss b. loss of use of property c. hospital and medical expenses d. general damage e. property damage f. loss of earning capacity g. other damage (specify):	
As a proximate result of the negligence of the defendants, and of Norrise suffered the loss of consortium of her husband Maurice care, companionship, sexual relations, affection, and society; P	e Norrise including the loss of love,
12. The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the categories. a. listed in Attachment 12. b. as follows:	deceased are
13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.	
14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitab a. (1) compensatory damages (2) punitive damages The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you mu (1) caccording to proof (2) in the amount of: \$	
15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows	s (specify paragraph numbers):
Date: December 13, 2012 Charles A. Davis, Esq.	MATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:				
Karima Norrise, et. al. v. Kaiser Foudation Hospitals, et. al.					
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION—General Company (number)	eral Negligence Page 4				
ATTACHMENT TO					
(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)					
GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Karima Norrise, individually, and as Guardian ad Litum for Maurice Norrise					
alleges that defendant (name): Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, The Permanente Medical					
\checkmark Does 1 to 100					
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following negligently caused the damage to plaintiff on (date): 09/22/2011continuing	ving acts of omissions to act, defendant				
at (place): Oakland, CA					

(description of reasons for liability):

- 1. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals; The Permanente Medical Group; Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc. are now and at all times relevant herein an association of physicians and surgeons duly authorized to practice medicine in Oakland, CA.
- 2. The members of these associations are named as Does 1-50. Plaintiffs allege on information and belief that each of these defendants was in some manner negligently and proximately responsible in the events and damages alleged in the complaint, and each was the agent and employee or their co-defendants and at all times was acting within the scope and agency of said employment. Each defendant was negligent in the selection and hiring of each other defendant as an agent or employee, and each ratified the acts of one another.
- 3. Prior to Maurice Norrise having suffering multiple cardiovascular/cerebralvascular accidents (stroke) on 09/22/2011, and a massive stroke on 10/16/2011, Maurice Norrise employed the defendants and each of them to treat his medical conditions including diagnosing and prescribing medicine for problems involving his heart and well-being and to perform necessary medical treatment.
- 4. At all times relevant herein the defendants and each of them, negligently failed to possess and exercise, in both diagnosis and treatment, that reasonable degree or knowledge and skill that is ordinarily possessed and exercised by other physicians in the same or similar locality in similar circumstances, in that the defendants, and each of them, prescribed and gave Maurice Norrise Plavix and other medications known, or reasonably should have been known by the defendants to cause, exacerbate, and aggravate Maurice Norrise's conditions resulting in his suffering strokes on or about 09/22/2011, and 10/16/2011, and thereafter. Notwithstanding the above, the defendants and each of them continue to diagnose, treat, and medicate Maurice Norrise to this date. Wherefore, plaintiffs pray as herein set forth.

ORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:		
arima Norrise, et. al. v. Kaiser Foudation Hospitals, et. al.			
arma voirise, et. at. v. Maiser Loudanon Trospitais, et. at.			
SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION—Products	s Liability Page5		
(number)			
ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)			
Plaintiff (name): Karima Norrise, individually, and as Guardian ad Lit	tum for Maurice Norrise		
Prod. L-1. On or about (date): 09/22/2011 plaintiff was i	njured by the following product:		
Plavix and/or equivalent			
Prod. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be purchased and used			
The product was defective when it left the control of each defendant. was being	The product at the time of injury		
used in the manner intended by the defendants.			
used in the manner that was reasonably foreseeable by defer	< / /		
readily apparent. Adequate warnings of the danger were not gi	ven.		
Prod. L-3. Plaintiff was a	/ !		
	f the product.		
bystander to the use of the product.	specify):		
PLAINTIFF'S INJURY WAS THE LEGAL (PROXIMATE) RESULT OF THE FOLL	OWING:		
Prod. L- 4. Count One—Strict liability of the following defendants who			
 a. manufactured or assembled the product (names): 			
Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Sanofi Aventis			
Does to 50			
b. designed and manufactured component parts supplie	d to the manufacturer (names).		
	a to the mandiacturer (names).		
Bristol Meyers Squibb, Sanofi Aventis			
Does 1 to 50	<u> </u>		
c. sold the product to the public (names):			
Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Sanofi Aventis			
Does 1 to 50			
Prod. L-5. Count Two-Negligence of the following defendants who owe	ed a duty to plaintiff <i>(names);</i>		
Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Sanofi Aventis			
to 50			
Prod. L-6. Count Three—Breach of warranty by the following defendant	ts (names):		
Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Sanofi Aventis			
a. Does 1 to 50	Million de la companya del companya de la companya della companya		
b. who breached an express warranty which was written are			
Prod. L-7. The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and	the reasons for the liability are		
listed in Attachment-Prod. L-7 as follows:	•		